



Pediatric medical traumatic stress (PMTS) in parents of newborns with a congenital anomaly requiring surgery at birth

Francesca Bevilacqua^{a,*}, Francesco Morini^b, Benedetta Ragni^c, Annabella Braguglia^b, Simonetta Gentile^a, Antonio Zaccara^d, Pietro Bagolan^b, Lucia Aite^a

^a Unit of Clinical Psychology, Department of Neuroscience and Neurorehabilitation, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy

^b Department of Neonatal Medicine and Surgery, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy

^c Department of Human Studies, LUMSA University, Rome, Italy

^d Department of Pediatric Surgery, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 April 2020

Received in revised form 9 July 2020

Accepted 16 July 2020

Key words:

Pediatric medical traumatic stress (PMTS)

Congenital anomaly

Parental distress

ABSTRACT

Background: Pediatric medical traumatic stress (PMTS) is a psychological and physiological response of children and their families to pain, serious illness, and invasive medical procedures. We aimed to apply the PMTS model to parents of newborns operated at birth for a congenital malformation and to identify clinical and sociodemographic risk factors associated with PMTS symptoms at 6 months.

Methods: We designed a cross-sectional study to assess PMTS symptoms (avoidance, arousal, reexperiencing) in parents of six months children operated on for a congenital anomaly, with the Italian version of the Impact of Event Scale – Revised (IES-R).

Results: One-hundred-seventy parents form the object of the study. Eighty-two parents (48.2%) fell over the clinical cut-off. Ventilatory time ($p = 0.0001$), length of hospital stay ($p = 0.0001$), associated anomalies ($p = 0.0002$), medical devices at discharge ($p = 0.0001$) and Bayley motor scale ($p = 0.0002$) were significantly correlated with IES-R Total and Subscale Scores.

Multivariate linear regression showed length of hospital stay and number of associated anomalies as significant predictors of IES-R Scores.

Conclusions: Regardless the type of anomaly and sociodemographic factors, it is the clinical history of the child which seems to predict the severity of PMTS symptoms in this population of parents. PMTS represents a useful model to describe the psychological reactions of parents of newborns operated at birth for a congenital malformation. NICU and outpatient pediatric staff should be aware of risk factors to identify families who may request early multidisciplinary interventions since the first admission.

Level of evidence: Prognosis study, level II.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Parents of children experiencing life-threatening conditions, such as cancer, burns, traffic injuries, prematurity and cardiac surgery often present posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) [1–6]. Literature indicates that the incidence of PTSS in mothers of children hospitalized in the NICU ranges from 5% to 64% and from 8% to 40% in fathers [7]. Different authors have investigated the experience of giving birth to a child with a congenital anomaly requiring surgery and its traumatic aspects, finding high percentages of PTSS [8–12]. This is an important field of research since PTSS in parents can lead to disruptions in parenting, namely more controlling and less sensitive interactions, impaired cognition, behavior problems, and inappropriate use of health care [13–16]. More recently, the National Child Traumatic Stress Network defined

psychological and physiological responses of children and their families to pain, injury, serious illness, medical procedures, and invasive or frightening treatment experience as pediatric medical traumatic stress (PMTS) [17]. PMTS is not a traumatic stress disorder but a set of symptoms, including arousal, reexperiencing, and avoidance that might be present without meeting clinical criteria for PTSD diagnosis [17]. The PMTS model is developmental and recognizes that the trauma unfolds over the course of an illness and treatment, including across the period of an infant's hospitalization in a NICU [18]. This Integrative Model describes child and family adjustment across three consecutive phases: Phase I – peritrauma, includes the initial potentially traumatic event (PTE) and surrounding events; Phase II – early, ongoing, and evolving responses, includes active medical treatment and related demands; Phase III – longer-term PMTS, refers to the time past the end of active medical treatment, highlighting the potential for traumatic responses to continue for months or years [19].

* Corresponding author at: Ospedale pediatrico Bambino Gesù, Piazza Sant'Onofrio 4, 00100, Rome, Italy. Tel.: +039 06 68592228.

