

et al found that IVMg was not an effective treatment in children with acute episodic viral wheezing and also did not result in a reduction of hospital admission.² This inequality may be explained by different pathophysiology between acute episodic viral wheezing and acute asthma. Endobronchial biopsies showed, for example, that the thickening of the epithelial reticular basement membrane and the eosinophilic inflammation characteristics was present in children and adults with acute asthma, but not seen in symptomatic infants with reversible airflow obstruction.⁷ It would be interesting to know if differences in IVMg use were also observed in the study of Johnson and if so, were due to lower expectations of efficacy in the younger age group.

We agree that a large randomized controlled trial is necessary to determine the efficacy and safety of early IVMg administration thereby taking into account these 2 age groups. Because administration of IVMg is used as treatment in children with asthma exacerbations, the need for intravenous salbutamol administration and transfer to a pediatric intensive care unit may also be included as outcome measures.

Marlon van Weelden, MD
Bart E. van Ewijk, MD, PhD
Frans B. Plötz, MD, PhD
 Department of Pediatrics
 Tergooi Hospital
 Blaricum, The Netherlands

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.06.042>

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Johnson MD, Zorc JJ, Nelson DS, Casper TC, Cook LJ, Finkelstein Y, et al. Intravenous magnesium in asthma pharmacotherapy: variability in use in the PECARN registry. *J Pediatr* 2020;220:165-74.
2. Pruikkonen H, Tapiainen T, Kallio M, Dunder T, Pokka T, Uhari M, et al. Intravenous magnesium sulfate for acute wheezing in young children: a randomized double-blind trial. *Eur Respir J* 2018;51:2.
3. Devi PR, Kumar L, Singhi SC, Prasad R, Singh M. Intravenous magnesium sulfate in acute severe asthma not responding to conventional therapy. *Indian Pediatr* 1997;34:389-97.
4. Scarfone RJ, Loiseau JM, Joffe MD, Mull CC, Stiller S, Thompson K, et al. A randomized trial of magnesium in the emergency department treatment of children with asthma. *Ann Emerg Med* 2000;36:572-8.
5. Panickar J, Lakhanpaul M, Lambert PC, Kenia P, Stephenson T, Smyth A, et al. Oral prednisolone for preschool children with acute virus-induced wheezing. *N Engl J Med* 2009;260:329-38.
6. Gadomski AM, Scribani MB. Bronchodilators for bronchiolitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;17:CD001266.
7. Saglani S, Malmström K, Pelkonen AS, Malmberg LP, Lindahl H, Kajosaari M, et al. Airway remodeling and inflammation in symptomatic infants with reversible airway obstruction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171:722-7.

Reply

To the Editor:

As van Weelden et al recognize, there is ongoing debate and investigation to understand how best to treat children

with wheezing, and whether young children with wheezing benefit from the same asthma treatment as older children. Although we did not subdivide our published analysis by age, analyzing children 2-5 years separately from those older than 5 years, our unpublished data include 7737 visits for asthma treatment in children younger than 2 years of age. In 407 of these visits, children received IVMg, and the use of IVMg varied by site, similar to the published dataset. Variation in use of IVMg in children under 2 years of age and in children 2-17 years of age would suggest similar variability is expected in children 2-5 years of age. We agree that only in a prospective clinical trial can we expect to learn whether intravenous magnesium is effective in these children.

Michael D. Johnson, MD, MS

Department of Pediatrics
 Division of Pediatric Emergency Medicine
 University of Utah
 Salt Lake City, Utah

Joseph J. Zorc, MD, MSCE

Department of Pediatrics
 Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
 University of Pennsylvania
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania,

For the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network
 (PECARN)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.06.042>

Portions of this study were presented at the Pediatric Academic Societies annual meeting, May 6-9, 2017, San Francisco, California.

Supported by an Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality R01 award HS020270 (Alpern); Health Resources and Services Administration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Emergency Medical Services for Children Network Development Demonstration Program under cooperative agreements U03MC00008, U03MC00001, U03MC00003, U03MC00006, U03MC00007, U03MC22684, and U03MC22685 (Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network).

Neonatal antibiotics and infantile colic in term-born infants



To the Editor:

Salvatore et al¹ assessed the prevalence of functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs) in the first year of life and the influence of different neonatal factors on the development of FGIDs. In 42% of term-born infants, infantile colic was diagnosed by the Rome III criteria through standardized interviews at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months. A high percentage of full-term infants received antibiotics at birth (22%), although severe acute infection was an exclusion criterion for the study. An important finding was that antibiotic use in the first week of life was associated with an increased risk of infantile colic in (pre)term-born infants (aRR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.06-1.45). Unfortunately, no data on the duration of antibiotic exposure were available.



