

# Adolescent Opioid Misuse Attributable to Adverse Childhood Experiences

Elizabeth A. Swedo, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Steven A. Sumner, MD<sup>2</sup>, Sietske de Fijter, MS<sup>3</sup>, Luke Werhan, MPA<sup>3</sup>, Kirkland Norris, MPH<sup>4</sup>, Jennifer L. Beauregard, PhD<sup>1,5,6</sup>, Martha P. Montgomery, MD<sup>1,3,7</sup>, Erica B. Rose, PhD<sup>1,8,9</sup>, Susan D. Hillis, PhD<sup>2,10</sup>, and Greta M. Massetti. PhD<sup>2</sup>

**Objectives** To estimate the proportion of opioid misuse attributable to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) among adolescents.

**Study design** A cross-sectional survey was administered to 10 546 seventh-to twelfth-grade students in north-eastern Ohio in Spring 2018. Study measures included self-reported lifetime exposure to 10 ACEs and past 30-day use of nonmedical prescription opioid or heroin. Using generalized estimating equations, we evaluated associations between recent opioid misuse, individual ACEs, and cumulative number of ACEs. We calculated population attributable fractions to determine the proportion of adolescents' recent opioid misuse attributable to ACEs.

**Results** Nearly 1 in 50 adolescents reported opioid misuse within 30 days (1.9%); approximately 60% of youth experienced ≥1 ACE; 10.2% experienced ≥5 ACEs. Cumulative ACE exposure demonstrated a significant graded relationship with opioid misuse. Compared with youth with zero ACEs, youth with 1 ACE (aOR 1.9, 95% CI, 0.9-3.9), 2 ACEs (aOR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.9-7.9), 3 ACEs (aOR, 3.7; 95% CI, 2.2-6.5), 4 ACEs (aOR, 5.8; 95% CI, 3.1-11.2), and ≥5 ACEs (aOR, 15.3; 95% CI, 8.8-26.6) had higher odds of recent opioid misuse. The population attributable fraction of recent opioid misuse associated with experiencing ≥1 ACE was 71.6% (95% CI, 59.8-83.5).

**Conclusions** There was a significant graded relationship between number of ACEs and recent opioid misuse among adolescents. More than 70% of recent adolescent opioid misuse in our study population was attributable to ACEs. Efforts to decrease opioid misuse could include programmatic, policy, and clinical practice interventions to prevent and mitigate the negative effects of ACEs. (*J Pediatr 2020;224:102-9*).

ver the past 2 decades, the rates of pediatric deaths related to prescription or illicit opioids have increased threefold in the US, from 0.23 in 1999 to 0.72 per 100 000 in 2017. Although adolescent misuse of heroin and prescription opioids is decreasing, deaths from opioid overdose among adolescents aged 15-19 years are at an all-time high, largely owing to the recent proliferation of synthetic opioids such as illicitly manufactured fentanyl and fentanyl analogs. The opioid crisis is likely to worsen unless communities, providers, public health officials, and policymakers integrate protective measures for younger generations into the public health response. Preventing youth initiation of opioid misuse is an important step in reversing the opioid overdose epidemic, particularly because substance use initiation most often occurs during adolescence and early adulthood. Prevention efforts must begin early, with interventions to decrease the risk and strengthen protective factors among children and adolescents.

In the last 2 decades, the availability, pharmacology, and accessibility of prescription pain medications have made it easier for adolescents to misuse opioids and develop opioid use disorder. Existing research underscores the important role of family in adolescents' nonmedical prescription

underscores the important role of family in adolescents' nonmedical prescription opioid use; parental nonmedical prescription opioid use is strongly associated with adolescent nonmedical prescription opioid use and one-third of youth report that a family member was the source of their prescription opioids. <sup>10,11</sup>

One element that has emerged as an important risk factor for adult opioid misuse is adverse childhood experiences (ACEs)—all types of abuse, neglect, and other traumatic experiences occurring to individuals before the age of 18 years. <sup>12</sup> A landmark study from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Kaiser Family Foundation found a strong, graded relationships between adverse experiences in childhood and chronic health conditions, low life potential, risky health behaviors, and early death. <sup>13-20</sup>

Retrospective studies of adults' self-reported data have identified ACEs as a critical risk factor for illicit substance use in adulthood, with ACEs accounting for 56%-67% of illicit drug use problems among adults. Persons

ACE Adverse childhood experience

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

PAF Population attributable fraction

From the <sup>1</sup>Epidemic Intelligence Service: <sup>2</sup>Division of Violence Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; <sup>3</sup>Ohio Department of Health, Columbus, OH; <sup>4</sup>Stark County Health Department, Canton, OH; 5Division of Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Obesity, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; <sup>6</sup>Division of Congenital and Developmental Disorders, National Center on Birth Defects & Developmental Disabilities; <sup>7</sup>Division of Viral Hepatitis, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention; 8Division of Viral Diseases National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases; <sup>9</sup>Division of Foodborne, Waterborne & Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging & Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; and 10 Office of the Global AIDS Coordinator

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Portions of this study were presented at the Epidemic Intelligence Service (EIS) Conference, April 29-May 2, 2019, Atlanta, GA.

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter. Published by Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.05.001 experiencing ACEs in childhood are at higher risk of opioid dependence, injection drug use, earlier opioid initiation, and lifetime overdose as an adult.<sup>21-23</sup>

Although hypothesized to be a risk factor for opioid misuse during adolescence, few studies examine ACEs' relationship to opioid misuse in the adolescent population. A better understanding of ACEs' contributions to opioid misuse among younger populations may help to guide interventions to prevent initiation of substance use, a critical component of stemming the opioid overdose epidemic. 30

To address this gap, we evaluated associations between cumulative and individual ACEs and opioid misuse in the past 30 days in an adolescent population. We also estimated the proportion of adolescents' recent opioid misuse attributable to ACEs.

## **Methods**

In April and May 2018, the Ohio Department of Health conducted the Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey in response to a cluster of youth suicides in Stark County, Ohio. The Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey is an anonymous, online, school-based, cross-sectional survey of self-reported risk and protective factors among seventh-to twelfth-grade students created by staff at the Ohio Department of Health, the Stark County Health Department, and the CDC. <sup>31</sup>

The Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey was administered to students attending 27 public middle and high schools in Stark County under the direction of school administrators and teachers using school-specific web links. Estimated 2017-2018 enrollment at participating schools was 17 255 students. Study data were collected using Ohio Department of Health's REDCap electronic data capture tools.<sup>32</sup> Students' parents/guardians were notified of the survey in advance via phone and mail and could refuse their child's participation. Students could opt out of survey participation at any time and skip questions by selecting "Prefer not to say" as a response. A standardized script was read before administration, introducing the survey as a confidential, anonymous, voluntary public health activity to prevent youth suicide. Immediately after administration, all participating students were given a list of locally available mental health resources. Students absent from the school/classroom at the time of survey administration were unable to participate. Students were included in analyses if they completed and submitted the survey. Primary data were collected anonymously as a part of a larger public health response to a suicide cluster and did not qualify as human subject research, as determined by a CDC Institutional Review Board/Office of Management and Budget official; secondary data analyses were also determined to be exempt from human subjects' regulations by CDC Institutional Review Board/Office of Management and Budget.

