



# Family Rooms in Neonatal Intensive Care Units and Neonatal Outcomes: An International Survey and Linked Cohort Study

Liisa Lehtonen, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Shoo K. Lee, MBBS, FRCPC, PhD<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Satoshi Kusuda, MD, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Kei Lui, MD<sup>6</sup>, Mikael Norman, MD, PhD<sup>7</sup>, Dirk Bassler, MD, MSc<sup>8</sup>, Stellan Håkansson, MD, PhD<sup>9</sup>, Maximo Vento, MD, PhD<sup>10</sup>, Brian A. Darlow, MD<sup>11</sup>, Mark Adams, PhD<sup>8</sup>, Monia Puglia, MSc<sup>12</sup>, Tetsuya Isayama, MD, PhD<sup>13</sup>, Akihiko Noguchi, MD<sup>14</sup>, Naho Morisaki, MD, PhD<sup>15</sup>, Kjell Helenius, MD<sup>1</sup>, Brian Reichman, MBChB<sup>16</sup>, Prakesh S. Shah, MD, MSc<sup>2,4,17</sup>, on behalf of the International Network for Evaluating Outcomes of Neonates (iNeo)\*

**Objectives** To evaluate the proportion of neonatal intensive care units with facilities supporting parental presence in their infants' rooms throughout the 24-hour day (ie, infant-parent rooms) in high-income countries and to analyze the association of this with outcomes of extremely preterm infants.

**Study design** In this survey and linked cohort study, we analyzed unit design and facilities for parents in 10 neonatal networks of 11 countries. We compared the composite outcome of mortality or major morbidity, length of stay, and individual morbidities between neonates admitted to units with and without infant-parent rooms by linking survey responses to patient data from 2015 for neonates of less than 29 weeks of gestation.

**Results** Of 331 units, 13.3% (44/331) provided infant-parent rooms. Patient-level data were available for 4662 infants admitted to 159 units in 7 networks; 28% of the infants were cared for in units with infant-parent rooms. Neonates from units with infant-parent rooms had lower odds of mortality or major morbidity (aOR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64-0.89), including lower odds of sepsis and bronchopulmonary dysplasia, than those from units without infant-parent rooms. The adjusted mean length of stay was 3.4 days shorter (95%, CI -4.7 to -3.1) in the units with infant-parent rooms.

**Conclusions** The majority of units in high-income countries lack facilities to support parents' presence in their infants' rooms 24 hours per day. The availability vs absence of infant-parent rooms was associated with lower odds of composite outcome of mortality or major morbidity and a shorter length of stay. (*J Pediatr* 2020;226:112-7).

There is increasing evidence for the benefits of involving parents in neonatal intensive care, which include lower stress, depression, and anxiety levels in parents, and better cognitive (especially language) development in preterm infants.<sup>1-6</sup> Parent-infant skin-to-skin contact has been shown to decrease mortality and infections and improve head growth.<sup>7</sup> A cluster-randomized intervention to increase parental presence and involvement in their infant's care improved weight gain in preterm infants.<sup>8</sup>

Parent presence can be supported by modifying unit architecture so parents can stay in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) throughout the 24-hour day. The opportunity for parents to stay overnight with their infants in the NICU can be achieved with single-family room model, but may also be achieved with a wide variety of design solutions not limited to single-family rooms. Single-family rooms have been shown to associate with lower rates of infections, shorter length of stay, better production of maternal breast milk, and improved cognitive and language outcomes for very low birth weight infants compared with units without single-family rooms.<sup>5,6,9,10</sup> Other NICU designs offering the opportunity for parents to stay with their infant in the NICU have been shown to encourage parental presence.<sup>11</sup> Therefore, this study focused on the availability of infant-parent rooms, defined as facilities for parents to stay 24 hours per day with their infants.

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Turku University Hospital; and Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Mount Sinai Hospital; <sup>3</sup>Departments of Pediatrics and Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto; <sup>4</sup>Maternal-infant Care Research Center, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>5</sup>Neonatal Research Network of Japan, Kyorin University, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>6</sup>Royal Hospital for Women, National Perinatal Epidemiology and Statistic Unit, University of New South Wales, Randwick, Australia; <sup>7</sup>Department of Neonatal Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital and Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>8</sup>Department of Neonatology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; <sup>9</sup>Department of Clinical Science/Pediatrics, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; <sup>10</sup>Division of Neonatology and Health Research Institute of La Fe University Hospital, Valencia, Spain; <sup>11</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Otago, Christchurch, Canterbury, New Zealand; <sup>12</sup>Unit of Epidemiology, Regional Health Agency, Florence, Italy; <sup>13</sup>Division of Neonatology, National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>14</sup>Illinois Neonatal Network, Chicago, IL; <sup>15</sup>Neonatal Research Network Japan, Department of Social Medicine, National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>16</sup>Gertner Institute for Epidemiology and Health Policy Research, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel; and <sup>17</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Funding and disclosure information is available at [www.jpeds.com](http://www.jpeds.com). Additional members of the iNeo investigators is available at [www.jpeds.com](http://www.jpeds.com) (Appendix 1).

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter. © 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.06.009>

BPD	Bronchopulmonary dysplasia
iNeo	International Network for Evaluating Outcomes of Neonates
NICU	Neonatal intensive care unit

The International Network for Evaluating Outcomes of Neonates (iNeo) is a multinational collaboration of national or regional neonatal data networks including 11 countries. It provides a platform for the comparative evaluation of the care environment and outcomes of extremely preterm infants and very low birth weight infants at the national, site, and patient levels, and aims to improve outcomes for these infants.<sup>12-14</sup>

Our objectives for this international study were to survey NICU facilities for parents and assess whether the availability of infant-parent rooms, allowing parents to stay 24 hours per day with their infants, is associated at the patient level with the composite outcome of mortality or any major morbidity or with the length of stay in hospital. In secondary analyses, we studied each morbidity individually. We hypothesized that availability of infant-parent rooms is associated with improvements in the medical outcomes.

## Methods

We created a web-based survey including several questions with predefined answer options related to NICU facilities. The survey was prepiloted by the directors of the 10 participating iNeo networks to reach a consensus on content, relevance, and appropriateness of the possible responses. The relevant survey questions addressed unit type and size, unit design, and physical facilities for parents within or outside the unit ([Appendix 2](#); available at [www.jpeds.com](http://www.jpeds.com)). None of the questions asked was mandatory and responders could elect not to answer any question. The survey response rate was monitored on a weekly basis. A reminder questionnaire was sent twice (at a monthly interval) to units that did not respond. The survey was first sent in August 2016 and was closed by December 2016. We asked participants to respond based on how their unit was designed in 2015.

Online questionnaires were sent by e-mail to the directors of 10 population-based national or regional neonatal networks involved in iNeo that chose to participate in this survey; these included the Illinois Neonatal Network, which joined the iNeo collaboration for the purpose of providing survey responses, but did not provide data on outcomes. The network directors forwarded the survey to the unit director or representative of each participating NICU within their network; these individuals were responsible for completing the survey. The survey was distributed to 390 NICUs participating in the following networks: Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network (ANZNN;  $n = 28$ ), Canadian Neonatal Network ( $n = 30$ ), Finnish Medical Birth Register (FinMBR;  $n = 5$ ), Illinois Neonatal Network in the US (ILNN;  $n = 18$ ), Israel Neonatal Network (INN;  $n = 26$ ), Neonatal Research Network Japan ( $n = 204$ ), Spanish Neonatal Network (SEN1500;  $n = 57$ ), Swedish National Quality Register ( $n = 6$ ), Swiss Neonatal Network ( $n = 12$ ), and Tuscany Neonatal Network in Italy ( $n = 4$ ). All units were level 3 NICUs or mixed level 3 and level 2 NICUs

providing specialized care for infants born at less than 29 weeks of gestation.