E-mail address: francesca.bevilacqua@opbg.net (F. Bevilacqua).

We aimed to apply PMTS model to parents of newborns operated at birth for a congenital malformation and to identify clinical and sociodemographic risk factors associated with posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) at 6 months (Phase III).

1. Material and methods

A cross-sectional study was designed with parents of children born with a congenital anomaly from January 2015 to December 2016. In order to apply the PMTS model, we assessed PTSS at six months of age (Phase III of the model), and we analyzed potential associated risk factors. The setting was that of multidisciplinary standardized follow-up care, which is offered to all infants seen at our institution with major anomalies requiring surgery in the neonatal period. Infants are submitted to a comprehensive evaluation by pediatrician, surgeon, and psychologist at preset time frames. Children were affected by thoracic or/and abdominal anomalies, while those with urological, brain, and cardiac anomalies were excluded since they were cared for by other services. To avoid confounders, parents were excluded from the study if one of the following criteria was present in their child: 1) genetic or chromosomal anomaly; 2) cerebral palsy; 3) language barriers; 4) <33 weeks prematurity.

Upon discharge, parents of surgical patients were asked by the psychologist to participate in the ongoing study. Those who agreed to participate were enrolled. Written parents' informed consent was obtained. The study was IRB approved.

Clinical data of the child and sociodemographic data of the parents were collected during hospitalization. The *Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development – 3rd Edition (BSID-III)* [20] was used to evaluate infants at both 6 and 12 months. The BSID-III is an internationally recognized, clinician-administered tool designed to assess the development of very young children (1–42 months). It consists of three scales, of which we used cognitive and motor ones. This standardized test for infant development is age normed to have a mean of 100 and a standard deviation of 15. Infants with a standard score between 85 and 70 were considered moderately delayed; those with a standard score < 70 were considered very delayed.

Socioeconomic status was classified according to European Socio-Economic Classification into: salariat, intermediate, working class, or unemployed [21]. Reasons of unemployment were not investigated.

To assess PTSS, we used the Italian version of the Impact of Event Scale – Revised (IES-R), which is one of the key assessment methods in traumatic stress research [22–24]. The IES-R is a 22-item self-report measure assessing subjective distress caused by traumatic events. Items are rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 (“not at all”) to 4 (“extremely”). The IES-R yields a total score (ranging from 0 to 88) and has a cut-off point of 24 for determining clinically significant trauma. Scores can also be calculated for the three Subscales investigating the three main PMTS symptoms (Re-Experiencing, Avoidance, and Arousal) [25]; mean item score > 1.5 indicating clinical concern. Re-Experiencing (total score range 0–28) deals with unbidden thoughts and images, troubled dreams, strong waves of feelings, and repetitive behavior related to the baby's congenital malformation; Avoidance (total score range 0–32) deals with denial of the meaning and consequences of the baby's congenital malformation, blunted sensation, behavioral inhibition, and awareness of emotional numbness; Arousal (total score range 0–28) deals with reduced hours of sleep, difficulty in concentrating, hypervigilance or feeling on edge in a number of situations and exaggerated startle responses. Associations between children's clinical characteristics, neurodevelopmental outcome at follow-up, and parental socioeconomic status with IES-R parental scores were analyzed.

Correlations were computed between IES-R total score, IES-R subscales scores, and the following clinical and sociodemographic variables: prenatal diagnosis, gestational age, weight at birth, type of anomaly, number of surgical intervention, ventilatory time, duration hospital stay, medical device at discharge, brothers parenting order,

cognitive and motor development, distance from home, parental age, parental educational level, mothers vs fathers, socioeconomic status, nationality.

Four multiple regression models were performed to investigate risk factors related to IES-R total score and IES-R subscales scores. The independent variables were chosen for inclusion in the final models if significantly related at $p < .001$ to IES-R total and subscales scores in bivariate relations. Bootstrapped confidence intervals (95%) were computed.

