

References

1. Saba P, Melnyk R, Gosev I, Ghazi A, Hicks G. Development of a high-fidelity coronary artery bypass graft training platform using 3-dimensional printing and hydrogel molding. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2021;161:e291-3.
2. Fann JI, Caffarelli AD, Georgette G, Howard SK, Gaba DM, Youngblood P, et al. Improvement in coronary anastomosis with cardiac surgery simulation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2008;136:1486-91.
3. Fann JI, Calhoun JH, Carpenter AJ, Merrill WH, Brown JW, Poston PS, et al. Simulation in coronary artery anastomosis early in cardiothoracic surgical residency training: the Boot Camp experience. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2010; 139:1275-81.
4. Joyce DL, Lahr BD, Maltais S, Said SM, Stulak JM, Nuttall GA, et al. Integration of simulation components enhances team training in cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2018;155:2518-24.e5.

See Article page e291.



Commentary: Simulation—days of future past

Frederick A. Tibayan, MD

My first coronary anastomoses were simulated with silicone vessels mounted on a wooden board—a portable task trainer known to many from the Boot Camp organized by the Thoracic Surgery Directors Association and the American Board of Thoracic Surgery. This and other models like it have been proven as robust and affordable methods of training that improve performance.¹ One drawback of the “low-fidelity” simulation approach is relative lack of tactile feedback and anatomic/geometric realism. Cadaver and porcine-based “high-fidelity” simulations, in contrast, look and feel accurate but present significant financial and logistical hurdles. In this issue of the *Journal*, Saba and colleagues² bridge the low- and high-fidelity approaches using a 3-dimensional printed coronary artery anastomosis model that can be dissected, cauterized, and sutured with a realistic feel.

This innovative approach is important because it is designed to mimic the geometry and mechanical properties achievable with biological models without the cost, bio-hazardous waste, and time constraints. Although only 5 trainees and 3 attendings were surveyed, the model was uniformly considered effective and realistic. As demonstrated in the supplementary video, anatomy can be customized to an individual patient’s computed tomography scan, and models can be cheaply and quickly reproduced (after investment in a



Frederick A. Tibayan, MD

CENTRAL MESSAGE

3D-printed anastomosis model bridges the gap between low- and high-fidelity simulation, but challenges to implementation remain.

3-dimensional printer). The novel technology developed by Saba and coauthors represents a step into the long-visualized future of cardiothoracic surgery simulation.

This step into the future can only be realized by addressing what we have learned in the past and are experiencing in the present. The rate-limiting and most important reagent in cardiothoracic simulation has and will continue to be dedicated faculty to instruct, design curricula, and give formative feedback. The tools we use in simulation improve with each passing year, but challenges still remain to incentivize teaching, fund resident education, and most importantly, identify faculty to mentor and train the next generation of surgeons.

References

1. Fann JI, Caffarelli AD, Georgette G, Howard SK, Gaba DM, Youngblood P, et al. Improvement in coronary anastomosis with cardiac surgery simulation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2008;136:1486-91.
2. Saba P, Ayers B, Melnyk R, Gosev I, Ghazi A, Hicks G. Development of a high-fidelity coronary artery bypass graft training platform using 3-dimensional printing and hydrogel molding. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2021;161:e291-3.

From Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Ore.

Disclosures: The author reported no conflicts of interest.

The *Journal* policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling or reviewing manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.

Received for publication June 1, 2020; revisions received June 1, 2020; accepted for publication June 2, 2020; available ahead of print June 26, 2020.

Address for reprints: Frederick A. Tibayan, MD, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd, Mail code: L353, Portland, OR 97239 (E-mail: tibayan@ohsu.edu).

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2021;161:e296

0022-5223/\$36.00

Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2020.06.043>