Data on NICU design were reported using descriptive statistics. The distributions of survey answers within each network were described in absolute numbers or percentages for categorical variables. Patient-level data for neonates of less than 29 weeks of gestation in 2015 were available for infants admitted to 191 units in 7 networks (Canadian Neonatal Network, Finnish Medical Birth Register, Israel Neonatal Network, Neonatal Research Network Japan, Swedish National Quality Register, Switzerland Neonatal network, Tuscany Neonatal Network in Italy). An infant-parent room was defined as a patient room providing parents facilities to stay 24 hours per day with their infant in the same room in the NICU; this was not necessarily a single-family room and it did not necessarily allow for the mother's own medical care. Morbidities included culture-proven sepsis; bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), defined as supplemental oxygen at 36 weeks of post-menstrual age or discharge from unit; intraventricular hemorrhage grades 3 or 4 or periventricular leukomalacia; and treated retinopathy of prematurity.

## Analyses

For networks and units where linkage was possible between survey responses and patient outcomes, frequencies (percentages) or means  $\pm$  SD were compared for neonates admitted to units with infant-parent rooms (regardless of how many such rooms there were in the unit) or without any infant-parent rooms. In an adjusted model, we compared NICU outcomes: composite of mortality or any major morbidity and length of hospital stay as primary outcomes, and individual morbidities separately as secondary outcomes. Differences between groups were assessed using the Pearson  $\chi^2$  test for categorical outcomes and the Student  $t$  test for continuous outcomes. Multivariable logistic analyses (or general linear regressions) were applied to neonatal outcomes.

The aORs and 95% CI were estimated after adjustment for gestational age, birth weight z-score, multiple birth status, sex, country, and center volume. Gestational age and birth weight z-score were treated as linear continuous variables. Center volume was categorized into big, mid-sized, or small center based on total number of infants admitted annually (cut-offs were set at 60 infants and 30 infants).

All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina) with a 2-sided significance level of .05. Seven networks allowed linkage between survey responses and unit-level patient data.

All participating networks obtained ethics/regulatory approval or the equivalent from their local research ethics committees as part of the protocol for collaborative comparisons of international health services and practices for quality improvement in neonatal care.<sup>12</sup> Specific approval for this study was obtained from the Research Ethics Board at Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, where the project was coordinated. Responders were asked to complete the survey only if they provided consent for data assimilation

(unit-level survey results with unit-level patient outcomes) and anonymous reporting.

## Results

Overall, 331 (85%) of the 390 contacted units responded, with response rates of 77% to 100% among participating networks. On average among the 331 responding units, 154 units (47%) cared for 20 or fewer patients per day, 69 (21%) cared for 21-30 patients, 53 (16%) cared for 31-40 patients, 22 (7%) cared for 41-50 patients, and 24 units (7%) cared for more than 50 patients per day.

A total of 36 units (12%) had single-patient rooms available (variability between networks ranged from 0% in Israel to 38% in Illinois). Many units ( $n = 142$  [44%]) had large rooms accommodating 9-16 babies, and some units ( $n = 43$  [13%]) had very large rooms for more than 16 neonates. Many units had a mixture of different types of rooms. **Table I** presents the distribution of available room types (by neonate capacity) across units in each of the participating networks.

Infant-parent rooms were available in 44 of 327 units (13.4%) (variability between networks: 0%-40%) (**Table II**). It was more common to have a room for the family just before discharge to allow them an overnight stay with their infant; 196 of 326 units (60%) provided this facility (variability between networks: 0%-83%). A total of 196 of 326 units (60%) had a lounge for parents (variability between networks: 35%-100%) and 91 of 326 units (28%) had a kitchen for parents (variability between networks: 0%-100%). Only 2 networks had no infant-parent rooms.

The baseline characteristics of infants admitted to units with and without family rooms are presented in **Table III**. The mean gestational age at birth was lower in infants admitted to the units with infant-parent rooms ( $25.8 \pm 1.8$  weeks) compared with infants admitted to units without infant-parent rooms ( $26.0 \pm 1.7$  weeks) ( $P < .01$ ).

Patient-level data for neonates less than 29 weeks of gestation in 2015 were available for 4662 infants admitted to 159

units in 7 networks. Of these, 28% of neonates ( $n = 1319$ ) were cared for in a unit with infant-parent room(s). As shown in **Table IV**, compared with infants in units with no such facilities, infants cared for in units with infant-parent rooms had lower odds of death or any major morbidity (aOR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64-0.89). In the secondary analyses for individual morbidities, infants cared for in units with infant-parent rooms had lower odds of sepsis (aOR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.66-0.97) and BPD (aOR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.61-0.86). The adjusted mean length of stay was 3.4 days shorter (95% CI,  $-4.7$  to  $-3.1$ ) in units with infant-parent rooms compared with those without. **Table IV** presents unadjusted and adjusted odds for the medical outcomes of infants admitted to units with and without facilities for parents to stay with their infants 24 hours per day. The most adjusted model includes the annual volume of patients per unit as a covariate.

## Discussion

In this survey, 13.4% of NICUs in 10 neonatal networks representing 11 high-income countries offered facilities (infant-parent rooms) allowing parents to spend 24 hours per day in the unit with their infants. Only 2 networks had no infant-parent rooms. The availability of infant-parent rooms was associated with lower odds of composite outcome of mortality or morbidity, lower odds for sepsis and BPD, and shorter hospital stay among preterm infants of less than 29 weeks of gestation compared with units without infant-parent rooms.

Parents' presence in NICUs has been supported and studied using single-family rooms since the early 1990s.<sup>14</sup> Single-family room designs, with the related changes in care, have been shown to provide benefits to infants, families, and staff.<sup>4,15,16</sup> Our results are in concordance with a recent meta-analysis showing that preterm infants cared for in single-family rooms vs open bay units had lower odds for sepsis.<sup>17</sup> The potential mechanisms are several, including better hygienic routines in single-family rooms and more frequent and longer skin-to-skin contact, which has been shown to associate with lower sepsis rates.<sup>7</sup>

**Table I.** Distribution of available room types for neonates across units in 10 networks

Maximum number of infants per room*	ANZNN (n = 28)	CNN (n = 30)	FinMBR (n = 5)	ILNN (n = 16)	INN (n = 26)	NRNJ (n = 157)	SEN1500 (n = 48)	SNN (n = 11)	SNQ (n = 6)	TuscanNN (n = 4)	Total (n = 331)
1	4 (14)	8 (27)	2 (40)	6 (38)	0	3 (2)	9 (19)	1 (9)	2 (33)	1 (25)	36 (11)
<4	6 (21)	2 (7)	2 (40)	4 (25)	3 (12)	3 (2)	6 (12)	7 (63)	4 (67)	0	37 (11)
4-6	5 (18)	8 (27)	1 (20)	2 (12)	2 (8)	17 (11)	11 (23)	7 (63)	2 (33)	3 (75)	58 (18)
7-8	7 (25)	5 (17)	0	5 (31)	6 (23)	31 (20)	21 (44)	1 (9)	0	1 (25)	77 (23)
9-16	4 (14)	7 (23)	0	3 (19)	16 (62)	94 (60)	16 (33)	2 (18)	0	0	142 (43)
>16	5 (18)	3 (10)	0	1 (6)	1 (4)	30 (19)	2 (4)	0	0	1 (25)	43 (13)
Other	5 (18)	3 (10)	0	0	2 (8)	2 (1)	5 (10)	0	1 (16)	0	18 (5)

ANZNN, Australia and New Zealand Neonatal Network; CNN, Canadian Neonatal Network; FinMBR, Finland Medical Birth Register; ILNN, Illinois Neonatal Network; INN, Israel Neonatal Network; NRNJ, Neonatal Research Network Japan; SEN1500, Spanish Neonatal Network; SNN, Switzerland Neonatal network; SNQ, Swedish Neonatal Quality Register; TuscanNN, Tuscany Neonatal Network.