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 Macintosh Version (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA; www.graphpad.com). For continuous variables, the correlation was analyzed using Pearson's test. For dichotomous variables we used unpaired t-test. To compare variables with more than two samples (mostly socioeconomic variables) we used one-way ANOVA. Results are reported as mean \pm standard error, except where otherwise specified. Because IES-R score was tested against several hypothesized risk factors, a Sydak-adjusted significance level of 0.003 was calculated to account for the increased possibility of type-I error. Two-sided p values are reported.

2. Results

Over the study period, 184 children entered the follow-up program. Of these, 40 were not included in the study according to exclusion criteria (14 language barrier, 5 syndromes, 1 stroke, 20 preterms <33 weeks of gestational age). One hundred seventy parents (94 mothers and 76 fathers) of 95 children (66%) filled the IES-R at 6 months after birth. Clinical data of children and socioeconomic status of parents are reported in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. At 6 months, mean (sd) IES-R total score was 26.4 (16.0); 82 parents (48.2%) fell over the clinical cut-off. As to subscales' scores, mean item scores were: 0.97 for Avoidance; 1.51 for Re-Experiencing; 1.15 for Arousal. Significant correlations of IES-R Total and IES-R subscales scores with clinical and sociodemographic variables are reported in Table 3. Gestational age, weight at birth, type of diagnosis, number of surgical intervention, prenatal diagnosis, brothers parenting order, cognitive development, distance from home, parental age, parental educational level, mothers vs. fathers, socioeconomic status, and nationality did

Table 1
Clinical data of children.

Variables	n = 95	
Male, n (%)	50	(52.63)
Gestational age, median (IQR)	38	(36–39)
Weight at birth, median (IQR)	2860	(2400–3147.5)
Prenatal diagnosis, n (%)	48	(50.53)
Type of anomaly, n (%)		
CDH	17	(17.89)
AE	20	(21.05)
AWD	7	(7.37)
MM	28	(29.47)
CM	16	(16.84)
Others	7	(7.37)
Associated malformation		
0, n (%)	87	(91.58)
1, n (%)	5	(5.26)
> 1, n (%)	3	(3.16)
Ventilator time days, median (IQR)	4	(0–5)
Number of surgeries, median (IQR)	1	(1–2)
Length of hospital stay days, median (IQR)	35	(21.5–65.5)
Brothers parenting order		
First, n (%)	57	(60.00)
Second or more, n (%)	38	(40.00)
Number of medical devices, n (%)		
None	69	(72.63)
1	21	(22.11)
2 or more	5	(5.26)
Bayley III Motor scale, mean (SD)	97.94	(18.33)
Bayley III Cognitive Scale, mean (SD)	89.01	(16.09)

IQR, interquartile range.

Table 2
Sociodemographic variables of parents.

Variables	n = 170	
Mothers, n (%)	94	(55.29)
Fathers, n (%)	76	(44.71)
Age mothers, median (IQR)	35	(30.75–38)
Age fathers, median (IQR)	37	(33–42)
Educational level, n (%)		
Primary	0	(0)
Secondary school	17	(10.00)
High school	91	(53.53)
Graduation	62	(36.47)
Socioeconomic status, n (%)		
Salaried	27	(15.88)
Intermediate	83	(48.82)
Working class	36	(21.18)
Unemployed	24	(14.12)
More than 200 km from home, n (%)		
Rome	91	(53.53)
Outside the region	79	(46.47)
Nationality, n (%)		
Italian	165	(97.05)
Foreign	5	(2.95)

IQR, interquartile range.

Table 3
Significant associations between IES-R total score, IES-R subscales scores and clinical variables.

Variables	IES-R Tot	IES-R Hyperarousal	IES-R Intrusion	IES-R Avoidance
Ventilatory time	.392*	.396*	.337*	.314*
Length of hospitalization	.461*	.506*	.394*	.318*
Associated anomalies	11.061**	11.355**	8.886**	6.005**
Medical devices at discharge	11.420**	13.054**	8.252**	6.404**
Bayley Motor Index	-.296*	-.292*	-.286*	-.198*

* Pearson's test ($p < 0.0002$).** One-way ANOVA ($p < 0.003$).

not result to be significantly associated with IES-R total and subscales scores.