### **Exclusions from Study Cohort**

The total number of respondents was 12 448. We excluded respondents with incomplete data on any measures of

interest. After the exclusion of 1902 respondents with missing information on variables in main model (race, grade, sex, gender/sexual minority, ACEs, recent opioid misuse), the final sample included 84.7% of respondents (n = 10546).

### **ACEs**

ACE variables are defined in **Table I**. All questions about ACEs referred to the respondent's lifetime. Questions were adapted from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System ACE module and Violence Against Children Surveys. Students were asked to choose the response that best reflected their lifetime experiences; response options were yes, no, not sure, or prefer not to say.

#### Substance Use

Substance use questions were adapted from relevant questions on the Youth Risk Behavior Survey.<sup>35</sup> To assess misuse of substances, respondents were provided a list of substances: alcohol, marijuana, synthetic marijuana, cocaine, ecstasy, glue/huffing, heroin, prescription pain medicines without a doctor's prescription, and prescription muscle relaxers or anxiety medicine without a doctor's prescription. For recent substance misuse, students were asked, "During the past 30 days, have you used any of the following substances at least once? Please select all that apply." Respondents who reported using heroin or prescription pain medicines without a doctor's prescription in the past 30 days were considered to have recent opioid misuse. For lifetime substance misuse, students were asked if they had used the substance at least once in their lifetime.

# Statistical Analyses

All analyses were conducted using SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina) and R v3.4.0 (The R Foundation, Vienna, Austria). Two-sided tests of significance were performed. A *P* value of <.05 was considered significant. Counts and percentages were computed to describe the distribution of ACEs, opioid misuse, lifetime misuse of other substances, and sociodemographic factors in the survey population.

Using generalized estimating equations based on the logistic distribution and an exchangeable correlation structure to account for clustering of students within schools, we examined associations between ACE exposure and recent opioid misuse. We estimated unadjusted and aORs and 95% CIs for associations between each ACE and recent opioid misuse. To assess cumulative ACE exposure, the number of ACEs was summed for each respondent (range, 0-10). Owing to small sample size, ACE scores of 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10 were combined into one category (≥5). Cumulative ACE exposure analyses were calculated using five dichotomous variables for 1 to  $\geq$ 5 ACEs (yes/no) and 0 ACEs as the referent. Covariates in all adjusted models were included on a priori reasoning and included sex (male/female), race/ethnicity (white, non-Hispanic; black or African American, non-Hispanic; other, non-Hispanic; Hispanic), grade (range, 7-12), and gender/ sexual minority status. Gender/sexual minority status was defined as self-reporting as gay, lesbian, bisexual,

	bles used in Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, Spring 2018
ACEs	Definition
Emotional abuse	Emotional abuse was defined as a "yes" response to either statement: "A parent or adult in my home swore at me, insulted me, humiliated me, put me down, or acted in a way that made me afraid I might be physically hurt"
	"I often felt that no one in my family loved me or thought I was important or special."
Physical abuse	Physical abuse was defined as a "yes" response to either statement: "A parent or adult in my home pushed, grabbed, slapped, hit, beat, kicked, or physically hurt me (not including spanking)"
	"A person I was dating pushed, grabbed, slapped, hit, beat, kicked, or physically hurt me (not including spanking)."
Sexual abuse	Sexual abuse was defined as a "yes" response to either statement:  "A parent or person at least 5 years older than me sexually touched me, made me sexually touch them, attempted sex, or actually had sex with me"
	"A person I was dating sexually touched me, made me sexually touch them, attempted sex, or actually had sex with me when I didn't want to."
Witnessed intimate partner violence	Witnessed intimate partner violence was defined as a "yes" response to the statement: "My parents or adults in my home slapped, hit, kicked, punched, or beat each other up."
Household substance abuse	Household substance abuse was defined as a "yes" response to the statement:  "I lived with someone who was a problem drinker, alcoholic, used illegal street drugs or abused prescription medications."
Mental illness in household	Mental illness in household was defined as a "yes" response to the statement:  "I lived with someone who was depressed, mentally ill, or suicidal."
Parental separation or divorce	Parental separation was defined as a "yes" response to the statement: "My parents separated or divorced."
Incarcerated household member	Having an incarcerated household member was defined as a "yes" response to the statement: "I lived with someone who went to jail or prison."
Physical neglect	Physical neglect was defined as a "yes" response to the statement: I often felt that I didn't have enough to eat, I had to wear dirty clothes, I had no one to protect me, or my parents were too drunk or high to take care of me.
Emotional neglect	Emotional neglect was defined as a "yes" response to the statement: I often felt that no one in my family loved me or thought I was important or special.

transgender, other, or unsure of one's sexual orientation. We considered lifetime misuse of alcohol, marijuana, and other substances as a mediator of the relationship between ACEs and recent opioid misuse and, as such, did not include lifetime misuse of nonopioid substances in the main model.

Population attributable fractions (PAF) were calculated for each individual ACE (eg, physical abuse, household substance abuse, etc) and for an ACE score of ≥1, under an assumption that the observed association between ACEs and opioid misuse is causal.<sup>15</sup> PAF is the proportional reduction in a health problem (eg, adolescent opioid misuse) that would occur if exposure to a risk factor (eg, ≥1 ACEs) were eliminated from the population (eg, no ACEs).<sup>36</sup> For diseases with multiple risk factors, PAFs can sum to <100%, because calculations assume mutual exclusivity of risk factors.<sup>37</sup> Adjusted PAFs were estimated using the R package AF to identify the proportion of adolescent opioid misuse attributable to ACEs.<sup>38</sup>

Sensitivity analyses examining differences between included and excluded students were conducted using  $\chi^2$  tests. To assess the association between ACEs and opioid misuse, independent of participants' misuse of other substances, we conducted sensitivity analyses including lifetime misuse of alcohol, marijuana, and other substances as covariates in the model.

# **Results**

The study included 5287 (50.1%) females and 5259 (49.9%) males (**Table II**). The majority of students were white, non-Hispanic (83.6%). One in 10 students (11.4%) self-reported as a gender/sexual minority. Prevalence of ACEs varied from 3.1% of students experiencing physical neglect to 37.5% of students reporting parental separation or divorce. Emotional abuse was the most commonly reported form of abuse (21.3%). More than 1 in 6 students (17.4%) reported substance abuse by a household member in the past year. Among students, 39.8% experienced zero ACEs, 60.2% experienced ≥1 ACE, and 1 in 10 (10.2%) experienced ≥5 ACEs. Nearly 2% of youth (1.9%) reported misusing an opioid in the past 30 days. Among students reporting opioid misuse in the past 30 days, 12.8% used heroin and 96.4% misused prescription opioids.