Values are number of units (%).

We report the number of units who responded to questions in network (none of the questions were mandatory and some questions were not answered by some units).

\*Multiple responses were allowed as a unit may have many types of rooms.

**Table II. Unit facilities available for parents in the participating networks\***

Facilities	ANZNN (n = 28)	CNN (n = 30)	FinMBR (n = 5)	ILNN (n = 16)	INN (n = 26)	NRNJ (n = 157)	SEN1500 (n = 48)	SNN (n = 11)	SNQ (n = 6)	TuscanNN (n = 4)	Total (n = 331)
Parent and infant together 24/7	4 (15)	6 (20)	2 (40)	3 (19)	0	20 (13)	6 (13)	1 (9)	2 (33)	0	44 (13)
Care-by-parent rooms for trial run before discharge	21 (74)	25 (83)	3 (60)	10 (63)	6 (23)	103 (66)	16 (33)	7 (64)	5 (83)	0	197 (60)
Family rooms for overnight stay	23 (82)	22 (73)	3 (60)	11 (69)	7 (27)	33 (21)	10 (20)	8 (73)	6 (100)	0	123 (37)
Parent relaxation room with beds	12 (44)	11 (37)	3 (60)	4 (25)	6 (35)	20 (13)	16 (33)	8 (73)	2 (33)	1 (25)	83 (25)
Breast milk pumping room	25 (89)	23 (76)	2 (40)	14 (88)	26 (100)	135 (86)	40 (83)	10 (91)	6 (100)	4 (100)	285 (86)
Parent lounge	26 (93)	25 (83)	5 (100)	15 (94)	23 (88)	55 (35)	29 (60)	9 (82)	6 (100)	4 (100)	197 (60)
Parent kitchen/cooking facility	22 (78)	17 (57)	5 (100)	4 (25)	16 (63)	5 (3)	13 (28)	3 (28)	6 (100)	0	91 (27)

n, number of units in network; 24/7, 24 hours per day and 7 days per week.

\*All results are reported as number of units (%); the denominator varies for different question because none of the questions were mandatory and some units did not reply to some questions.

Our study also showed lower risk for BPD in units with infant-parent rooms. One randomized controlled trial showed, consistent with our findings, lower BPD rates in infants randomized to single-family rooms compared with those treated in a traditional unit with multiple babies in 1 room.<sup>10</sup> The mechanism behind this association is unclear. Potential explanations for the decrease in BPD include fewer infections, higher skin-to-skin care-related stability, and fewer fluctuations in oxygenation.<sup>2,7,9,18</sup> However, a difference in the risk for BPD was not evident in a meta-analysis comparing the single-family room and open bay unit designs.<sup>17</sup>

There are studies showing that single-family room architecture and parental involvement may be associated with shorter length of hospital stay. A randomized study in Sweden showed a 10-day decrease in the length of stay among preterm infants born before 30 weeks of gestation when they received their care in a single-family room unit compared with a traditional room unit in the same hospital.<sup>10</sup> In the US, high maternal involvement in a single-family room unit was associated with a 15-day decrease in the length of stay among very preterm infants.<sup>6</sup> However, the meta-analysis comparing single-family rooms and open bay units did not find a difference in the length of stay.<sup>17</sup> Our study showed a statistically significant decrease in the length of stay for extremely preterm infants cared for in a unit with infant-parent rooms.

The mechanisms explaining the benefits of infant-parent rooms are unclear. Because parental involvement has been

shown to confer benefits for infants, we expanded our study's scope from purely single-family room architecture to include facilities allowing parents to stay throughout the day with their infants.<sup>5,6</sup> Furthermore, designs that provide private patient rooms for preterm infants but no bed space for parents cannot be expected to yield the desired benefits. On the contrary, a study found such designs had negative effects on brain development and later cognitive development.<sup>19</sup>

Our results support the current standards for NICU design that emphasize the social needs of infants and families.<sup>20</sup> However, care facilities change slowly because it is costly to build new hospitals or renovate the overall layout of existing ones to include infant-parent rooms. In our survey, to compensate for the lack of infant-parent rooms, many hospitals had taken the first step to support parental presence by providing a separate room where parents could sleep in the hospital. Many hospitals provided parent support facilities, such as lounge and kitchen areas and breast pumping rooms. Such facilities are easier to integrate into existing units than are infant-parent rooms and are likely to play a role in parental engagement and presence at the infant's bedside as they are needed for families to stay in hospital for prolonged periods. These facilities can also allow other family members, like siblings, grandparents, and other persons close to the parents, to stay in the hospital and provide their support.

Our study reports on the facilities provided to parents in 331 NICUs. Another large network, the Vermont-Oxford Network, includes more than 1200 NICUs. It has reported increasing availability of single-family rooms; 20% of its hospitals in 2016 vs 13% in 2009, provided care for 91% or more of infants in single-family rooms (defined as rooms with at least 3 full walls and a single patient or siblings).<sup>21</sup> Even if facilities are improving, the questions about facilities for parents do not alone tell us how much and in what ways these facilities are used. There are also variations in the definitions and designs of single-family rooms. For instance, with respect to privacy, some units have rooms with transparent walls, or just 3 full walls, to maintain visual access, whereas others rely on technology to monitor patients and provide parents private time with their infants. Some units may accommodate several patients from unrelated families in 1 room and still provide the parents facilities to stay overnight. In addition

**Table III. Patient-level characteristics comparing NICUs with or without infant-parent rooms**

Characteristics	Neonates in units with infant-parent room(s) (n = 1319)	Neonates in units without any infant-parent rooms (n = 3343)	P value
Gestational age, weeks	25.8 ± 1.8	26.0 ± 1.7	<.01
Birth weight z score	-0.06 ± 0.92	-0.10 ± 0.99	.19
Multiples	315 (23.9)	847 (25.3)	.30
Male sex	727 (55.1)	1809 (54.2)	.55

Values are mean ± SD or number (%).

**Table IV. Patient-level characteristics comparing NICUs with or without infant-parent rooms**

Outcomes	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	aOR* (95% CI)	aOR† (95% CI)
Composite of mortality or any morbidity	0.95 (0.84, 1.08)	0.77 (0.65, 0.90)	0.76 (0.64, 0.89)
Mortality	0.85 (0.70 to 1.02)	0.81 (0.64 to 1.02)	0.79 (0.62 to 1.00)
Sepsis	0.84 (0.71 to 1.00)	0.80 (0.66 to 0.98)	0.80 (0.66 to 0.97)
BPD	1.10 (0.95 to 1.27)	0.72 (0.61 to 0.86)	0.72 (0.61 to 0.86)
Intraventricular hemorrhage/periventricular leukomalacia	1.14 (0.95 to 1.37)	1.09 (0.88 to 1.35)	1.08 (0.87 to 1.34)
Retinopathy of prematurity treatment	0.81 (0.66 to 0.99)	0.91 (0.71 to 1.16)	0.90 (0.70 to 1.15)
Length of stay, days	-7.5 (-10.7 to -4.4)	-4.4 (-7.8 to -1.1)‡	-3.4 (-4.7 to -3.1)‡

\*Adjusted for gestational age, birth weight z-score, multiple birth, sex, country.

