

3. Robinson G, McMichael A, Wang SQ, Lim HW. Sunscreen and frontal fibrosing alopecia: a review. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2020; 82:723-728.
4. Imhof RL, Chaudhry HM, Larkin SC, Torgerson RR, Tolkachjov SN. Frontal fibrosing alopecia in women: the Mayo Clinic experience with 148 patients, 1992-2016. *Mayo Clinic Proc*. 2018;93(11):1581-1588.
5. Holman DM, Berkowitz Z, Guy GP, et al. Patterns of sunscreen use on the face and other exposed skin among US adults. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2015;73(1):83-92.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.03.129>

Effect of statin use on incidence of eczema and atopic dermatitis: A retrospective cohort study



To the Editor: Statins are widely prescribed because of their efficacy in primary and secondary prevention of myocardial infarction via alterations in cholesterol metabolism.¹ Numerous statin-induced dermatologic complications have been reported but with less attention to eczema/atopic dermatitis (Table I).^{2,3} We sought to determine the relationship between statin use and incidence of eczema.

We designed a retrospective cohort study using TriNetX, a global federated health research network providing access to statistics on electronic medical records (diagnoses, medications, laboratory values) from approximately 1 million patients admitted to the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics. TriNetX is an autogenerated, deidentified database with a waiver from the Western Institutional Review Board. Patients were selected based on a history of coronary artery disease to ensure robust statin use. A cohort taking a statin before January 1, 2012, was compared to a statin-naïve population in terms of eczema (*International Classification of Diseases*, 10th revision, L20-L30) development over a 6-year period to January 1, 2018. Individuals using nonstatin antilipemic medications, such as ezetimibe, were excluded. We further stratified results by demographic factors and particular statin use (atorvastatin

vs simvastatin). Comparisons were made using multivariate logistic regression models (SAS, version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) for each stratum and for differences within strata groups.

We identified 9678 patients with heart disease, 5803 of whom had received a statin. The study population was composed of more men than women (63.0% vs 37.0%) and primarily older adults (82.6% with age > 60 y). Age and sex were similar between statin and statin-naïve groups. The 6-year incidence rate (IR) of eczema in individuals taking statins was 6.77% compared to 1.68% in those not taking a statin, resulting in a risk ratio of 4.04 (95% confidence interval, 3.12-5.23; $P < .001$) (Table II). Age older than 60 years (risk ratio, 6.21) was the age group at greatest relative risk for eczema ($P < .001$). Individuals taking atorvastatin (IR, 9.09%) trended toward a marginally higher incidence of eczema than those taking simvastatin (IR, 7.78%) ($P = .0749$).

This study showed a strong association between statin use and incidence of eczema, which was largely unchanged by further stratification. Older adults, who composed a majority of the study population, had the greatest risk. Moreover, the difference between atorvastatin (higher-intensity statin) and simvastatin reflected a class effect and raises the possibility of a dose-response relationship. However, this study was limited by data availability in TriNetX, including lack of timing of disease onset. Additionally, our criteria included unspecified dermatitis, a potential confounder that may not necessarily represent eczema. Of note, our cohort had a lower prevalence of statin use compared to the typical 75% to 80%, likely because those who also used nonstatin antilipemic agents were excluded.

Statins should decrease cholesterol in the skin given their mechanism of action. However, statins have also been shown to have immunomodulating effects.⁴ In older adults, who have higher risk for xerosis, eczema development may be more driven

Table I. Reported dermatologic adverse effects from statin therapy³

Statin medication	Reported dermatologic adverse effects
Atorvastatin	Face edema, photosensitivity reaction, cheilitis, pruritus, contact dermatitis, dry skin, acne, sweating, urticaria, eczema, seborrhea, skin ulceration, bullous dermatosis
Cerivastatin*	Hypersensitivity reaction
Fluvastatin	Rash, allergic reaction
Lovastatin	Pruritus, rash, erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, dry skin and mucous membranes, hair and nail changes, alopecia, skin discoloration, lupus erythematosus—like syndrome
Pravastatin	Rash
Simvastatin	Lichenoid eruption, lupus erythematosus—like syndrome, dermatomyositis, photosensitivity rash, eczematous changes, cheilitis

*Withdrawn from the market because of risk of severe rhabdomyolysis.