# Lifetime Misuse of Other Substances among Adolescents with Recent Opioid Misuse

Lifetime misuse of alcohol, marijuana, and other substances was common among adolescents with recent opioid misuse. Among students endorsing opioid misuse within the past 30 days, 83.0% used alcohol, 62.2% used marijuana, 53.2%

104 Swedo et al

**Table II.** Characteristics of the survey population (n = 10 546), Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, 2018

Characteristics	No. (%)
Race/ethnicity	
White, non-Hispanic	8816 (83.6)
Other, non-Hispanic	668 (6.3)
Black or African American, non-Hispanic	612 (5.8)
Hispanic	450 (4.3)
School grade	,
7	1889 (17.9)
8	1983 (18.8)
9	1824 (17.3)
10	1826 (17.3)
11	1679 (15.9)
12	1345 (12.8)
Sex	(. 2.0)
Male	5259 (49.9)
Female	5287 (50.1)
Gender/sexual minority	0207 (00.1)
Yes	1204 (11.4)
No	9342 (88.6)
ACES	3342 (00.0)
Emotional abuse	2250 (21.3)
Physical abuse	1274 (12.1)
Sexual abuse	756 (7.2)
Witnessed intimate partner violence	633 (6.0)
Household substance abuse	, ,
Mental illness in household	1835 (17.4)
Parental separation or divorce	2285 (21.7)
	3959 (37.5)
Incarcerated household member	1848 (17.5)
Physical neglect	329 (3.1)
Emotional neglect	1904 (18.1)
ACE score	4004 (00.0)
0	4201 (39.8)
1	2414 (22.9)
≥1	6345 (60.2)
2	1340 (12.7)
3	898 (8.5)
4	615 (5.8)
≥5	1078 (10.2)
Opioid misuse in the past 30 d	
Yes	195 (1.9)
No	10 351 (98.2)
Lifetime misuse of other substances*	
Alcohol (n = 10 338)	4457 (43.1)
Marijuana (n = 10 494)	1724 (16.4)
Cocaine (n = 10 529)	116 (1.1)
Ecstasy (n = 10 532)	135 (1.3)
Glue/huffing (n = 10 531)	159 (1.5)
Synthetic marijuana (n = 10 531)	230 (2.2)
Methamphetamine (n = $10538$ )	66 (0.6)
Prescription muscle relaxant without a doctor's prescription	460 (4.4)
(n = 10 529)	

\*Because lifetime misuse of other substances was not included in primary model, participants with missing data on additional substance use variables were not excluded. The n for each substance use variable is noted.

used a nonmedical prescription muscle relaxant or anxiety medication, 28.2% used synthetic marijuana, 24.5% used ecstasy, 21.8% used cocaine, 20.7% used glue or huffed, and 13.8% used methamphetamines.

# Associations between ACEs and Recent Opioid Misuse

All ACEs were significantly associated with increased adjusted odds of recent opioid misuse (aOR, 1.7-6.8) (Table III). Sexual abuse was associated with the highest

odds of recent opioid misuse (aOR, 6.8; 95% CI, 5.1-9.0). Students reporting emotional abuse or neglect were 4.3 (95% CI, 3.3-5.7) and 5.0 (95% CI, 3.7-6.8) times more likely than unexposed students to report misuse of opioids in the past 30 days.

A strong and independent trend was observed for associations between ACE score and recent opioid misuse by adolescents (Table IV). The prevalence of opioid misuse increased from 0.5% to 1.0%, 2.0%, 2.0%, 3.3%, and 8.1%, respectively, for those with exposure to 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, or  $\geq 5$ ACEs. Among those adolescents with 0 ACEs who reported recent opioid misuse, 35% used heroin and 90% misused prescription opioids. Among adolescents with ≥1 ACE and recent opioid misuse, 10.3% used heroin and 97.1% misused prescription opioids. We observed a significant, graded relationship between ACE score (Table IV) and recent opioid misuse, with the odds of opioid misuse significantly increasing as the number of ACEs increased (with the exception of experiencing one ACE, which was not statistically significant). Students experiencing ≥5 ACEs were >15 times more likely to report recent opioid misuse than those experiencing zero ACEs (aOR, 15.3; 95% CI, 8.8-26.6).

### **PAF**

The PAF of recent opioid misuse attributable to experiencing one or more ACEs was 71.6% (95% CI, 59.8%-83.5%). PAFs for individual ACEs ranged from 14.1% (95% CI, 9.0%-19.2%) for physical neglect to 44.1% (95% CI, 35.8%-52.5%) for emotional abuse, indicating the relative contributions of individual ACEs to recent opioid misuse (Table III).

### **Sensitivity Analyses**

In sensitivity analyses, participants with complete data differed from excluded participants for 22 of 24 variables examined (Table V; available at www.jpeds.com). Participants with missing data were more likely to report recent opioid misuse, lifetime misuse of other substances, and all ACEs (except alcohol and sexual abuse). Bivariate and unadjusted generalized estimating equations models did not significantly differ when missing data were included (Table VI and Table VII; available at www. jpeds.com). In participants with nonmissing data on variables of interest, when lifetime misuse of alcohol, marijuana, and other substances were included as covariates in the model, independent associations between ACE exposure and recent opioid misuse were attenuated, but remained statistically significant (with the exception of parental separation/divorce; Table VIII [available at www.jpeds.com]). Adjusting for sociodemographic factors and lifetime misuse of alcohol, marijuana, and other substances, the PAF of recent opioid misuse attributable to experiencing one or more ACEs was 45.5% (95% CI, 22.2%-68.9%).

Table III. Prevalence, unadjusted, adjusted odds, and PAF of recent opioid misuse by category of ACEs (n = 10546), Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, 2018

		Opioid misuse in th	e past 30 d	
ACEs	Prevalence, %	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	aOR* (95% CI)	PAF (95% CI)
Emotional abuse (n = 2250)				
No	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Yes	5.0	5.0 (3.9-6.5)	4.3 (3.3-5.7)	44.1 (35.8-52.5)
Physical abuse $(n = 1274)$		, ,	, ,	, ,
No	1.2	1.0	1.0	
Yes	6.7	5.8 (4.4-7.8)	4.9 (3.7-6.5)	34.3 (25.7-43.0)
Sexual abuse $(n = 756)$		, ,	, ,	, ,
No	1.3	1.0	1.0	
Yes	9.1	7.5 (5.6-10.1)	6.8 (5.1-9.0)	29.8 (24.5-35.0)
Witnessed intimate partner violence	(n = 633)	, ,	, ,	, ,
No	1.5	1.0	1.0	
Yes	7.9	5.7 (4.1-7.8)	4.5 (3.3-6.3)	19.7 (12.3-27.0)
Household substance abuse ( $n = 18$	35)			
No	1.2	1.0	1.0	
Yes	4.9	4.0 (3.2-5.0)	3.5 (2.8-4.5)	32.7 (26.2-39.2)
Mental illness in household (n = 228	35)			
No	1.1	1.0	1.0	
Yes	4.6	4.2 (3.2-5.6)	3.7 (2.7-5.0)	39.3 (30.1-48.5)
Parental separation or divorce ( $n = 3$	3959)			
No	1.4	1.0	1.0	
Yes	2.6	1.9 (1.4-2.5)	1.7 (1.2-2.2)	21.2 (8.8-33.6)
Incarcerated household member (n :	= 1848)			
No	1.2	1.0	1.0	
Yes	4.7	3.9 (3.0-5.0)	3.2 (2.5-4.2)	30.7 (23.3-38.1)
Physical neglect (n = 329)				
No	1.6	1.0	1.0	
Yes	10.3	7.0 (5.0-9.9)	5.7 (3.9-8.3)	14.1 (9.0-19.2)
Emotional neglect (n = 1904)				,
No	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Yes	5.6	5.7 (4.3-7.6)	5.0 (3.7-6.8)	43.5 (34.8-52.3)

