

CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum to ‘Propofol-based anaesthesia versus sevoflurane-based anaesthesia for living donor kidney transplantation: results of the VAPOR-1 randomized controlled trial’ (*Br J Anaesth* 2017; 118: 720–32)

Gertrude J. Nieuwenhuijs-Moeke^{1,*}, Vincent B. Nieuwenhuijs^{2,3}, Marc A. J. Seelen⁴, Stefan P. Berger⁴, Marius C. van den Heuvel⁵, Johannes G. M. Burgerhof⁶, Petra J. Ottens², Rutger J. Ploeg^{2,7}, Henri G. D. Leuvenink² and Michel M. R. F. Struys^{1,8}


¹Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ²Department of Surgery, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ³Department of Surgery, Isala, Zwolle, The Netherlands, ⁴Department of Nephrology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ⁵Department of Pathology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ⁶Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, ⁷Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK and ⁸Department of Basic and Applied Medical Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

*Corresponding author. E-mail: g.j.nieuwenhuijs-moeke@umcg.nl

During a post hoc analysis of data of the VAPOR-1 study, it came to light that an error has been made in the correction for volume of KIM-1 levels measured in urine on Day 9 (T11, 216 h), owing to a programming error in the applied formula. The authors

implemented the correct formula and recalculated the KIM-1 levels at Day 9.

This changes the KIM-1 graphs in Figure 2 to the following graphs:



In addition, values in Table 3 should be:

KIM-1 ($\mu\text{g mmol}^{-1}$)	PROP	SEVO	PROSE	P
Day 9	172.7 (142.9–363.3)	287.0 (160.0–463.8)	255.4 (97.1–482.6)	0.715

The authors would like to emphasise that there are no mistakes in relation to the integrity of data. The overall results and conclusions of the study remain unchanged.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aex057>