Table II. Stratified incidence and risk ratios for eczema

Variable	Sample size, n (%)	Incidence rate of eczema, % (95% CI)			P value	P value between strata
		Taking statin	Not taking statin	Risk ratio (95% CI)		
Total population	9678 (100)	6.77 (6.13 to 7.42)	1.68 (1.27 to 2.08)	4.04 (3.12 to 5.23)	<.001	—
Male sex	6097 (63.0)	6.31 (5.54 to 7.09)	1.22 (0.77 to 1.67)	5.18 (3.51 to 7.63)	<.001	.0943
Female sex	3581 (37.0)	7.64 (6.48 to 8.81)	2.34 (1.60 to 3.09)	3.26 (2.29 to 4.64)	<.001	
Age 20-51 y	415 (4.3)	3.53 (−11.24 to 18.29)	4.90 (−7.31 to 17.11)	0.72 (0.27 to 1.88)	.5036	<.001
Age 51-60 y	1241 (12.8)	5.98 (4.35 to 7.60)	3.56 (1.79 to 5.33)	1.68 (0.95 to 2.95)	.735	
Age >60 y	7996 (82.6)	7.02 (6.30 to 7.74)	1.13 (0.76 to 1.50)	6.21 (4.42 to 8.73)	<.001	
White race	8479 (87.6)	6.62 (5.95 to 7.28)	1.89 (1.42 to 2.37)	3.49 (2.66 to 4.59)	<.001	.5066
Non-white race	724 (7.5)	10.40 (7.49 to 13.31)	2.33 (0.62 to 4.03)	4.47 (2.04 to 9.79)	<.001	
Weight 45-67 kg*	990 (10.2)	6.87 (5.29 to 8.44)	2.63 (1.52 to 3.73)	2.62 (1.62 to 4.23)	<.001	.3216
Weight 68-90 kg*	2285 (23.6)	7.22 (6.15 to 8.28)	1.80 (1.10 to 2.50)	4.01 (2.65 to 6.08)	<.001	
Weight 91-113 kg*	1625 (16.8)	5.97 (4.82 to 7.12)	1.12 (0.39 to 1.85)	5.33 (2.71 to 10.50)	<.001	
Weight >113 kg*	831 (8.6)	7.10 (5.35 to 8.85)	2.39 (0.85 to 3.94)	2.97 (1.49 to 5.92)	<.001	
Rural living†	6793 (70.2)	7.66 (6.44 to 8.89)	1.50 (0.77 to 2.23)	5.10 (3.06 to 8.51)	<.001	.2589
Urban living‡	2879 (29.7)	6.37 (5.62 to 7.13)	1.74 (1.26 to 2.23)	3.65 (2.70 to 4.94)	<.001	
Atorvastatin only‡	1870	9.09 (10.39 to 7.79)	—	—	—	.0749
Simvastatin only‡	5270	7.78 (7.06 to 8.50)	—	—	—	

CI, Confidence interval.

Bold indicates significant P values.

*Weight class in kilograms. Weight class was chosen over body mass index because of limited data for body mass index.

†Rural versus urban living was determined by zip code by using US government consensus data.

‡As part of a follow-up comparison in a separate study and analysis with the same methods.

by the dry-skin mechanism than immune overdrive.⁵ These findings warrant further investigation, including assessment for predisposing conditions and dose-response rates, in an effort to better understand this relationship and develop strategies for preventing drug-induced eczema. Replicating this study across other databases may also improve the validity of the findings.

Kevin Cheung, BS,^a Edward M. Powers, MD,^b Julie McKillip, RN, BSN,^c and Jennifer G. Powers, MD^c

From the University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, Iowa^a; University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Iowa City, Iowa^b; and University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Department of Dermatology, Iowa City, Iowa.^c

Funding sources: Access to resources from the University of Iowa, Institute of Clinical and Translational Science Biomedical Informatics and Biostatistics, was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number UL1TR002537. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflicts of interest: None disclosed.

Some of the results were presented as a poster at the 24th World Congress of Dermatology, Milan, Italy, June 10-15, 2019.

IRB approval status: Reviewed and approved by HawkIRB (approval no. 201809746).

Reprints are not available from the authors.

Correspondence to: Jennifer G. Powers, MD, 200 Hawkins Dr, Iowa City, IA 52242

E-mail: jennifer-g-powers@uiowa.edu

REFERENCES

1. Adedinsawo D, Taka N, Agasthi P, Sachdeva R, Rust G, Onwuanyi A. Prevalence and factors associated with statin use among a nationally representative sample of US adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2011-2012. *Clin Cardiol.* 2016;39(9):491-496.
2. Pedersen TR, Berg K, Cook TJ, et al. Safety and tolerability of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin during 5 years in the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study. *Arch Intern Med.* 1996;156:2085-2092.
3. Frishman WH, Brosnan BD, Grossman MK, Dasgupta D, Sun D. Adverse dermatologic effects of cardiovascular drug therapy: part III. *Cardiol Rev.* 2002;10(6):337-348.
4. Arnaud C, Veillard NR, Mach F. Cholesterol-independent effects of statins in inflammation, immunomodulation and atherosclerosis. *Curr Drug Targets Cardiovasc Haematol Disord.* 2005;5(2):127-134.
5. Salna MP, Singer HM, Dana AN. Pravastatin-induced eczematous eruption mimicking psoriasis. *Case Rep Dermatol Med.* 2017;2017:3418204.