<sup>\*</sup>ORs adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, grade, gender/sexual minority status.

# **Discussion**

Examining the cumulative effect of ACEs, we found a strong graded relationship between number of ACEs and adolescents' recent opioid misuse, with adolescents experiencing ≥5 ACEs being >15 times more likely to report recent opioid misuse. Moreover, we found the estimated attributable fraction for recent opioid misuse related to having experienced any childhood adversity was large (71.6%).

Our results are consistent with previous PAF estimates for illicit drug use in adults: 56%-64% of drug use outcomes

Table IV. Prevalence, unadjusted, and adjusted odds of recent opioid misuse by number of ACEs (n = 10 546), Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, 2018

	Opioid misuse in the past 30 d			
No. of ACEs	Prevalence, %	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	aOR* (95% CI)	
0 (n = 4201) 1 (n = 2414) 2 (n = 1340) 3 (n = 898) 4 (n = 615) ≥5 (n = 1078)	0.5 1 2.0 2.0 3.3 8.1	1.0 2.0 (0.95-4.1) 4.2 (2.0-9.1) 4.2 (2.4-7.1) 6.8 (3.4-13.5) 17.8 (10.5-30.1)	1.0 1.9 (0.9-3.9) 3.8 (1.9-7.9) 3.7 (2.2-6.5) 5.8 (3.1-11.2) 15.3 (8.8-26.6)	

<sup>\*</sup>ORs adjusted for sex, race/ethnicity, grade, and gender/sexual minority status.

were associated with childhood adversity.<sup>17</sup> The high PAFs for individual ACEs highlight emotional abuse and neglect's considerable contributions to adolescent opioid misuse at a population level. These forms of childhood maltreatment are often underappreciated as important risk factors for negative health outcomes.<sup>39</sup>

Our results are consistent with previous studies demonstrating strong associations between ACEs and substance use in adolescence. The relationship between adult opioid misuse and individual ACEs—such as sexual abuse and household substance abuse—is well-documented in the literature. However, few reports address the cumulative impact of exposure to ACEs on opioid misuse, particularly among adolescents. In the context of the current opioid overdose epidemic, our findings of strong associations between ACEs and misuse of opioids by adolescents—independent of other substance misuse—highlight the urgent need to address upstream factors in the response to this public health crisis.

The robust relationships observed in this study raise an important question: why do adolescents exposed to ACEs misuse opioids? A number of biological and environmental factors likely contribute to the associations between ACEs and adolescent opioid misuse. Adolescence—typified by risk taking, experimentation, and modeling of peer behavior—is a critical at-risk period for opioid misuse. <sup>7,43,44</sup>

106 Swedo et al

During this period, adolescents exposed to ACEs are particularly vulnerable. <sup>45</sup> ACEs are associated with impaired emotional, social, and cognitive development, including a decreased ability to cope with stressful emotional stimuli and increased risk of substance initiation. <sup>45,46</sup> Youth experiencing violence, neglect, and household challenges may feel powerless, anxious, dysregulated, or other negative emotions. <sup>47-49</sup> Opioid misuse may provide an outlet for these negative feelings—a maladaptive way to escape the emotional turmoil that accompanies ACEs.

How do we prevent ACEs or mitigate their harms when they do occur? First, we prevent ACEs by developing and expanding programs and policies proven to prevent ACEs or impact key risk and protective factors for ACEs. Examples of strategies to prevent ACEs include strengthening economic supports for families (eg, tax credits, paid family leave, access to affordable childcare); promoting social norms that protect against violence and adversity (eg, norms to support parents and positive parenting); and ensuring a strong start for children (eg, early childhood home visitation, preschool enrichment programs with family engagement).<sup>50</sup> ACEs can also be prevented by teaching skills to handle stress, manage emotions, and tackle everyday challenges; and connecting youth to caring adults and activities (eg, mentoring, afterschool programs).<sup>50</sup> For example, skills-based programs such as Life Skills Training and Strengthening Families 10-14 can prevent ACE exposure (eg, peer violence, bullying) and reduce consequences (eg, prescription opioid misuse among adolescents and young adults). 50-54 Efforts to expand implementation of these preventive interventions are urgently needed.

Second, effective interventions and policies need to be implemented to lessen harms and prevent future risk among children already exposed to ACEs. 50,55 Primary care settings offer a unique opportunity to identify and address ACEs through enhanced screening and referral to intervention support.<sup>50</sup> For children, this includes assessments with parents or caregivers to identify risks in the family environment, such as parental substance misuse, depression, stress, the use of harsh punishment, and intimate partner violence. For adults, this includes assessments to identify a history of ACE exposures to mitigate risk and improve treatment outcomes.<sup>50</sup> Trauma-informed therapeutic treatment of children and families with ACEs can lessen the negative social, emotional, behavioral, and health consequences of these exposures and decrease the risk for violence victimization, perpetration, and substance misuse. 50,55 Treatment, through modalities like trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy and cognitive behavioral intervention for trauma in schools, effectively decreases trauma-related symptoms in children and improves parenting-related behaviors, emotional distress, and depressive symptoms in parents. 56,57 Such interventions safeguard the next generation from misusing opioids when they become adults, despite negative experiences in childhood.

Last, incorporating trauma-informed and trauma-specific approaches into medical treatment of youth with opioid use

disorder can help them to return to productive, healthy lives and achieve sustained recovery. Trauma-informed care translates the neuroscience of how trauma is processed in the brain into all aspects of healthcare delivery to mitigate the symptoms of trauma and prevent retraumatization. Trauma-specific services directly address the impact of trauma on people's lives and facilitate recovery and healing. Recovery from opioid use disorder is unlikely to be stable and long term without addressing underlying trauma. As providers and public health officials work to improve the infrastructure required to identify and treat youth with opioid use disorder, trauma-informed environments and trauma-specific services can be integrated to address factors related to ACEs.