Results from the significant multivariate linear regression models are reported in Table 4. Main findings showed length of hospital stays as a significant predictor of IES-R total [$F(5, 156) = 11.745, p = .000; R^2 = .28$], IES-R Hyperarousal [$F(5, 157) = 14.086, p = .000; R^2 = .32$] and IES-R Intrusion scores [$F(5, 157) = 8.115, p = .000; R^2 = .21$]. Moreover, number of associated anomalies resulted related to IES-R total scores and IES-R Intrusion subscale scores. None of the

studied variables significantly predicted IES-R Avoidance subscale scores [$F(5, 157) = 5.499, p = .000; R^2 = .15$].

3. Discussion

Multiple studies have shown that the birth of a baby with a congenital anomaly and the baby's subsequent hospitalization in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) are significant sources of parental distress [26–33]. Moreover, the course of the illness and treatment, as well as infants' physical vulnerability and the uncertainty of prognosis, often produces additional psychological distress in their parents [18,29,34–36].

To date, limited attention has been paid to the relationship between the medical history of the child and the development of parental PMTS symptoms in the postnatal period in this specific population. In other words, little is known about what particular factors might be associated with PMTS among parents of newborns operated on at birth. According to the PMTS model there are 4 distinct trajectories of adjustment over time: resilient (minimal PTSS following injury), recovery (minimal initial PTSD or elevated PTSS that remain within 1–3 months), chronic (consistently elevated PTSS or PTSD for 6–24 months postinjury) and delayed onset (PTSD diagnosis 6 months postinjury) [19]. In our sample, half of the parents (51.8%) showed a resilient or recovery trajectory, while 48.2% showed a total IES-R Score above clinical cut-off, suggesting a chronic trajectory. We were unable to assess if, in our sample, there were cases of delayed onset since IES-R was administered only at 6 months from birth. This finding confirms PMTS as a useful model to bring to light the psychological pain of parents of children experiencing life-threatening congenital anomalies. Moreover, this finding underlines the need to support parents and families from NICU throughout follow-up, as the risk for increased parental distress and mental health disorders represents, in turn, a risk for the relationship they are developing with their infant [37–39]. In this regard, in our institution since prenatal diagnosis of congenital malformation couples are offered a psychological support throughout pregnancy. In the postnatal period, from admission in the NICU to dismissal, parents are offered individual and/or couple psychological sessions. Results of the present study suggested the need for a more structured approach to support parents throughout this traumatic experience and mitigate the development of PMTS. In this regard, the pediatric preventative health model proposed by Kazak et al. [40] identifies three-tiered approach to support families, which is based upon the specific family's level of need as they adjust to their child's medical condition: the levels are universal, targeted and clinical. As suggested by Hynan et al. [41], a 'universal' level of care should be available to all parents [41]. This universal care level is best addressed with family-centered developmental care along with active parent-to-parent support. A higher level of 'targeted' care should be

Table 4
Multivariate linear regressions for the total sample: risk and protective factors for IES-R Total score and IES-R subscales scores.

Outcome	Variables reached significance of $p < .001$ at bivariate correlations	B	Bootstrap 95% CI [LLW to LLU]
IES-R Tot	Ventilatory time	-	-
	Length of hospital stay	.132	.037 to .198*
	Associated anomalies	7.313	.844 to 13.214*
	Medical devices	-	-
	Bayley Motor Index	-	-
IES-R Hyperarousal	Ventilatory time	-	-
	Length of hospital stay	.064	.025 to .093*
	Associated anomalies	-	-
	Medical devices	-	-
	Bayley Motor Index	-	-
IES-R Intrusion	Ventilatory time	-	-
	Length of hospital stay	.037	.000 to .066*
	Associated anomalies	2.828	.076 to 5.170*
	Medical devices	-	-
	Bayley Motor Index	-	-

* $p < .01$.

provided for families identified as being at risk for emotional distress. Both professional and paraprofessional levels of 'targeted care' should be delivered by NICU staff. 'Clinical' care is emotional care provided for NICU parents with acute or diagnosable conditions by mental health professionals both within the NICU and through outside referrals. We agree with Hynan et al. [42,43] that psychological service within NICU should assess at admission the psychosocial needs and resources of the family in order to meet the various levels of family need. Literature suggests a variety of treatment approaches, including interpersonal therapy, short-term dynamic therapy, cognitive therapy, behavior therapy, couples and family therapy, mindfulness training and infant mental health. Interventional studies are advocated to assess the best treatment approach for this specific population.

