

CORRESPONDENCE

MINS and postoperative haemoglobin: statistics versus reality?

Henrik Kehlet

Rigshospitalet, Section of Surgical Pathophysiology, Copenhagen, Denmark

E-mail: henrik.kehlet@regionh.dk

Keywords: anaemia; cardiovascular risk; myocardial injury; noncardiac surgery; surgical stress

Editor—Turan and colleagues¹ recently published a retrospective analysis suggesting that the risk of myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery (MINS) may be associated with low postoperative (≤ 3 days) blood haemoglobin concentration, a relevant hypothesis with limited other data available.² However, despite a statistical model adjusting for all potential confounders in Table 1, and where the 'low' haemoglobin group had more hypotension, more colloids, more crystalloids, more bleeding, and more transfusion, the authors did not mention that the different patient groups were not compared according to the actual surgical procedure with regard to the probably more important factor of surgical trauma response (inflammation, etc.). As apparent from Appendix 2, the procedures ranged from non-stressful endoscopy to major abdominal surgery, which pose major differences in not only myocardial function/demand; other organ-specific stress responses are not discussed. Consequently, the relevant topic of postoperative haemoglobin (anaemia) and MINS needs to be studied in

more detail under conditions of comparable surgical stress and patients' characteristics.

Declaration of interest

The author declares that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Turan A, Cohen B, Rivas E, et al. Association between postoperative haemoglobin and myocardial injury after noncardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort analysis. *Br J Anaesth* 2021; **126**: 94–101
2. Kougias P, Sharath S, Mi Z, Biswas K, Mills JL. Effect of postoperative permissive anemia and cardiovascular risk status on outcomes after major general and vascular surgery operative interventions. *Ann Surg* 2019; **270**: 602–11

doi: [10.1016/j.bja.2020.11.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.11.017)

Advance Access Publication Date: 17 December 2020

© 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.bja.2020.11.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.11.030).

Reality supported by statistics. Comment on *Br J Anaesth* 2021; 126: e83

Alparslan Turan* and Daniel I. Sessler

Department of Outcomes Research, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: TuranA@ccf.org

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.bja.2020.11.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.11.017).