The intergenerational "transmission" of ACEs also needs to be addressed. Studies indicate that higher parental ACEs predict higher child ACEs. Parent ACE exposures are also associated with worse child health, health behaviors, and health care access and use. Strategies to mitigate the negative impact of ACEs on 1 generation may act as primary prevention for the next generation. One study of white, rural, lower SES communities found that high perceived community social cohesion was associated with a decrease in ACEs across generations. Community-based solutions are one way to mitigate the negative effects of parental ACEs; additional intergenerational strategies include broad dissemination of ACEs-related research, trauma-informed care for parents, science-based prevention, and treatment interventions such as evidence-based home visiting. Sec.

There are limitations to our study. First, our crosssectional study can only present associations, not causality. To strengthen the likelihood that ACE exposures predated our outcome, we limited our outcome to the past 30 days. Although some studies have suggested a causal relationship between ACEs and opioid misuse among adults, more research into the pathways between ACEs and substance abuse is needed before conclusive statements on causality and risk can be made. 15,17 Our results should be interpreted with the cross-sectional study design in mind. PAF estimates may be biased if observed associations are underestimates or overestimates of aORs. Second, the study only included complete data from students attending participating public middle and high schools in Stark County. ACEs and recent opioid misuse were more prevalent among excluded participants; results may underrepresent the true prevalence of these experiences and associations. Data are not available for students attending nonparticipating schools; absent from school; or who opted out of participating. The prevalence of ACEs and opioid misuse may differ for these populations. Third, given the sensitive subject matter, it is possible that students underreported ACE exposure and opioid misuse, biasing our findings towards the null. Fourth, our study population's racial/ethnic profile was largely white, non-Hispanic heterosexual youth; as such, the results of this study may not be generalizable beyond northeast Ohio. Although other studies have demonstrated an increased prevalence of ACEs among participants identifying as black, Hispanic, multiracial, gay,

lesbian or bisexual, we find that ACEs are prevalent among white, heterosexual adolescents, as well.<sup>70</sup> Repeated analysis in diverse settings is merited.

Understanding the contributions of ACEs to opioid misuse can help public health officials and clinicians determine how best to deploy policies, programs, and clinical practices to stop the opioid crisis. The strong associations between ACEs and opioid misuse, already apparent by adolescence, underscore the importance of upstream interventions. To prevent opioid overdose deaths in the future, we must effectively prevent and mitigate the negative consequences of ACEs in the present.

We thank the members of the Stark County Coordinating Committee for facilitating NOYHS and advocating for Stark County's youth. We are grateful to Corinne Ferdon, John Aller, Michele Boone, Allison Esber, Joe Chaddock, Marty Bowe, Dack Warner, Sherry Smith, and Avi Joseph for their contributions. We extend our thanks to Alana Vivolo-Kantor, Erin Parker, Melissa Merrick, Katie Ports, Chris Jones, and Tamara Haegerich for their subject matter expertise and review. Last, we thank the teachers and school administrators who facilitated the survey and the students for their candid participation.

Submitted for publication Jan 24, 2020; last revision received Mar 20, 2020; accepted May 1, 2020.

Reprint requests: Elizabeth A. Swedo, MD, 4770 Buford Highway NE, Atlanta, GA 30307. E-mail: eswedo@cdc.gov

### References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Multiple cause of death 1999-2017 on CDC WONDER online database. 2018., https://wonder.cdc.gov/wonder/help/faq.html#8.
- Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Miech RA, Bachman JG, Schulenberg JE. Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975-2016: overview, key findings on adolescent drug use. Ann Arbor (MI): Institute for Social Research; 2017.
- O'Donnell JK, Gladden RM, Seth P. Trends in deaths involving heroin and synthetic opioids excluding methadone, and law enforcement drug product reports, by census region—United States, 2006-2015. Morbid Mortal Wkly Rep 2017;66:897.
- Curtin S, Tejada-Vera B, Warner M. Drug overdose deaths among adolescents aged 15-19 in the United States: 1999-2015. NCHS data brief, no 282. Hyattsville (MD): National Center for Health Statistics; 2017.
- Gaither JR, Shabanova V, Leventhal JM. US national trends in pediatric deaths from prescription and illicit opioids, 1999-2016. JAMA Network Open 2018;1:e186558.
- 6. Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. 2017 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: detailed tables. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2018.
- 7. Levy S. Youth and the opioid epidemic. Pediatrics 2019;143:e20182752.
- Griffin KW, Botvin GJ. Evidence-based interventions for preventing substance use disorders in adolescents. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin 2010;19:505-26.
- 9. Stockings E, Hall WD, Lynskey M, Morley KI, Reavley N, Strang J, et al. Prevention, early intervention, harm reduction, and treatment of substance use in young people. Lancet Psychiatry 2016;3:280-96.
- Griesler PC, Hu MC, Wall MM, Kandel DB. Nonmedical prescription opioid use by parents and adolescents in the US. Pediatrics 2019;143: e20182354.
- Boyd CJ, McCabe SE, Teter CJ. Medical and nonmedical use of prescription pain medication by youth in a Detroit-area public school district. Drug Alcohol Depend 2006;81:37-45.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adverse childhood experiences. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2019.