The authors state that this was the first study to find an association between neonatal antibiotics and infantile colic in newborn infants. However, in 2018, we published our findings of the INCA study,² in which we prospectively followed 151 term-born infants exposed to antibiotics at birth and 285 controls by daily questionnaires throughout the first year of life for clinical symptoms, including crying for >3 hours/day. We found a lower incidence of parent-reported infantile colic in the whole cohort (74 of 436; 17.0%), but with an aOR of 1.66 (95% CI, 1.00-2.77) for infantile colic after exposure to neonatal antibiotics. Only antibiotic exposure for 7 days, and not exposure for 2 days, was significantly associated with increased risk of infantile colic.

Currently, we are analyzing the fecal microbiome to further elucidate the association between neonatal antibiotics and infantile colic, along with conducting follow-up studies at 4-6 years to evaluate whether neonatal antibiotics are associated with FGIDs later in life. We agree with Salvatore et al regarding the need for careful consideration of antibiotic use at birth and that early cessation, if possible, may limit the occurrence of infantile colic in term-born infants.

Kim Kamphorst, MSc

Department of Pediatrics
Emma Children's Hospital
Amsterdam University Medical Center
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Berthe C. Oosterloo, MD

Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck
Surgery
Erasmus MC
Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Arine M. Vlieger, MD, PhD

Department of Pediatrics
St Antonius Hospital
Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

Ruurd M. van Elburg, MD, PhD

Department of Pediatrics
Emma Children's Hospital
Amsterdam University Medical Center
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.06.029>

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Salvatore S, Baldassarre ME, Di Mauro A, Laforgia N, Tafuri S, Bianchi FP, et al. Neonatal antibiotics and prematurity are associated with an increased risk of functional gastrointestinal disorders in the first year of life. *J Pediatr* 2019;212:44-51.
- Oosterloo BC, van Elburg RM, Rutten NB, Bunkers CM, Crijns CE, Meijssen CB, et al. Wheezing and infantile colic are associated with neonatal antibiotic treatment. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2018;29:151-8.

Reply



To the Editor:

We thank van Elburg et al for their interest and comment on our report. The authors pointed out that the INCA study reported an increased risk of infantile colic in term infants treated with a 7-day course of antibiotics compared with infants treated for a 2-days course and to healthy controls. We acknowledged that at the time of writing our article that we could not retrieve their paper from Medline search.¹ Moreover, we stated that our study was the first to assess the effect of both neonatal antibiotics and preterm delivery on all functional gastrointestinal disorders (FGIDs). We could not fully analyze the determinant duration of antibiotic treatment that seems critical in the INCA study to the development of colic. Unfortunately, these data were not recorded in all of our participating centers, although in most neonates broad spectrum antibiotics were administered for <7 days for prolonged rupture of membranes or suspected chorioamnionitis (maternal fever >38°C or leukocytosis >10 000/mm³, foul-smelling amniotic fluid) or for preventing neonatal group B streptococcal infection.

Different from previous studies, in our report one-third of participants were preterm babies, who seemed to be a significantly vulnerable population for FGIDs and particularly for infantile colic. We could limit the drop-out rate throughout all the follow-up period below the threshold of 20% (lower than in INCA study) that is considered critical for a reliable result. We agree with the authors that fecal microbiome analysis and well-designed long-term follow-up in large population of both preterm and term infants are needed to confirm the association between neonatal antibiotics and FGIDs. We also noticed that, in INCA study, there was a striking discrepancy between parental and clinician rate of colic in the antibiotic treated group, with ≤21.9% of parental-based report vs only 4% of cases (6 infants) diagnosed by a doctor. One possible hypothesis could be that excessive crying reported in some infants could have been related to cow's milk allergy, because eczema was identified in 11.9% and allergic sensitization was documented in 16.9% of their population treated with antibiotics. Thus, the interconnection between neonatal antibiotics, microbiota, allergy, and FGIDs still need to be further elucidated to identify all risk factors and to provide a tailored approach for all term and preterm infants.

Maria Elisabetta Baldassarre, MD

Department of Biomedical Science and Human Oncology
University "Aldo Moro"
Bari, Italy

Silvia Salvatore, MD

Neonatal and Pediatric Department
Hospital "F. Del Ponte"
University of Insubria
Varese, Italy