†Adjusted for gestational age, birth weight z-score, multiple birth, sex, country, and center volume.

‡Coefficient (95% CI) from general linear regression.

to the design, the quality of family-centered care plays an important role in how the physical facilities are used. Parents' presence and their role in infant care can be limited by the staff even in the context of modern architecture. The effects of unit architecture and care culture are difficult to separate as it is likely that units with infant-parent rooms have also implemented other aspects of family-centered care more widely than units without infant-parent rooms. Therefore, we need more information about factors such as how long parents stay in these units, what roles parents play in the NICU, how parental presence is affected by different unit or room designs or different elements of family-centered care, and what roles are played by societal benefits for parents of sick newborns, including maternal and paternal leaves.

Our study has some limitations. First, we did not query the number or proportion of different types of patient rooms and we do not know which infants received the potential benefits of an infant-parent room. Therefore, an effect may have remained small because the number of infant-parent rooms was small in many units and limited the proportion of extremely preterm infants exposed to care in these facilities. We did not gather information on how these facilities were used by parents; for example, how long parents stayed in such units and what roles they played in the NICU, including skin-to-skin contact and maternal breast milk provision. The acceptability and feasibility of the survey were assessed but no psychometric testing was done for the questionnaire. Linking survey responses to patient-level data is an indirect way of comparing outcomes and could be subject to ecological fallacy. Although we adjusted for several risk factors that affect neonatal mortality and morbidity, we acknowledge that there are several background factors we could not adjust for, including the socioeconomic and ethnic backgrounds of the families, the number of staff members per patient and other NICU resources, and family-centered care practices. Finally, although many societal background factors are similar within a country, our approach of using country as a covariate can also be seen as a limitation. Despite these limitations, this international survey widens our knowledge about NICU design. Our study is among few that attempted to identify an association between unit design and neonatal outcomes. This approach provided us with information about the safety and even potential benefits of parental

presence in diverse NICU settings, populations, and societal contexts. However, the survey did not provide data on the long-term developmental outcomes of the preterm infants, which is an important area for future study.

In conclusion, we found that extremely preterm infants cared for in NICUs providing facilities for parents to stay with their infants for 24 hours per day had lower odds of mortality or morbidity and shorter lengths of stay. Although the majority of hospitals did not yet offer families the opportunity to stay overnight with their sick newborns, our results indicated an increasing awareness of the rights of children to be cared for by their parents as stated by the United Nations' Convention on the Rights of the Child. ■

*We acknowledge all site investigators and data abstractors of the networks participating in the iNeo consortium (Appendix 1) for their diligent work. We thank Heather McDonald Kinkaid, PhD, from the Maternal-infant Care Research Centre (MiCare) in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, for editorial assistance in the preparation of this manuscript; Josephine Hsieh, from MiCare, for organizational support for the survey; and Junmin Yang, from MiCare, for statistical support.*

Submitted for publication Jan 21, 2020; last revision received Apr 27, 2020; accepted Jun 3, 2020.

Reprint requests: Liisa Lehtonen, MD, PhD, Turku University Hospital, Kiinamyllynkatu 4-8, 20520 Turku, Finland. E-mail: liisa.lehtonen@utu.fi

## Data Statement

Data sharing statement available at [www.jpeds.com](http://www.jpeds.com).

## References

- Meyer EC, Coll CT, Lester BM, Boukydis CF, McDonough SM, Oh W. Family-based intervention improves maternal psychological well-being and feeding interaction of preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 1994;93:241-6.
- Lester BM, Hawes K, Abar B, Sullivan M, Miller R, Bigsby TY, et al. Single-family room care and neurobehavioral and medical outcomes in preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 2014;134:754-60.
- Vittner D, McGrath J, Robinson J, Lawhon G, Cusson R, Eisenfeld L, et al. Increase in oxytocin from skin-to-skin contact enhances development of parent-infant relationship. *Biol Res Nurs* 2018;20:54-62.
- Ahlqvist-Björkroth S, Axelin A, Korja R, Lehtonen L. An educational intervention for NICU staff decreased maternal postpartum depression. *Pediatr Res* 2019;85:982-6.

5. Lester BM, Salisbury AL, Hawes K, Dansereau LM, Bigsby R, Lupton A, et al. 18-month follow-up of infants cared for in a single-family room neonatal intensive care unit. *J Pediatr* 2016;177:84-9.
6. Vohr B, McGowan E, McKinley L, Tucker R, Keszler L, Alksninis B. Differential effects of the single family room neonatal intensive care unit on 18- to 24-month Bayley scores of preterm infants. *J Pediatr* 2017;185:42-8.
7. Boundy EO, Dastjerdi R, Spiegelman D, Fawzi WW, Missmer SA, Lieberman E, et al. Kangaroo mother care and neonatal outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2016;137:e20152238.
8. O'Brien K, Robson K, Bracht M, Cruz M, Lui K, Alvaro R, et al. Effectiveness of Family Integrated Care in neonatal intensive care units on infant and parent outcomes: a multicentre, multinational, cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health* 2018;2:245-54.
9. Domanico R, Davis DK, Coleman F, Davis BO. Documenting the NICU design dilemma: comparative patient progress in open-ward and single family room units. *J Perinatol* 2011;31:281-8.
10. Örténstrand A, Westrup B, Broström EB, Sarman I, Akerström S, Brune T, et al. The Stockholm Neonatal Family Centered Care Study: effects on length of stay and infant morbidity. *Pediatrics* 2010;125:e278-85.
11. Raiskila S, Axelin A, Toome L, Caballero S, Tandberg BS, Montirosso R, et al. Parents' presence and parent-infant closeness in 11 neonatal intensive care units in six European countries vary between and within the countries. *Acta Paediatr* 2017;106:878-88.
12. Shah PS, Lee SK, Lui K, Sjors G, Mori R, Reichman B, et al. The International Network for Evaluating Outcomes of very low birth weight, very preterm neonates (iNeo): a protocol for collaborative comparisons of international health services for quality improvement in neonatal care. *BMC Pediatr* 2014;14:110.
13. Shah PS, Lui K, Sjors G, Mirea L, Reichman B, Adams M, et al. Neonatal outcomes of very low birth weight and very preterm neonates: an international comparison. *J Pediatr* 2016;177:144-152:e6.
14. Shah PS, Lui K, Reichman B, Norman M, Kusuda S, Lehtonen L, et al. The International Network for Evaluating Outcomes (iNeo) of neonates: evolution, progress and opportunities. *Transl Pediatr* 2019;8:170-81.
15. Klaus MH, Kennell JH, Klaus PH. *Bonding: building the foundations of secure attachment and independence*. Reading (MA): Addison-Wesley; 1995.
16. Toivonen M, Lehtonen L, Löytyniemi E, Axelin A. Effects of single-family rooms on nurse-parent and nurse-infant interaction in neonatal intensive care unit. *Early Hum Dev* 2017;106-107:59-62.
17. Van Veenendaal NR, Heideman WH, Limpens J, van der Lee JH, van Goudoever JB, van Kempen MW, et al. Hospitalising preterm infants in single family rooms versus open bay units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Child Adolescent Health* 2019;3:147-57.
18. O'Callaghan N, Dee A, Philip RK. Evidence-based design for neonatal units: a systematic review. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol* 2019;5:6.
19. Pineda RG, Neil J, Dierker D, Smyser CD, Wallendorf M, Kidokoro H, et al. Alterations in brain structure and neurodevelopmental outcome in preterm infants hospitalized in different neonatal intensive care unit environments. *J Pediatr* 2014;164:52-60:e2.
20. White RD, Smith JA, Shepley MM. on behalf of the Committee to Establish Recommended Standards for Newborn ICU Design. Recommended standards for newborn ICU design, 8th edition. *J Perinatology* 2013;33(Suppl 1):S2.
21. Vermont Oxford Network [Internet]. Burlington, Vermont: VON. NICU by the Numbers: Increasingly, NICUs Integrate Families into Care with Single-Family Rooms. <https://public.vtoxford.org/nicu-by-the-numbers/increasingly-nicus-integrate-families-into-care-with-single-family-rooms/>. Accessed January 10, 2020.