- 13. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, et al. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. Am J Prev Med 1998;14:245-58.
- Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, Felitti VJ, Edwards VJ, Croft JB, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of premature mortality. Am J Prev Med 2009;37:389-96.
- 15. Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, Walker JD, Whitfield C, Perry BD, et al. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2006;256:174-86.
- Chapman DP, Whitfield CL, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Edwards VJ, Anda RF. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of depressive disorders in adulthood. J Affect Disord 2004;82:217-25.
- Dube SR, Felitti VJ, Dong M, Chapman DP, Giles WH, Anda RF. Childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction and the risk of illicit drug use: the adverse childhood experiences study. Pediatrics 2003;111:564-72.
- Dube SR, Miller JW, Brown DW, Giles WH, Felitti VJ, Dong M, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the association with ever using alcohol and initiating alcohol use during adolescence. J Adolesc Health 2006;38:444.e1-10.
- Hillis S, Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Marchbanks PA. Adverse childhood experiences and sexual risk behaviors in women: a retrospective cohort study. Fam Plann Perspect 2001;33:206-11.
- Hillis SD, Anda RF, Dube SR, Felitti VJ, Marchbanks PA, Marks JS. The association between adverse childhood experiences and adolescent pregnancy, long-term psychosocial consequences, and fetal death. Pediatrics 2004;113:320-7.
- Afifi TO, Henriksen CA, Asmundson GJG, Sareen J. Childhood maltreatment and substance use disorders among men and women in a nationally representative sample. Can J Psychiatr 2012;57:677-86.
- 22. Taplin C, Saddichha S, Li K, Krausz MR. Family history of alcohol and drug abuse, childhood trauma, and age of first drug injection. J Substance Use Misuse 2014;49:1311-6.
- 23. Stein MD, Conti MT, Kenney S, Anderson BJ, Flori JN, Risi MM, et al. Adverse childhood experience effects on opioid use initiation, injection drug use, and overdose among persons with opioid use disorder. Drug Alcohol Depend 2017;179:325-9.
- 24. Brockie TN, Dana-Sacco G, Wallen GR, Wilcox HC, Campbell JC. The relationship of adverse childhood experiences to PTSD, depression, poly-drug use and suicide attempt in Reservation-based Native American adolescents and young adults. Am J Community Psychol 2015;55:411-21.
- 25. Forster M, Gower AL, Borowsky IW, McMorris BJ. Associations between adverse childhood experiences, student-teacher relationships, and non-medical use of prescription medications among adolescents. Addict Behav 2017;68:30-4.
- 26. Yiling L, Chuhao X, Pengsheng L, Min L, Wanxin W, Siyuan P, et al. Association between childhood maltreatment and non-medical prescription opioid use among Chinese senior high school students: the moderating role of gender. J Affect Disord 2018;235:421-7.
- 27. Diaz A, Simantov E, Rickert VI. Effect of abuse on health: results of a national survey. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2002;156:811-7.
- 28. Dembo R, Williams L, Wothke W, Schmeidler J, Brown CH. The role of family factors, physical abuse, and sexual victimization experiences in high-risk youths' alcohol and other drug use and delinquency: a longitudinal model. New York: Springer; 1992. p. 245-66.
- 29. Bensley LS, Spieker SJ, Van Eenwyk J, Schoder J. Self-reported abuse history and adolescent problem behaviors. II. Alcohol and drug use. J Adolesc Health 1999;24:173-80.
- **30.** Srivastava AB, Gold MS. Beyond supply: how we must tackle the opioid epidemic. Mayo Clinic Proc 2018;93:269-72.
- Swedo EA, Beauregard JR, Montgomery M, Rose EB, Sumner SA. Epi-Aid 2018-025: increase in youth suicides — Stark County, Ohio, 2018. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2019. p. 134.
- **32.** Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap) A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377-81.

108 Swedo et al

33. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey ACE Data, 2009-2014. Atlanta (GA): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015.

- 34. Chiang LF, Kress H, Sumner SA, Gleckel J, Kawemama P, Gordon RN. Violence Against Children Surveys (VACS): towards a global surveillance system. Inj Prev 2016;22(Suppl 1):i17-22.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Youth Risk Behavior Survey Questionnaires; 2017. Available at: www.cdc.gov/yrbs. Accessed on March 12, 2020.
- **36.** World Health Organization. Metrics: population attributable fraction (PAF). Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2019.
- 37. Rowe AK, Powell KE, Flanders WD. Why population attributable fractions can sum to more than one. Am J Prev Med 2004;26:243-9.
- 38. Dahlqwist E, Zetterqvist J, Pawitan Y, Sjölander A. Model-based estimation of the attributable fraction for cross-sectional, case-control and cohort studies using the R package AF. Eur J Epidemiol 2016;31:575-82.
- **39.** Campbell AM, Hibbard R. More than words: the emotional maltreatment of children. Pediatr Clin 2014;61:959-70.
- 40. Conroy E, Degenhardt L, Mattick RP, Nelson EC. Child maltreatment as a risk factor for opioid dependence: comparison of family characteristics and type and severity of child maltreatment with a matched control group. Child Abuse Negl 2009;33:343-52.
- Schrager SM, Kecojevic A, Silva K, Jackson Bloom J, Iverson E, Lankenau SE. Correlates and consequences of opioid misuse among high-risk young adults. J Addiction 2014;2014:8.
- Tilson EC. Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs): an important element of a comprehensive approach to the opioid crisis. N C Med J 2018;79:166-9.
- Feldstein SW, Miller WR. Substance use and risk-taking among adolescents. J Mental Health 2006;15:633-43.
- **44.** Steinberg L. Risk taking in adolescence: new perspectives from brain and behavioral science. Curr Direction Psychol Sci 2007;16:55-9.
- Repetti R, Taylor S, E Seeman T. Risky families: family social environments and the mental and physical health of offspring. Psychol Bull 2002;128:330-66.
- **46.** Gerra G, Somaini L, Manfredini M, Raggi MA, Saracino MA, Amore M, et al. Dysregulated responses to emotions among abstinent heroin users: correlation with childhood neglect and addiction severity. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatr 2014;48:220-8.
- **47.** Heim C, Nemeroff CB. The role of childhood trauma in the neurobiology of mood and anxiety disorders: preclinical and clinical studies. Biol Psychiatr 2001;49:1023-39.
- **48.** Dubowitz H, Thompson R, Proctor L, Metzger R, Black MM, English D, et al. Adversity, maltreatment, and resilience in young children. Acad Pediatr 2016;16:233-9.
- **49.** Springer KW, Sheridan J, Kuo D, Carnes M. Long-term physical and mental health consequences of childhood physical abuse: results from a large population-based sample of men and women. Child Abuse Neglect 2007;31:517-30.
- 50. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing adverse childhood experiences: leveraging the best available evidence. Atlanta (GA): National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2019.
- David-Ferdon C, Vivolo-Kantor A, Dahlberg LL, Marshall K, Rainford N, Hall JE. A comprehensive technical package for the prevention of youth violence and associated risk behaviors. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2016.
- Botvin GJ, Griffin KW, Nichols TD. Preventing youth violence and delinquency through a universal school-based prevention approach. J Prev Sci 2006;7:403-8.