When considering associated risk factors for PMTS, literature is still limited and controversial. To date, most of the literature focused on PTSS in mothers of children hospitalized in the NICU finding an incidence ranging from 5% to 51%, whereas in the few studies including fathers, the incidence ranged from 8% to 33% [5,26,44,45]. In contrast to the general literature, which shows a higher vulnerability in mothers, we did not observe a significant gender difference in traumatic responses. In other words, in the time past the end of active treatment, a high percentage of both mothers and fathers presents symptoms of the PMTS. Our data are in line with Aftika and colleagues [26] who reported that the differences in the prevalence of PTSS in the mothers and fathers of infants hospitalized in the NICU (51% and 33%, respectively) were not statistically significant. This finding highlights the equally high risk for psychological maladjustment in relation to a newborn undergoing surgery, both in mothers and in fathers [5,44,46]. This finding seems to corroborate our previous study suggesting that NICU and follow-up program should be couple-centered rather than mother-centered [46].

With regard to the influence of demographic factors on the occurrence of PTSS, in the population of parents of children hospitalized in the NICU, it is still not well understood. Some authors reported statistically significant impacts of several demographic factors, while others negated it [44,47]. Our data are in line with that reported by Lefkowitz et al. [5] suggesting that parents' age, nationality, distance from home, socioeconomic status, and level of education do not seem to play a significant role in determining PMTS symptoms. In other words, according to our data, sociodemographic data should not be taken into consideration to identify subjects and families at risk.

As previously mentioned, we also considered the relationship between clinical variables and the onset of PMTS symptoms. Our data highlight several potential risk factors in this specific population: duration of hospital stay, days of mechanical ventilation, presence of associated anomalies, medical devices at discharge, and motor score at Bayley III at six months. Our data are consistent with that reported by Holditch-Davis et al. [29] with mothers of high-risk premature babies, who reported that infant illness was unrelated to maternal PTSD symptoms, and in contrast with few studies reporting that illness severity was related to maternal PTSD symptoms [15,28]. In particular, our findings with regard to the duration of ventilatory time are in line with that reported by Chang and colleagues [48] in mothers of preterm infants. These data seem to suggest that postoperative intensive care may impose further distress on parents owing to the sight of their mechanically, fragile, at-risk ventilated child. According to literature, parents' subjective interpretation of medical events such as the perception of life-threat is one of the most powerful predictors of PMTS [19]. Regarding hospital stay, our data are only partially in line with that reported by Helfricht et al. [6] in parents of children with CHD. According to this author overall duration of hospital stay correlates with the psychological state of fathers at 6 months and with the use of social service in mothers. According to the same author duration of intensive care does not significantly contribute to the prediction of maternal PTSD severity at 6 months.

Interestingly PMTS symptoms in parents were significantly inversely correlated with motor development at 6 months: higher scores on the

motor scale were associated to lower scores in parental PMTS. There is a growing body of research on the detrimental effect of maternal anxiety on infant and child development [49,50]. As reported by Cook and colleagues [51] in a systematic review, evidence of an association between maternal PTSD and child development is still contradictory. Our clinical experience suggests considering motor outcome as a protective factor for parental PMTS, which seems to depend not so much upon the stressor as to how one copes with stress [52]. In other words, we can speculate that having a child achieving on-time developmental milestones could be considered a protective factor that affects parental psychological status. Nevertheless, further studies are needed in our study population to assess if PMTS symptoms affect motor delay or vice versa.

The significant correlation between medical devices at dismissal and parental PMTS symptoms at six months underlines the extra strain this may represent for families regardless of the type of anomaly, reflecting long-term challenges related to having a child with a malformation. In this regard, Ludman et al. [53] suggested that caring for a child with a medical device constantly reminds parents that their child was born with a life-threatening condition.