## Funding and Conflicts of Interest Disclosure

iNeo has been supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (APR-126340 [to P.S.]), Canada. The Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network is predominantly funded by membership contributions from participating centers. The Canadian Neonatal Network is supported by a team grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CTP 87518), by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, and by the participating centers, Canada. The Finnish Medical Birth Register is governmentally funded and kept by the National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), Finland. The Israel Neonatal Network very low birth weight infant database is partially funded by the Israel Center for Disease Control and the Ministry of Health, Israel. The Neonatal Research Network Japan is partly funded by a Health Labour Sciences Research Grant from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. The Spanish Neonatal Network is supported by funds from the Spanish Neonatal Society (SENeo), Spain. The Swedish Neonatal Quality Register is funded by the Swedish Government (Ministry of Health and Social Affairs), the body of regional health care providers (Region Councils), and the participating units, Sweden. The Swiss Neonatal Network is partially funded by participating units in the form of membership fees, Sweden. The Tuscany Neonatal Network is funded by the Tuscany Region, Italy. This research was also supported by Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Carlos III (Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities, Kingdom of Spain) (FIS17/0131 [to M.V.]), Spain; and RETICS funded by the PN 2018-2021 (Spain), ISCIII- Sub-Directorate General for Research Assessment and Promotion, and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) [RD16/0022]. The funders played no role in the design and conduct of the study; the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; the writing, review, or approval of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Appendix 1

### Additional members of the iNeo Site Investigators

ANZNN (Australian and New Zealand Neonatal Network)  
 Kei Lui\* Chair of ANZNN. Flinders Medical Centre, SA: Peter Marshall. Gold Coast University Hospital, QLD: Peter Schmidt. Blacktown District Hospital, NSW: Anjali Dhawan\*. John Hunter Children's Hospital, NSW: Paul Craven, Koert de Waal\*. King Edward Memorial and Perth Children's Hospitals, WA: Karen Simmer, Andy Gill\*, Jane Pillow\*. Liverpool Hospital, NSW: Jacqueline Stack. Mater Mothers' Hospital, QLD: Pita Birch, Neonatal Retrieval Service, QLD: Lucy Cooke\*. Mercy Hospital for Women, VIC: Dan Casalaz, Jim Holberton\*. Monash Medical Centre, VIC: Alice Stewart. Nepean Hospital, NSW: Lyn Downe. Newborn Emergency Transport Service (VIC): Michael

Stewart. NSW Pregnancy and Newborn Services Network: Barbara Bajuk\*. NSW Newborn & Pediatric Emergency Transport Service: Andrew Berry. Royal Children's Hospital, VIC: Rod Hunt. Royal Darwin Hospital, NT: Charles Kilburn. Royal Hobart Hospital, Tasmania: Tony De Paoli. Royal Hospital for Women, NSW: Kei Lui\*, Srinivas Bolisetty. Royal North Shore Hospital, NSW: Mary Paradisis. Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, NSW: Ingrid Rieger. Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, QLD: Pieter Koorts. Royal Women's Hospital, VIC: Carl Kuschel, Lex Doyle. Sydney Children's Hospital, NSW: Andrew Numa. The Canberra Hospital, ACT: Hazel Carlisle. The Children's Hospital at Westmead, NSW: Nadia Badawi, Alison Loughran-Fowlds. The Townsville Hospital, QLD: Guan Koh. Western Australia Neonatal Transport Service: Jonathan Davis. Westmead Hospital, NSW: Melissa Luig. Women's & Children's Hospital, SA: Chad Andersen\*. National Perinatal Epidemiology and Statistics Unit, University of New South Wales: Georgina Chambers\*. New Zealand: Christchurch Women's Hospital: Nicola Austin, Adrienne Lynn. University of Otago, Christchurch: Brian Darlow. Dunedin Hospital: Liza Edmonds. Middlemore Hospital: Lindsay Mildenhall. Auckland City Hospital: Mariam Buksh, Malcolm Battin\*. North Shore and Waitakere Hospitals: Jutta van den Boom\*. Waikato Hospital: David Bouchier. Wellington Women's Hospital: Vaughan Richardson, Fiona Dineen\*. Singapore: KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore: Victor Samuel Rajadurai\*. Hong Kong: Prince of Wales Hospital: Simon Lam. United Christian Hospital: Genevieve Fung. \* denotes the ANZNN Executive Committee

CNN (Canadian Neonatal Network)

Prakesh S Shah, MD, MSc (Director, Canadian Neonatal Network and site investigator), Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario; Adele Harrison, MD, MBChB, Victoria General Hospital, Victoria, British Columbia; Anne Synnes, MDCM, MHSC, and Joseph Ting, MD, B.C. Women's Hospital and Health Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia; Zenon Cieslak, MD, Royal Columbian Hospital, New Westminster, British Columbia; Rebecca Sherlock, MD, Surrey Memorial Hospital, Surrey, British Columbia; Wendy Yee, MD, Foothills Medical Centre, Calgary, Alberta; Khalid Aziz, MBBS, MA, MEd, and Jennifer Toye, MD, Royal Alexandra Hospital, Edmonton, Alberta; Carlos Fajardo, MD, Alberta Children's Hospital, Calgary, Alberta; Zarin Kalapesi, MD, Regina General Hospital, Regina, Saskatchewan; Koravangattu Sankaran, MD, MBBS, and Sibasis Daspal, MD, Royal University Hospital, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan; Mary Seshia, MBChB, Winnipeg Health Sciences Centre, Winnipeg, Manitoba; Ruben Alvaro, MD, St. Boniface General Hospital, Winnipeg, Manitoba; Amit Mukerji, MD, Hamilton Health Sciences Centre, Hamilton, Ontario; Orlando Da Silva, MD, MSc, London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario; Chuks Nwaesei, MD, Windsor Regional Hospital, Windsor, Ontario; Kyong-Soon Lee, MD, MSc, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario; Michael Dunn, MD, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario; Brigitte Lemyre, MD, Children's Hospital of Eastern

Ontario and Ottawa General Hospital, Ottawa, Ontario; Kimberly Dow, MD, Kingston General Hospital, Kingston, Ontario; Ermelinda Pelausa, MD, Jewish General Hospital, Montréal, Québec; Keith Barrington, MBChB, Hôpital Sainte-Justine, Montréal, Québec; Christine Drolet, MD, and Bruno Piedboeuf, MD, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec, Sainte Foy Québec; Martine Claveau, MSc, LLM, NNP, and Marc Beltempo, MD, McGill University Health Centre, Montréal, Québec; Valerie Bertelle, MD, and Edith Masse, MD, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec; Roderick Canning, MD, Moncton Hospital, Moncton, New Brunswick; Hala Makary, MD, Dr. Everett Chalmers Hospital, Fredericton, New Brunswick; Cecil Ojah, MBBS, and Luis Monterrosa, MD, Saint John Regional Hospital, Saint John, New Brunswick; Akhil Deshpandey, MBBS, MRCPI, Janeway Children's Health and Rehabilitation Centre, St. John's, Newfoundland; Jehier Afifi, MB BCh, MSc, IWK Health Centre, Halifax, Nova Scotia; Andrzej Kajetanowicz, MD, Cape Breton Regional Hospital, Sydney, Nova Scotia.; Shoo K Lee, MBBS, PhD (Chairman, Canadian Neonatal Network), Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario.