- **53.** Spoth R, Trudeau L, Shin C, Ralston E, Redmond C, Greenberg M, et al. Longitudinal effects of universal preventive intervention on prescription drug misuse: three randomized controlled trials with late adolescents and young adults. Am J Public Health 2013;103:665-72.
- 54. Molgaard V, Spoth R. The Strengthening Families Program for Young Adolescents: overview and outcomes. Residential Treatment for Children & Youth 2001;18:15-29.
- 55. Fortson B, Klevens J, Merrick M, Gilbert L, Alexander S. Preventing child abuse and neglect: a technical package for policy, norm, and programmatic activities. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2016.
- **56.** Cary CE, McMillen JC. The data behind the dissemination: a systematic review of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for use with children and youth. Child Youth Serv Rev 2012;34:748-57.
- 57. Mannarino AP, Cohen JA, Deblinger E, Runyon MK, Steer RA. Traumafocused cognitive-behavioral therapy for children: sustained impact of treatment 6 and 12 months later. Child Maltreatment 2012;17:231-41.
- 58. Campaign for Trauma-Informed Policy and Practice. Trauma-informed approaches need to be part of a comprehensive strategy for addressing the opioid epidemic. 2017. p. 6., http://www.ctipp.org/wp-content/uplo.
- 59. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Traumainformed care in behavioral health services: treatment improvement protocol (TIP) series 57. Rockville (MD): Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2014.
- Butler Center for Research. Trauma informed care for substance abuse counseling: a brief summary. In: Tkach M, ed. Center City, MN: Hazelden Betty Ford; 2018.
- 61. Finkelstein N, VandeMark N, Fallot R, Brown V, Cadiz S, Heckman J. Enhancing substance abuse recovery through integrated trauma treatment. Sarasota, FL: National Trauma Consortium for the Center for Substance Abuse Treatment; 2004.
- **62.** Cadiz S, Savage A, Bonavota D, Hollywood J, Butters E, Neary M, et al. The portal project. Alcohol Treatm Q 2005;22:121-39.
- 63. Wiechelt S. Intersections between trauma and substance misuse: implications for trauma-informed care. In: Straussner S, ed. Clinical work with substance-abusing clients. 3rd ed. New York: Guilford; 2014. p. 179-201.
- 64. Hien DA, Jiang H, Campbell AN, Hu MC, Miele GM, Cohen LR, et al. Do treatment improvements in PTSD severity affect substance use outcomes? A secondary analysis from a randomized clinical trial in NIDA's Clinical Trials Network. Am J Psychiatr 2010;167:95-101.
- 65. Narayan AJ, Kalstabakken AW, Labella MH, Nerenberg LS, Monn AR, Masten AS. Intergenerational continuity of adverse childhood experiences in homeless families: unpacking exposure to maltreatment versus family dysfunction. Am J Orthopsychiatr 2017;87:3.
- **66.** Lê-Scherban F, Wang X, Boyle-Steed KH, Pachter LM. Intergenerational associations of parent adverse childhood experiences and child health outcomes. Pediatrics 2018;141:e20174274.
- 67. Schofield TJ, Donnellan MB, Merrick MT, Ports KA, Klevens J, Leeb R. Intergenerational continuity in adverse childhood experiences and rural community environments. Am J Public Health 2018;108:1148-52.
- Phillips MA, McDonald TW, Kishbaugh DI. Using evidence based home visiting for preventing intergenerational adverse childhood experiences. J Psychol 2017;8:17.
- Shonkoff JP. Leveraging the biology of adversity to address the roots of disparities in health and development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:17302-7.
- Merrick MT, Ford DC, Ports KA, Guinn AS. Prevalence of Adverse Childhood Experiences From the 2011-2014 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System in 23 States. JAMA Pediatrics 2018;172:1038-44.

Table V. Comparison of characteristics of subjects included in analyses vs excluded owing to missing data, Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, 2018

Characteristics	Included (n = 10 546)	Excluded (n = 1902)	
Race/ethnicity	,	•	
White, non-Hispanic	8816 (83.6)	1326 (79.3)	<.001
Other, non-Hispanic	668 (6.3)	120 (7.2)	۷.001
Black or African American,		125 (7.5)	
non-Hispanic	()	- ( - /	
Hispanic .	450 (4.3)	101 (6.0)	
Missing		230	
School grade			
7	1889 (17.9)	406 (22.4)	<.001
8	1983 (18.8)	336 (18.6)	
9 10	1824 (17.3) 1826 (17.3)	340 (18.8)	
11	1679 (15.9)	288 (15.9) 248 (13.7)	
12	1345 (12.8)	192 (10.6)	
Missing	1040 (12.0)	92	
Sex		<b>-</b>	
Male	5259 (49.9)	749 (46.2)	.006
Female	5287 (50.1)	873 (53.8)	
Missing	-	280	
Sexual minority			
Yes	1204 (11.4)	354 (24.3)	<.001
No	9342 (88.6)	1102 (75.7)	
Missing		446	
ACEs			
Emotional abuse	2250 (21.2)	200 (20 0)	. 001
Yes No	2250 (21.3)	398 (28.0)	<.001
Missing	8296 (78.7)	1022 (72.0) 482	
Physical abuse		402	
Yes	1274 (12.1)	265 (16.5)	<.001
No	9272 (87.9)	1344 (83.5)	
Missing	( )	293	
Sexual abuse			
Yes	756 (7.2)	136 (8.5)	.06
No	9790 (92.8)	1469 (91.5)	
Missing		297	
Witnessed intimate partner			
violence Yes	633 (6.0)	110 (0 1)	.003
No	633 (6.0) 9913 (94.0)	119 (8.1) 1357 (91.9)	.003
Missing	3313 (34.0)	426	
Household substance abuse		420	
Yes	1835 (17.4)	383 (25.4)	<.001
No	8711 (82.6)	1125 (74.6)	
Missing	, ,	394	
Mental illness in household			
Yes	2285 (21.7)	484 (32.0)	<.001
No	8261 (78.3)	1029 (68.0)	
Missing		389	
Parental separation or divorce			
Yes	3959 (37.5)	779 (50.5)	<.001
No Missing	6587 (62.5)	763 (49.5)	
Missing		360	
Incarcerated household			
member Yes	1848 (17.5)	401 (26.4)	<.001
No	8698 (82.5)	1116 (73.6)	<.001
Missing	3000 (02.0)	385	
Physical neglect		500	
Yes	329 (3.1)	85 (5.5)	<.001
No	10 217 (96.9)	1461 (94.5)	
Missing	()	356	
-		(	continued)
		''	

Table V. Continued			
Characteristics	Included (n = 10 546)	Excluded (n = 1902)	<i>P</i> value*
	(11 = 10 0 + 0)	(11 = 1302)	Value
Emotional neglect	1004 (10.1)	000 (07.0)	004
Yes No	1904 (18.1)	380 (27.6)	<.001
Missing	8642 (82.0)	999 (72.4) 523	
ACE score		323	
0	4201 (39.8)	498 (29.8)	<.001
1	2414 (22.9)	390 (23.3)	
2	1340 (12.7)	241 (14.4)	
3	898 (8.5)	175 (10.5)	
4	615 (5.8)	139 (8.3)	
≥5	1078 (10.2)	230 (13.7)	
Missing		229	
Recent opioid misuse		(2 -)	
Yes	195 (1.9)	51 (3.7)	<.001
No Missing	10 351 (98.2)	1335 (96.3) 516	
Lifetime misuse of nonopioid		310	
substances <sup>†</sup>			
Alcohol			
Yes	4457 (43.1)	639 (44.0)	.53
No	5881 (56.9)	814 (56.0)	
Missing	208	449	
Marijuana			
Yes	1724 (16.4)	300 (19.6)	.002
No	8770 (83.6)	1230 (80.4)	
Missing	52	372	
Cocaine Yes	116 (1 1)	20 (2.2)	<.001
No	116 (1.1) 10 413 (98.9)	38 (2.3) 1583 (97.7)	<.001
Missing	17	281	
Ecstasy	.,	201	
Yes	135 (1.3)	39 (2.4)	.001
No	10 397 (98.7)	1586 (97.6)	
Missing	14	277	
Glue/huffing			
Yes	159 (1.5)	55 (3.4)	<.001
No Missing	10 372 (98.5)	1562 (96.6)	
Missing	15	285	
Synthetic marijuana Yes	230 (2.2)	52 (3.2)	.01
No	10 301 (97.8)	1559 (96.8)	.01
Missing	15	291	
Methamphetamines	. =	== -	
Yes	66 (0.6)	35 (2.1)	<.001
No	10 472 (99.4)	1593 (97.9)	
Missing	8	274	
Nonmedical prescription			
muscle relaxers or anxiety			
medicine	400 (4.4)	100 (0.0)	. 004
Yes No	460 (4.4)	109 (6.8)	<.001
Missing	10 069 (95.6) 17	1497 (93.2) 296	
iviiooiiiy	17	230	

<sup>\*</sup>P values calculated from  $\chi^2$  test.

