# LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

## Optimizing oxygenation of the preterm infant directly at birth: focus of future studies



#### To the Editor:

The optimal oxygen concentration during stabilization of extremely preterm infants at birth was recently discussed by Saugstad et al.<sup>1</sup> The authors comment on the findings of our randomized clinical trial, which compared commencing stabilization of extremely preterm infants with 100% vs 30%  $O_2$ <sup>2</sup>. With careful titration, commencing with 100%  $O_2$ increased respiratory effort and did not increase the risk of hyperoxia.<sup>2</sup> Saugstad et al question the implication of these findings and recommend against initiating stabilization with 100% O<sub>2</sub>. However, this recommendation is partly opinion-based and appears to overlook that the lung's oxygen exchange capacity gradually increases as the lung aerates.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, a higher oxygen pressure gradient is initially needed when the gas exchange regions are mostly liquid-filled. In a study cited by Saugstad et al, very preterm infants were resuscitated with either 90% or 30% oxygen, which produced identical changes in oxygen saturation levels.<sup>4</sup> This must have resulted from a large discrepancy in ventilation, lung aeration, or cardiac function between the 2 groups because the partial pressure of oxygen at the gas exchange surface will be  $\sim$ 670 mm Hg with 90% and  $\sim$ 210 mm Hg with 30% oxygen. Such a discrepancy has been observed previously because of a big difference ( $\sim 20 \text{ cm H}_2\text{O}$ ) in the applied airway pressure support.<sup>5</sup> Saugstad et al also suggest that larger trials are warranted to demonstrate the effect of initial fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>) on neurodevelopmental outcomes. Although we do not disagree with this sentiment, this outcome is remote from the intervention and subject to a myriad of complicating factors that undermine the outcome. Thus, large trials with large sample sizes are needed that are both time- and cost-consuming. Perhaps we should acknowledge that an initial high FiO<sub>2</sub> improves respiratory effort and focus future studies on how to titrate FiO<sub>2</sub> optimally instead.

#### Janneke Dekker, PhD

Division of Neonatology Department of Pediatrics Leiden University Medical Center Leiden, the Netherlands

#### Stuart B. Hooper, PhD

The Ritchie Center Hudson Institute of Medical Research Melbourne, Australia

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Monash University Melbourne, Australia Arjan B. te Pas, MD, PhD Division of Neonatology Department of Pediatrics Leiden University Medical Center Leiden, the Netherlands

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.11.017

### References

- Saugstad OD, Lakshminrusimha S, Vento M. Optimizing oxygenation of the extremely premature infant during the first few minutes of life: start low or high? J Pediatr 2020;227:295-9.
- Dekker J, Martherus T, Lopriore E, Giera M, McGillick EV, Hutten J, et al. The effect of initial high versus low FiO2 on breathing effort in preterm infants at birth: a randomized controlled trial. Front Pediatr 2019;7:504.
- **3.** Wheeler K, Wallace M, Kitchen M, te Pas A, Fouras A, Islam M, et al. Establishing lung gas volumes at birth: interaction between positive endexpiratory pressures and tidal volumes in preterm rabbits. Pediatr Res 2013;73:734-41.
- 4. Vento M, Moro M, Escrig R, Arruza L, Villar G, Izquierdo I, et al. Preterm resuscitation with low oxygen causes less oxidative stress, inflammation, and chronic lung disease. Pediatrics 2009;124:e439-49.
- Martherus T, Oberthuer A, Dekker J, Kirchgaessner C, van Geloven N, Hooper SB, et al. Comparison of two respiratory support strategies for stabilization of very preterm infants at birth: a matched-pairs analysis. Front Pediatr 2019;7:3.

# Reply

#### To the Editor:

We agree with Dekker et al that their small, randomized trial did not show an increase in the risk of hyperoxia with the use of 100% oxygen.<sup>1</sup> We acknowledge that in this trial, respiratory effort was improved in the 100% oxygen group (as shown in the graphic abstract). We also agree that the gradient between PiO<sub>2</sub> (partial pressure of inspired oxygen), PaO<sub>2</sub> (partial pressure of alveolar oxygen), and PaO<sub>2</sub> (partial pressure of arterial oxygen) is high soon after birth and improves with time and emphasized this in Figure 3 of the commentary. In addition, we want to point out that there is a potential for significant discrepancy in the relationship between PaO<sub>2</sub> and preductal oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) in neonates.<sup>2</sup>

The letter questions the discrepancy between SpO<sub>2</sub> achieved during the first few minutes in a previous study by Vento et al comparing 30% and 90% inspired oxygen<sup>3</sup> and the Dekker et al study comparing 30% and 100% oxygen.<sup>1</sup> The infants in the 90% arm of the Vento et al study were of lower gestational age ( $26.3 \pm 1.3$  vs  $27.3 \pm 1.9$  weeks) and birth weight ( $902 \pm 195$  vs  $1000 \pm 291$  g) and higher need for intubation (61% vs 0%) compared with the 100% arm of the Dekker et al study. By protocol, all infants <27 weeks of gestation in the Vento et al study requiring positive pressure ventilation were intubated. Identical pressures (5-8 cm H<sub>2</sub>O) were used in the high