Further analysis was performed to identify risk factors able to predict higher level of PMTS symptoms. Interestingly, length of hospital stay and number of associated malformations were the only significant predictive variables. This result highlights the importance of the clinical history of the child in predicting PMTS, which is in contrast with Helfricht et al. [6] who underlined the relevance of interpersonal rather than surgery-specific variables. Our result could be useful to easily identify parents at risk who deserve particular attention during recovery and a closer follow-up after dismissal. It would be worthwhile to perform further studies to confirm this result and identify specific cut-off values (days of hospital stay, number of associated malformations) predicting clinical PMTS symptoms.

This study presents some limitations: we do not have PMTS symptoms assessment in Phase I and II of the model so we cannot differentiate between chronic trajectory and delayed onset; this is a single-centered study and the size of the sample, although considerable owing to the rarity of studied conditions, does not allow definite conclusions; finally, it is important to emphasize that our correlational results show only relations and not causality between variables; therefore, they should be interpreted with caution. Further studies on this topic are advocated in order to gain more information about trauma processing considering this specific population and interventional studies to assess the best treatment approach for this specific population.

4. Conclusions

The birth of a child represents a traumatic experience. PMTS represents a useful model to describe psychological reactions of parents of newborns with a life-threatening congenital anomaly requiring surgery. After the time past the end of active medical treatment, only half of parents show a resilient or recovery trajectory. Regardless of the type of anomaly and sociodemographic factors, it is the clinical history of the child which seems to predict the severity of PMTS symptoms in this population of parents. NICU and outpatient pediatric staff should be aware of risk factors in order to identify families which may request early multidisciplinary interventions since the first admission.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclosures

