

**Response to: “Comment on  
Achieving Painless Anesthesia”**



*To the Editor:* Thank you to Resnik et al<sup>1</sup> for their comment on our article.<sup>2</sup> We read with interest the publication of Zaiac et al<sup>3</sup> on diluted lidocaine, which proved to be superior to buffered lidocaine. We were not aware of this simple and highly effective technique and apologize for not having quoted this valuable reference. As next step, we should conduct a randomized controlled trial on the pain on infiltration of diluted lidocaine versus lidocaine that is buffered with sodium bicarbonate in a 3:1 ratio.

*Jürg Hafner, MD*

*From the Department of Dermatology, University Hospital of Zurich, Switzerland.*

*Funding sources: None.*

*Conflicts of interest: None disclosed.*

*IRB approval status: Not applicable.*

*Reprints not available from the author.*

*Correspondence to: Jürg Hafner, MD, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital of Zurich, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland*

*E-mail: [juerg.hafner@usz.ch](mailto:juerg.hafner@usz.ch)*

**REFERENCES**

1. Resnik SR, Abrouk M, Zaiac M. Comment on achieving painless anesthesia. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020;83(6):e463.
2. Vent A, Surber C, Graf Johansen NT, et al. Buffered lidocaine 1%, epinephrine 1:100'000 with sodium bicarbonate (hydrogen carbonate) in a 3:1 ratio is less painful than a 9:1 ratio: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2020;83(1):159-165.
3. Zaiac M, Aguilera SB, Zaulyanov-Scanlan L, Caperton C, Chimento S. Virtually painless local anesthesia: diluted lidocaine proves to be superior to buffered lidocaine for subcutaneous infiltration. *J Drugs Dermatol.* 2012;11:e39-e42.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2020.08.008>