#### FinMBR (Finnish Medical Birth Register)

Marjo Metsäranta, MD, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki; Liisa Lehtonen, MD, Turku University Hospital, Turku; Outi Tammela, MD, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere; Ulla Sankilampi, MD, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio; Timo Saarela, MD, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu.

#### ILL (Illinois Neonatal Network)

Preetha Prasad, MD, Advocate Children's Hospital, Park Ridge, Illinois; Akihiko Noguchi, MD, SSM Cardinal Glennon/St. Mary's Hospital, St. Louis MO; Kamlesh McWan, MD, Children's Hospital of Illinois, Peoria, Illinois; Beau Button, MD, St John's Hospital, Springfield, Illinois; William Stratton, MD, Carle Foundation Hospital, Urbana, Illinois; Aaron Hamvus, MD, Northwestern University Hospitals, Chicago, Illinois; Aarti Raghaven, MD, University Illinois Chicago Hospital, Chicago, Illinois; Matthew Derrick, MD, Evanston Northshore Hospital, Evanston, Illinois; Radley Hadley, MD, Advocate Illinois Masonic Hospital, Chicago, Illinois; Robert Covert, MD, Edward Hospital, Naperville, Illinois; Omar Lablanc, MD, John H. Stroger Cook County Hospital, Chicago, Illinois; Marc Weiss, MD, RMCH Loyola University Hospital, Maywood, Illinois; Anthony Bell, MD, Adventist Hinsdale Hospital, Hinsdale, Illinois; Maliha Shareef, MD, St. Alexius Hospital, Hoffman Estates, Illinois; Jean Silvestri, MD, Rush University Hospital, Chicago, Illinois.

#### INN (Israel Neonatal Network)

Iris Morag, MD, Assaf Harofeh Medical Center, Tzrifin; Shmuel Zangen, MD, Barzilai Medical Center, Ashkelon; Tatyana Smolkin, MD, Baruch Padeh Medical Center, Poriya; Francis Mimouni, MD, Bikur Cholim Hospital, Jerusalem; David Bader, MD, Bnai Zion Medical Center, Haifa; Avi Rothschild, MD, Carmel Medical Center, Haifa; Zipora Strauss, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Ramat Gan; Clari Felszer, MD, Emek Medical Center, Afula; Hussam Omari,

MD, French Saint Vincent de Paul Hospital, Nazareth; Smadar Even Tov-Friedman, MD, Hadassah University Hospital-Ein Karem, Jerusalem; Benjamin Bar-Oz, MD, Hadassah University Hospital-Har Hazofim, Jerusalem; Michael Feldman, MD, Hillel Yaffe Medical Center, Hadera; Nizar Saad, MD, Holy Family (Italian) Hospital, Nazareth; Orna Flidel-Rimon, MD, Kaplan Medical Center, Rehovot; Meir Weisbrod, MD, Laniado Hospital, Netanya; Daniel Lubin, MD, Mayanei Hayeshua Medical Center, Bnei Brak; Ita Litmanovitz, MD, Meir Medical Center, Kfar Saba; Amir Kugelman, MD, Rambam Medical Center; Eric Shinwell, MD, Rivka Ziv Medical Center, Safed; Gil Klinger, MD, Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel, Rabin Medical Center (Beilinson Campus), Petah Tikva; Yousif Nijim, MD, Scottish (EMMS) Hospital, Nazareth; Alona Bin-Nun, MD, Shaare-Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem; Agneta Golan, MD, Soroka Medical Center, Beersheba; Dror Mandel, MD, Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv; Vered Fleisher-Sheffer, MD, Western Galilee Medical Center, Nahariya; Anat Oron, MD, Wolfson Medical Center, Holon; Lev Bakhrakh, MD, Yoseftal Hospital, Eilat.

#### NRNJ (Neonatal Research Network Japan)

Satoshi Hattori, MD, Sapporo City Hospital, Sapporo, Hokkaido; Masaru Shirai, MD, Asahikawa Kosei Hospital, Asahikawa, Hokkaido; Toru Ishioka, MD, Engaru Kosei Hospital, Engaru, Hokkaido; Toshihiko Mori, MD, NTT East Sapporo Hospital, Sapporo, Hokkaido; Takasuke Amizuka, MD, Aomori Prefecture Central Hospital, Aomori, Aomori; Toru Huchimukai, MD, Iwate Prefecture Ohfunato Hospital, Ofunato, Iwate; Hiroshi Yoshida, MD, Tsuruoka City Shonai Hospital, Tsuruoka, Yamagata; Ayako Sasaki, MD, Yamagata University, Yamagata, Yamagata; Junichi Shimizu, MD, Tsuchiura Kyodo Hospital, Tsuchiura, Ibaraki; Toshihiko Nakamura, MD, National Nishisaitama Central Hospital, Tokorozawa, Saitama; Mami Maruyama, MD, Jichi Medical University Saitama Medical Center, Omiya, Saitama; Hiroshi Matsumoto, MD, Asahi Central Hospital, Asahi, Chiba; Shinichi Hosokawa, MD, National International Medical Center, Shinjuku, Tokyo; Atsuko Taki, MD, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Bunkyo, Tokyo; Machiko Nakagawa, MD, Saint Luku Hospital, Chuo, Tokyo; Kyone Ko, MD, Sanikukai Hospital, Sumida, Tokyo; Azusa Uozumi, MD, Odawara City Hospital, Odawara, Kanagawa; Setsuko Nakata, MD, Iida City Hospital, Iida, Nagano; Akira Shimazaki, MD, National Shinshu Ueda Medical Center, Ueda, Nagano; Tatsuya Yoda, MD, Saku General Hospital, Saku, Nagano; Osamu Numata, MD, Nagaoka Red Cross Hospital, Nagaoka, Niigata; Hiroaki Imamura, MD, Koseiren Takaoka Hospital, Takaoka, Toyama; Azusa Kobayashi, MD, Kanazawa Medical University, Kanazawa, Kanazawa; Shuko Tokuriki, MD, Fukui University, Fukui, Fukui; Yasushi Uchida, MD, National Nagara Medical Center, Nagara, Gifu; Takahiro Arai, MD, Takayama Red Cross Hospital, Takayama, Gifu; Mitsuhiro Ito, MD, Fujieda City Hospital, Fujieda, Shizuoka; Kuniko Ieda, MD, Koritsu Tosei Hospital, Toyota, Aichi; Toshiyuki Ono, MD, Komaki City Hospital, Komaki, Aichi; Masashi Hayashi, MD, Okazaki City