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- [1] De Young AC, Hendrikz J, Kenardy JA, et al. Prospective evaluation of parent distress following pediatric burns and identification of risk factors for young child and parent posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*. 2014;24:9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cap.2013.0066>.
- [2] McCarthy MC, Ashley DM, Lee KJ, et al. Predictors of acute and posttraumatic stress symptoms in parents following their child's cancer diagnosis. *J Trauma Stress*. 2012; 25:558–66. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21745>.
- [3] Nagata S, Funakoshi S, Amae S, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder in mothers of children who have undergone surgery for congenital disease at a pediatric surgery department. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2008;43:1480–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2007.12.055>.
- [4] Nelson LP, Gold JL. Posttraumatic stress disorder in children and their parents following admission to the pediatric intensive care unit: a review. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2012;13:338–47. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.0b013e3182196a8f>.
- [5] Lefkowitz DS, Baxt C, Evans JR. Prevalence and correlates of posttraumatic stress and postpartum depression in parents of infants in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 2010;17:230–7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-010-9202-7>.
- [6] Helfrich S, Latal B, Fischer JE, et al. Surgery-related posttraumatic stress disorder in parents of children undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass surgery: a prospective cohort study. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2008;9:217–23. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.0b013e318166e6c3>.
- [7] Aftika A, Rybojad B, Rosa W, et al. Risk factors for the development of post-traumatic stress disorder and coping strategies in mothers and fathers following infant hospitalisation in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Clin Nurs*. 2017;26: 4436–45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13773>.
- [8] Le Gouëz M, Alvarez L, Rousseau V, et al. Posttraumatic stress reactions in parents of children esophageal atresia. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(3):e0150760. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150760>.
- [9] Aite L, Bevilacqua F, Zaccara A, et al. Seeing their children in pain: symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder in mothers of children with an anomaly requiring surgery at birth. *Am J Perinatol*. 2016;33:770–5. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1572543>.
- [10] Kubota A, Yamakawa S, Yamamoto E, et al. Major neonatal surgery: psychosocial consequence of the patient and mothers. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2016;51:364–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.09.017>.
- [11] Caplan A. Psychological impact of esophageal atresia: review of the research and clinical evidence. *Dis Esophagus*. 2013;26:392–400. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dote.12056>.
- [12] Muscara F, McCarthy M, Woolf C, et al. Early psychological reactions in parents of children with a life threatening illness within a pediatric hospital setting. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2015;30:555–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2014.12.008>.
- [13] Bosquet Enlow M, Egeland B, Carlson E, et al. Mother–infant attachment and the intergenerational transmission of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2014;26:41–65. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579413000515>.
- [14] Forcada-Guex M, Borghini A, Pierrehumbert B, et al. Prematurity, maternal posttraumatic stress and consequences on the mother–infant relationship. *Early Hum Dev*. 2011;87:21–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2010.09.006>.
- [15] Pierrehumbert B. Parental post-traumatic reactions after premature birth: implications for sleeping and eating problems in the infant. *Arch Dis Child - Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2003;88:400F 404. <https://doi.org/10.1136/fn.88.5.F400>.
- [16] Muller-Nix C, Forcada-Guex M, Pierrehumbert B, et al. Prematurity, maternal stress and mother–child interactions. *Early Hum Dev*. 2004;79:145–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2004.05.002>.
- [17] National Child Traumatic Stress Network. Pediatric medical traumatic stress toolkit for health care providers. Retrieved from <https://www.nctsn.org/resources/pediatric-medical-traumatic-stress-toolkit-health-care-providers>; 2004.
- [18] Lakatos PP, Matic T, Carson M, et al. Child–parent psychotherapy with infants hospitalized in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 2019;26: 584–96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-019-09614-6>.
- [19] Price J, Kassam-Adams N, Alderfer MA, et al. Systematic review: a reevaluation and update of the integrative (trajectory) model of pediatric medical traumatic stress. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2016;41:86–97. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsv074>.
- [20] Bayley N. Bayley scales of infant and toddler development. Administration manual. 3rd ed. Florence, Italy: Giunti OS; 2010.
- [21] Rose D, Harrison E. Social class in Europe: an introduction to the European socioeconomic classification. London and New York: Routledge; 2010.
- [22] Weiss DS, Marmar CR. The impact of event scale-revised. In: Wilson JP, Keane TM, editors. Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD. New York: Guilford; 1997. p. 399–411. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-70990-1_10.
- [23] Horowitz M, Wilner N, Alvarez W. Impact of event scale: a measure of subjective stress. *Psychosom Med*. 1979;41(03):209–18. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-197905000-00004>.
- [24] Craparo G, Faraci P, Rotondo G, et al. The impact of event scale-revised: psychometric properties of the Italian version in a sample of flood victims. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2013;9:1427–32. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S51793>.
- [25] American Psychiatric Association. Manuale Diagnostico e Statistico dei Disturbi Mentali, quinta edizione, DSM-5. Milano, Italy: Raffaello Cortina Editore; 2014.
