

References

1. Dor V, Saab M, Coste P, Kornaszewska M, Montiglio F. Left ventricular aneurysm: a new surgical approach. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1989;37:11-9.
2. Athanasuleas CL, Buckberg GD, Stanley AW, Siler W, Dor V, DiDonato M, et al. Surgical ventricular restoration: the RESTORE group experience. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2004;9:287-97.
3. Dor V, Sabatier M, Montiglio F, Civaia F, DiDonato M. Endoventricular patch reconstruction of ischemic failing ventricle. A single center with 20 years experience. Advantages of magnetic resonance imaging assessment. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2004;9:269-86.
4. Choi JO, Daly RC, Lin G, Lahr BD, Wiste HJ, Beaver TM, et al. Impact of surgical ventricular reconstruction on sphericity index in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy: follow-up from the STICH trial. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015;17:453-63.
5. Jones RH, Velazquez EJ, Michler RE, Sopko G, Oh JK, O'Connor CM, et al. Coronary bypass surgery with or without surgical ventricular reconstruction. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:1705-17.
6. Fantini F, Toso A, Menicanti L, Moroni F, Castelvécchio S. Restrictive filling pattern in ischemic cardiomyopathy: insights after surgical ventricular restoration. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2021;161:651-60.
7. Castelvécchio S, Pappalardo OA, Menicanti L. Myocardial reconstruction in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2019;55(Suppl 1):i49-56.
8. Califf RM. Future of personalized cardiovascular medicine: JACC state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;72:3301-9.

See Article page 651.



## Commentary: The wisdom of a Nobel laureate and surgical ventricular reconstruction

Torsten Doenst, MD

*All it takes is just “one good experiment.”*

—Hans A. Krebs (1900-1981)

Hans Krebs made this statement when explaining that a convincing treatment effect (he suggested insulin-induced glucose uptake of cells) can be witnessed with a single experiment, and that there is little need for endless repetition until statistical significance is reached. In clinical practice, where causal relationships are difficult to establish, such repetition is often needed; however, we may have significant treatment effects that are difficult to explain (as for the survival impact of surgical revascularization<sup>1</sup>) or a suggested mechanism that is convincing but for which the treatment effect appears clinically irrelevant (as for volume reduction in surgical ventricular reconstruction [SVR]<sup>2</sup>).



Torsten Doenst, MD

CENTRAL MESSAGE

Surgical ventricular reconstruction, although ineffective according to STICH, may be helpful in selected patients, possibly those with classic aneurysms or high relative posterior wall thickness.

BUT WHAT IF WE HAVE “ONE GOOD OPERATION”?

Let us look at the case of a 59-year-old woman, referred for ventricular assist device implantation after myocardial infarction from a left anterior descending coronary artery occlusion and an ejection fraction of 10% to 15%. She was in New York Heart Association class 4 (Intermacs class 4), with mild mitral regurgitation. Except for the occluded left anterior descending coronary artery, the coronaries looked normal. Her ventricle revealed a large anterior aneurysm, with dyskinesia in the anterior wall, the apex, and significant parts of the interventricular septum.

From the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Jena University Hospital–Friedrich Schiller University of Jena, Jena, Germany.

Disclosures: Author has nothing to disclose with regard to commercial support. Received for publication Oct 21, 2019; revisions received Oct 21, 2019; accepted for publication Oct 21, 2019; available ahead of print Nov 5, 2019.

Address for reprints: Torsten Doenst, MD, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Jena University Hospital–Friedrich Schiller University of Jena, Am Klinikum 1, 07747 Jena, Germany (E-mail: [doenst@med.uni-jena.de](mailto:doenst@med.uni-jena.de)).

*J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2021;161:662-3  
0022-5223/\$36.00

Copyright © 2019 by The American Association for Thoracic Surgery  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2019.10.110>

Instead of implanting an assist device, my team performed SVR (ie, modified aneurysmectomy with volume reduction) as an isolated procedure. The patient was discharged 6 days after surgery in New York Heart Association class II, with an ejection fraction of 40%. This was “one good operation.”

The Surgical Treatment for Ischemic Heart Failure (STICH) trial, however, demonstrated an absence of beneficial effects of SVR in patients with dilated ischemic cardiomyopathy.<sup>2</sup> Since then, SVR has nearly disappeared from operating rooms worldwide. But if that is so, why was the effect of our operation so clear-cut?

The underlying mechanism of SVR is supposed to be the reduction of wall stress on the remaining viable myocardium, allowing it to pump more efficiently.<sup>3</sup> In this issue of the *Journal*, Fantini and colleagues<sup>4</sup> demonstrate, in an outstanding analysis of 43 patients with restrictive filling patterns before SVR, that half of their patients had a sustained benefit of SVR on ventricular function and symptom relief. The suggested mechanism thus may be correct. They also demonstrate, however, that the other half of this patient group did not benefit from SVR. They did not further specify the morphologic characteristics of the reconstructed ventricles, but it is tempting to speculate that those patients with higher relative wall thickness and better postoperative

function may have been those similar to our patient with the classic aneurysms and rather normal remaining myocardium. The volume reduction then relieved wall stress and allowed more efficient and sustained contractile function, whereas in patients with thinned (and possibly already fibrosed) myocardium, volume reduction does not help. It is striking to note that in STICH, patients with classic aneurysms were a minority.<sup>2,5</sup> Is it possible that we did not assess the right patients in STICH? If we combine the wisdom of Hans Krebs with the results of Fantini and colleagues<sup>4</sup> and our own “one good operation,” we may actually need “one new trial” after STICH, but this time with more specific indications for SVR.

### References

1. Doenst T, Haverich A, Serruys P, Bonow RO, Kappetein P, Falk V, et al. PCI and CABG for treating stable coronary artery disease: JACC review topic of the week. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:964-76.
2. Jones RH, Velazquez EJ, Michler RE, Sopko G, Oh JK, O'Connor CM, et al; STICH Hypothesis 2 Investigators. Coronary bypass surgery with or without surgical ventricular reconstruction. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:1705-17.
3. Di Donato M, Castelvécchio S, Menicanti L. Surgical treatment of ischemic heart failure: the Dor procedure. *Circ J*. 2009;73(Suppl A):A1-5.
4. Fantini F, Toso A, Menicanti L, Moroni F, Castelvécchio S. Restrictive filling pattern in ischemic cardiomyopathy: insights after surgical ventricular restoration. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2021;161:651-60.
5. Doenst T. Surgical approaches to left ventricular reconstruction: a matter of perspective. *Heart Fail Rev*. 2013;18:15-25.