Hospital, Okazaki, Aichi; Kanemasa Maki, MD, Yokkaichi City Hospital, Yokkaichi, Mie; Toru Yamakawa, MD, Japan Baptist Hospital, Kyoto, Kyoto; Masahiko Kawai, MD, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto; Noriko Fujii, MD, Fukuchiyama City Hospital, Fukuchiyama, Kyoto; Kozue Shiomi, MD, Kyoto City Hospital, Kyoto, Kyoto; Koji Nozaki, MD, Mitsubishi Kyoto Hospital, Kyoto, Kyoto; Hiroshi Wada, MD, Yodogawa Christian Hospital, Osaka, Osaka; Taho Kim, MD, Osaka City Sumiyoshi Hospital, Osaka, Osaka; Yasuyuki Tokunaga, MD, Toyonaka City Hospital, Toyonaka, Osaka; Yasuyuki Tokunaga, MD, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Osaka; Akihiro Takatera, MD, Chifune Hospital, Osaka, Osaka; Toshio Oshima, MD, Bell Land General Hospital, Sakai, Osaka; Hiroshi Sumida, MD, Rinku General Hospital, Izumisano, Osaka; Yae Michinomae, MD, Yao City Hospital, Yao, Osaka; Yoshio Kusumoto, MD, Osaka General Medical Center, Osaka, Osaka; Seiji Yoshimoto, MD, Kobe Children's Hospital, Kobe, Hyogo; Takeshi Morisawa, MD, Kakogawa City Hospital, Kakogawa, Hyogo; Tamaki Ohashi, MD, Hyogo Prefectural Awaji Hospital, Sumoto, Hyogo; Yukihiko Takahashi, MD, Nara Prefecture Medical University, Kashihara, Nara; Moriharu Sugimoto, MD, Tsuyama Central Hospital, Tsuyama, Okayama; Noriaki Ono, MD, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Hiroshima; Shinichiro Miyagawa, MD, National Kure Medical Center, Kure, Hiroshima; Takahiko Saijo, MD, Tokushima University, Tokushima, Tokushima; Takashi Yamagami, MD, Tokushima City Hospital, Tokushima, Tokushima; Kosuke Koyano, MD, Kagawa University, Kida, Kagawa; Shoko Kobayashi, MD, Shikoku Medical Center for Children and Adults, Zentsuji, Kagawa; Takeshi Kanda, MD, National Kyushu Medical Center, Fukuoka, Fukuoka; Yoshihiro Sakemi, MD, National Kokura Medical Center, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka; Mikio Aoki, MD, National Nagasaki Medical Center, Nagasaki, Nagasaki; Koichi Iida, MD, Oita Prefectural Hospital, Oita, Oita; Mitsushi Goshi, MD, Nakatsu City Hospital, Nakatsu, Oita; Yuko Maruyama, MD, Imakyure General Hospital, Kagoshima, Kagoshima.

SEN1500 (Spanish Neonatal Network)

Alejandro Avila-Alvarez, MD, and José Luis Fernandez-Trisac, MD, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario De A Coruña, A Coruña; M<sup>a</sup> Luz Couce Pico, MD, and María José Fernández Seara, MD, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela; Andrés Martínez Gutiérrez, MD, Complejo Hospitalario Albacete, Albacete; Carolina Vizcaíno, MD, Hospital General Universitario de Elche, Alicante; Miriam Salvador Iglesias, MD, and Honorio Sánchez Zaplana, MD, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante; Belén Fernández Colomer, MD, and José Enrique García López, MD, Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Asturias; Rafael García Mozo, MD, and M. Teresa González Martínez, MD, Hospital Universitario de Cabueñes, Gijón, Asturias; M<sup>a</sup> Dolores Muro Sebastián, MD, and Marta Balart Carbonell, MD, Clínica Corachán, Barcelona; Joan Badia Barnusell, MD, and Mònica Domingo Puiggròs, MD, Corporacio Parc Taulí, Sabadell, Barcelona; Josep Figueras Aloy, MD, and Francesc Botet Mussons,

MD, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona; Israel Anquela Sanz, MD, Hospitalario De Granollers, Granollers; Gemma Ginovart Galiana, MD, H. De La Santa Creu I Sant Pau, Barcelona; W. Coroleu, MD, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias I Pujol, Badalona; Martin Iriondo, MD, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu Barcelona, Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona; Laura Castells Vilella, MD, Hospital General de Cataluña, Barcelona; Roser Porta, MD, Institute Dexeus, Barcelona; Xavier Demestre, MD, and Silvia Martínez Nadal, MD, Scias-Hospital Barcelona, Barcelona; Cristina de Frutos Martínez, MD, Hospital Universitario de Burgos, Burgos; María Jesús López Cuesta, MD, H. San Pedro de Alcántara, Cáceres; Dolores Esquivel Mora, MD, and Joaquín Ortiz Tardío, MD, Hospital Jerez, Cádiz; Isabel Benavente, MD, and Almudena Alonso, MD, Hospital Universitario Puerta Del Mar, Cádiz; Ramón Aguilera Olmos, MD, Hospital General de Castellón, Castellón; Miguel A. García Cabezas, MD, and M<sup>a</sup> Dolores Martínez Jiménez, MD, Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real, Ciudad Real; M<sup>a</sup> Pilar Jaraba Caballero, MD, and M<sup>a</sup> Dolores Ordoñez Díaz, MD, Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía, Córdoba; Alberto Trujillo Fagundo, MD, and Lluís Mayol Canals, MD, Hospital Universitari de Girona Dr. Josep Trueta, Girona; Fermín García-Muñoz Rodrigo, MD, and Lourdes Urquía Martí, MD, H.M.I. Las Palmas, Las Palmas, Gran Canaria; María Fernanda Moreno Galdo, MD, and José Antonio Hurtado Suazo, MD, Hospital Universitario Virgen De Las Nieves, Granada; Eduardo Narbona López, and José Uberos Fernández, MD, Hospital Universitario San Cecilio, Granada; Miguel A Cortajarena Altuna, MD, and Oihana Muga Zuriarrain Hospital, MD, Donostia, Gipuzkoa; David Mora Navarro, MD, Hospital Juan Ramón Jiménez, Huelva; María Teresa Domínguez, MD, Hospital Costa De La Luz, Huelva; M<sup>a</sup> Yolanda Ruiz del Prado, MD, and Inés Esteban Díez, MD, Hospital San Pedro, Logroño, La Rioja; María Teresa Palau Benavides, MD, and Santiago Lapeña, MD, Hospital de León, León, León; Teresa Prada, MD, Hospital del Bierzo, Ponferrada, León; Eduard Soler Mir, MD, Hospital Arnau De Vilanova, Lleida; Araceli Corredera Sánchez, MD, Enrique Criado Vega, MD, Náyade del Prado, MD, and Cristina Fernández, MD, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid; Lucía Cabanillas Vilaplana, MD, and Irene Cuadrado Pérez, MD, Hospital Universitario De Getafe, Madrid; Luisa López Gómez, MD, Hospital De La Zarzuela, Madrid; Laura Domingo Comeche, MD, Hospital Universitario de Fuenlabrada, Fuenlabrada, Madrid; Isabel Llana Martín, MD, Hospital Madrid-Torrelodones, Madrid, Madrid; Carmen González Armengod, MD, and Carmen Muñoz Labián, MD, Hospital Universitario Puerta De Hierro, Majadahonda, Madrid; M<sup>a</sup> José Santos Muñoz, MD, Hospital Severo Ochoa, Leganés, Madrid; Dorotea Blanco Bravo, MD, and Vicente Pérez, MD, Hospital Gregorio Marañón, Madrid; M<sup>a</sup> Dolores Elorza Fernández, MD, Celia Díaz González, MD, and Susana Ares Segura, MD, H.U. La Paz, Madrid; Manuela López Azorín, MD, Hospital Universitario Quirónsalud Madrid, Madrid; Ana Belén Jimenez MD, Hospital Universitario Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid; Tomás Sánchez-Tamayo,