- [26] Aftika A, Rybojad B, Rozalska-Walaszek I, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder in parents of children hospitalized in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU): medical and demographic risk factors. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2014;26(4):347–52.
- [27] Ahlund S, Clarke P, Hill J, et al. Post-traumatic stress symptoms in mothers of very low birth weight infants 2–3 years post-partum. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. 2009;12:261–4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-009-0067-4>.
- [28] Feeley N, Zelkowitz P, Cormier C, et al. Posttraumatic stress among mothers of very low birthweight infants at 6 months after discharge from the neonatal intensive care unit. *Appl Nurs Res*. 2011;24:114–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2009.04.004>.
- [29] Holditch-Davis D, Miles MS, Weaver MA, et al. Patterns of distress in African-American mothers of preterm infants. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*. 2009;30:193–205. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0b013e3181a7ee53>.
- [30] Karatzias T, Chouliara Z, Maxton F, et al. Post-traumatic symptomatology in parents with premature infants: a systematic review of the literature. *J Prenat Perinat Psychol Heal*. 2007;21:249–60.
- [31] Kersting A, Dorsch M, Wesselmann U, et al. Maternal posttraumatic stress response after the birth of a very low-birth-weight infant. *J Psychosom Res*. 2004;57:473–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2004.03.011>.
- [32] Olde E, van der Hart O, Kleber R, et al. Posttraumatic stress following childbirth: a review. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2006;26:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2005.07.002>.
- [33] Balluffi A, Kassam-Adams N, Kazak A, et al. Traumatic stress in parents of children admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2004;5: 547–53. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.PCC.0000137354.19807.44>.
- [34] Garel M, Dardennes M, Blondel B. Mothers' psychological distress 1 year after very preterm childbirth. Results of the epigap qualitative study. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2007;33:137–43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00663.x>.
- [35] Brosig CL, Whitstone BN, Frommelt MA, et al. Psychological distress in parents of children with severe congenital heart disease: the impact of prenatal versus postnatal diagnosis. *J Perinatol*. 2007;27:687–92. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jp.7211807>.
- [36] Skreden M, Skari H, Malt UF, et al. Long-term parental psychological distress among parents of children with a malformation — a prospective longitudinal study. *Am J Med Genet Part A*. 2010;152:2193–202. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.33605>.
- [37] Brockington I. Postpartum psychiatric disorders. *Lancet*. 2004;24:303–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)15390-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15390-1).
- [38] Forcada-Guex M, Pierrehumbert B, Borghini A, et al. Early dyadic patterns of mother–infant interactions and outcomes of prematurity at 18 months. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118: e107–14. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-1145>.
- [39] Shaw RJ, John NS, Lilo EA, et al. Prevention of traumatic stress in mothers with preterm infants: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132:e886–94. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-1331>.
- [40] Kazak AE. Pediatric psychosocial preventative health model (PPPHM): research, practice, and collaboration in pediatric family system medicine. *Fam Systems Health*. 2006;24:381–95.
- [41] Hynan MT, Steinberg Z, Baker L, et al. Recommendations for mental health professionals in the NICU. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S14–8.
- [42] Hynan MT, Mounts KO, Vanderbilt DL. Screening parents of high-risk infants for emotional distress: rationale and recommendations. *J Perinatol*. 2013;33:748–53.
- [43] Hynan MT, Hall SL. Psychosocial program standards for NICU parents. *J Perinatol*. 2015;35:S1–4.
- [44] Youngblut JAM, Brooten D, Cantwell GP, et al. Parent health and functioning 13 months after infant or child NICU/PICU death. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132:e1295–301. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-1194>.
- [45] Hatters Friedman S, Kessler A, Nagle Yang S, et al. Delivering perinatal psychiatric services in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Acta Paediatr*. 2013;102:392–7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.12323>.
- [46] Bevilacqua F, Morini F, Zaccara A, et al. Couples facing the birth of a newborn with a congenital anomaly: PTSD symptoms in the first year. *Am J Perinatol*. 2018;35: 1168–72. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1641591>.
- [47] Shaban Z, Dolatian M, Shams J, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) after childbirth: prevalence and contributing factors. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2013;15: 177–82. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.2312>.
- [48] Chang HP, Chen JY, Huang YH, et al. Factors associated with post-traumatic symptoms in mothers of preterm infants. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2016;30:96–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2015.08.019>.
- [49] Prenoveau JM, Craske MG, West V, et al. Maternal postnatal depression and anxiety and their association with child emotional negativity and behavior problems at two years. *Dev Psychol*. 2017;53:50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000221>.
- [50] Koen N, Brittain K, Donald KA, et al. Maternal posttraumatic stress disorder and infant developmental outcomes in a South African birth cohort study. *Psychol Trauma Theory Res Pract Policy*. 2017;9:292–300. <https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0000234>.
- [51] Cook N, Ayers S, Horsch A. Maternal posttraumatic stress disorder during the perinatal period and child outcomes: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2018;225:18–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.07.045>.
- [52] Ogińska-Bulik N, Juczyński Z. Rozwój potraumatyczny-charakterystyka i pomiar. *Psychiatria*. 2010;7:129–42.
- [53] Ludman E, Katon W, Bush T, et al. Behavioural factors associated with symptom outcomes in a primary care-based depression prevention intervention trial. *Psychol Med*. 2003;33:1061–70. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329170300816X>.