MD, and Elías Tapia Moreno, MD, Hospital Carlos Haya, Málaga; María González, MD, and José Enrique Sánchez Martínez, MD, Hospital Parque San Antonio De Málaga, Málaga; José María Lloreda García, MD, Hospital Universitario Santa Lucia De Cartagena, Murcia; Concepción Goñi Orayen, MD, Hospital Virgen Del Camino De Pamplona, Pamplona, Navarra; Javier Vilas González, MD, Complejo Hospitalario Pontevedra, Pontevedra; María Suárez Albo, MD, and Eva González Colmenero, MD, Hospital Xeral De Vigo, Pontevedra; Elena Pilar Gutiérrez González, MD, and Beatriz Vacas del Arco, MD, Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca; Josefina Márquez Fernández, MD, and Laura Acosta Gordillo, MD, Hospital Valme, Sevilla; Mercedes Granero Asensio, MD, Hospital Virgen De La Macarena, Sevilla; Carmen Macías Díaz, MD, Hospital Universitario Virgen Del Rocío, Sevilla; Mar Albújar, MD, Hospital Universitari de Tarragona Joan XXIII, Tarragona; Pedro Fuster Jorge, MD, Hospital Universitario De Canarias, San Cristóbal de La Laguna, Santa Cruz de Tenerife; Sabina Romero, MD, and Mónica Rivero Falero, MD, Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora De Candelaria, Santa Cruz de Tenerife; Ana Belén Escobar Izquierdo, Hospital Virgen De La Salud, Toledo; Javier Estañ Capell, MD, Hospital Clínico Universitario De Valencia, Valencia; M<sup>a</sup> Isabel Izquierdo Macián, MD, Hospital Universitari La Fe, Valencia; M<sup>a</sup> Mar Montejo Vicente, MD, and Raquel Izquierdo Caballero, MD, Hospital Universitario Río Hortega, Valladolid; M<sup>a</sup> Mercedes Martínez, MD, and Aintzane Euba, MD, Hospital de Txagorritxu, Vitoria-Gasteiz; Amaya Rodríguez Serna, MD, and Juan María López de Heredia Goya, MD, Hospital de Cruces, Baracaldo; Alberto Pérez Legorburu, MD, and Ana Gutiérrez Amorós, MD, Hospital Universitario de Basurto, Bilbao; Víctor Manuel Marugán Isabel, MD, and Natalio Hernández González, MD, Hospital Virgen De La Concha - Complejo Asistencial De Zamora, Zamora; Segundo Rite Gracia, MD, Hospital Miguel Servet, Zaragoza; M<sup>a</sup> Purificación Ventura Faci, MD, and M<sup>a</sup> Pilar Samper Villagrasa, MD, Hospital Clínico Universitario Lozano Blesa, Zaragoza.

#### SNQ (Swedish Neonatal Quality Register)

Jiri Kofron, MD, Södra Älvsborgs Sjukhus, Borås; Katarina Strand Brodd, MD, Mälarsjukhuset, Eskilstuna; Andreas Odland, MD, Falu Lasarett, Falun; Lars Alberg, MD, Gällivare Sjukhus, Gällivare; Sofia Arwehed, MD, Gävle Sjukhus, Gävle; Ola Hafström, MD, SU/Östra, Göteborg; Anna Kasemo, MD, Länssjukhuset, Halmstad; Karin Nederman, MD, Helsingborgs Lasarett, Helsingborg; Lars Åhman, MD, Hudiksvalls Sjukhus, Hudiksvall; Fredrik Ingemarsson, MD, Länssjukhuset Ryhov, Jönköping; Henrik Petersson,

MD, Länssjukhuset, Kalmar; Pernilla Thurn, MD, Blekingesjukhuset, Karlskrona; Eva Albinsson, MD, Centralsjukhuset, Karlstad; Bo Selander, MD, Centralsjukhuset, Kristianstad; Thomas Abrahamsson, MD, Universitetssjukhuset, Linköping; Ingela Heimdahl, MD, Sunderby sjukhus, Luleå; Kristbjörg Sveinsdóttir, MD, Skånes Universitetssjukhus, Malmö/Lund; Erik Wejryd, MD, Vrinnevisjukhuset, Norrköping; Anna Hedlund, MD, Skellefteå Lasarett, Skellefteå; Maria Katarina Söderberg, MD, Kärnsjukhuset Skaraborg, Skövde; Lars Navér, MD, Karolinska Sjukhuset, Stockholm; Thomas Brune, MD, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm; Jens Bäckström, MD, Länssjukhuset, Sundsvall; Johan Robinson, MD, Norra Älvsborgs Länssjukhus, Trollhättan; Aijaz Farooqi, MD, Norrlands Universitetssjukhus, Umeå; Erik Normann, MD, Akademiska Barnsjukhuset, Uppsala; Magnus Fredriksson, MD, Visby Lasarett, Visby; Anders Palm, MD, Västerviks Sjukhus, Västervik; Urban Rosenqvist, MD, Centrallasarettet, Västerås; Bengt Walde, MD, Centrallasarettet, Växjö; Cecilia Hagman, MD, Lasarettet, Ystad; Andreas Ohlin, MD, Universitetssjukhuset, Örebro; Rein Florell, MD, Örnköldsviks Sjukhus, Örnköldsvik; Agneta Smedsaas-Löfvenberg, MD, Östersunds Sjukhus, Östersund.

#### SwissNeoNet (Swiss Neonatal Network)

Mark Adams, PhD (Network coordinator), University Hospital Zurich; Philipp Meyer, MD, and Rachel Kusche, MD, Cantonal Hospital, Children's Clinic, Aarau; Sven Schulzke, MD, University Children's Hospital, Basel; Mathias Nelle, MD, University Hospital, Berne; Bendicht Wagner, MD, University Hospital, Berne; Thomas Riedel, MD, Children's Hospital, Chur; Grégoire Kaczala, MD, Cantonal Hospital, Fribourg; Riccardo E. Pfister, MD, University Hospital (HUG), Geneva; Jean-François Tolsa, MD, and Matthias Roth, MD, University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne; Martin Stocker, MD, Children's Hospital, Lucerne; Bernhard Laubscher, MD, Cantonal Hospital, Neuchâtel; Andreas Malzacher, MD, Cantonal Hospital, St. Gallen; John P. Micallef, MD, Children's Hospital, St. Gallen; Lukas Hegi, MD, Cantonal Hospital, Winterthur; Dirk Bassler, MD, and Romaine Arlettaz, MD, University Hospital (USZ), Zurich; Vera Bernet, MD, University Children's Hospital, Zurich.

#### TuscanNN (Tuscany Neonatal Network)

Carlo Dani, MD, Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy; Patrizio Fiorini, MD, Anna Meyer Children's University Hospital, Florence, Italy; Paolo Ghirri, MD, University Hospital of Pisa, Pisa, Italy; Barbara Tomasini, MD, University Hospital of Siena, Siena, Italy.