109.e1 Swedo et al

<sup>†</sup>Because lifetime misuse of other substances was not included in primary model, participants with missing data on additional substance use variables were not excluded.  $N_{\rm missing}$  for substance use variables are noted in both included and excluded groups.

Table VI. Outcome prevalence by cohort characteristics in excluded participants, Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, 2018

Characteristics			Opioid misuse in the past 30 d		
White, non-Hispanic         20         943         2.1         <001           Other, non-Hispanic         5         90         5.2           Black or African American, non-Hispanic         10         74         13.5           Hispanic         10         74         13.5           Missing         699         5chool grade         7         12         350         3.4         .94           7         10         27         3.7         .9         7         248         2.8         .00         .04	Characteristics	n	Total	% <b>*</b>	<i>P</i> value <sup>†</sup>
Black or African American, non-Hispanic   Hispanic					
Black or African American, non-Hispanic Hispanic Hispan	White, non-Hispanic				<.001
Missing	Other, non-Hispanic Black or African American				
Missing School grade         699           7         12         350         3.4         .94           8         10         27         3.7         .94         .8         .10         27         3.7         .99         .7         248         2.8         .8         .10         .7         .93         3.6         .1         .1         .6         .158         3.8         .1         .2         .10         1.8         .8         .1         .2         .10         1.8         .8         .1         .2         .10         .1         .8         .2         .2         .1         .1         .1         .2         .2         .1         .1         .2         .2         .2         .8         .2		J	30	0.2	
School grade			74	13.5	
Total		699			
9		12	350	3.4	.94
10					
11       6       158       3.8         12       2       110       1.8         Missing       573         Sex       Total Control of the part of the pa					
12					
Sex         Male         15         519         2.9         .58           Female         16         673         2.4           Missing         710         710           Sexual minority         798         27         289         9.3         <.001	12	2			
Male         15         519         2.9         .58           Female         16         673         2.4           Missing         710         710           Sexual minority         710         710           Yes         27         289         9.3         <.001		573			
Female Missing         710           Sexual minority         710           Yes         27         289         9.3         <.001		15	519	29	58
Sexual minority   Yes   27   289   9.3   <.001   No   18   754   2.4					.00
Yes         27         289         9.3         <.001		710			
No Missing   859		27	290	0.2	~ 001
Missing ACEs       Bernotional abuse       Ces        Ces        Ces					<.001
Emotional abuse       Yes       22       282       7.8       <.001         No       12       771       1.6         Missing       849         Physical abuse       Yes       23       190       12.1       <.001					
Yes         22         282         7.8         <.001					
No   12   771   1.6   Missing   849   Physical abuse   Yes   23   190   12.1   <.001   No   12   1041   1.2   Missing   671   Sexual abuse   Yes   15   105   14.3   <.001   No   18   1124   1.6   Missing   673   Witnessed intimate partner violence   Yes   12   89   13.5   <.001   No   17   1021   1.7   Missing   792   Household substance abuse   Yes   20   277   7.2   <.001   No   12   863   1.4   Missing   762   Mental illness in household   Yes   23   349   6.6   <.001   No   10   792   1.3   Missing   761   Parental separation or divorce   Yes   25   589   4.2   .007   No   9   568   1.6   Missing   745   Incarcerated household member   Yes   25   304   8.2   <.001   No   7   842   0.8   Missing   756   Physical neglect   Yes   15   60   25.0   <.001   No   16   1114   1.4   Missing   728   Emotional neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   880   Missing   880   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   880   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   880   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   Rotational neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   Yes   275   275   275   275		22	282	7.8	~ 001
Physical abuse         23         190         12.1         <.001					<.001
Yes       23       190       12.1       <.001		849			
No		00	100	10.1	. 001
Missing       671         Sexual abuse       15       105       14.3       <.001					<.001
Yes       15       105       14.3       <.001					
No		45	105	440	004
Missing       673         Witnessed intimate partner violence       12       89       13.5       <.001					<.001
Yes       12       89       13.5       <.001			1124	1.0	
No					
Missing       792         Household substance abuse       20       277       7.2       <.001					<.001
Household substance abuse   Yes   20   277   7.2   <.001   No   12   863   1.4   Missing   762     Mental illness in household   Yes   23   349   6.6   <.001   No   10   792   1.3   Missing   761     Parental separation or divorce   Yes   25   589   4.2   .007   No   9   568   1.6   Missing   745     Incarcerated household member   Yes   25   304   8.2   <.001   No   7   842   0.8   Missing   756   Physical neglect   Yes   15   60   25.0   <.001   No   16   1114   1.4   Missing   728   Emotional neglect   Yes   20   274   7.3   <.001   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   880   No   No   10   748   1.3   Missing   880   No   No   No   No   No   No   No   N			1021	1.7	
No       12       863       1.4         Missing       762         Mental illness in household       762         Yes       23       349       6.6       <.001	Household substance abuse				
Missing       762         Mental illness in household       23       349       6.6       <.001					<.001
Mental illness in household       23       349       6.6       <.001			003	1.4	
No       10       792       1.3         Missing       761       761         Parental separation or divorce       25       589       4.2       .007         No       9       568       1.6       76         Missing       745       745       77       76       76         Incarcerated household member       25       304       8.2       <.001					
Missing       761         Parental separation or divorce       25       589       4.2       .007         No       9       568       1.6         Missing       745       .001         Incarcerated household member       25       304       8.2       <.001					<.001
Parental separation or divorce         Yes       25       589       4.2       .007         No       9       568       1.6         Missing       745         Incarcerated household member       25       304       8.2       <.001			792	1.3	
No         9         568         1.6           Missing         745         745           Incarcerated household member         25         304         8.2         <.001		701			
Missing Incarcerated household member       745         Yes       25       304       8.2       <.001					.007
Incarcerated household member   Yes			568	1.6	
No     7     842     0.8       Missing     756       Physical neglect     15     60     25.0     <.001		745			
Missing       756         Physical neglect       756         Yes       15       60       25.0       <.001		25	304	8.2	<.001
Physical neglect           Yes         15         60         25.0         <.001           No         16         1114         1.4           Missing         728           Emotional neglect         20         274         7.3         <.001			842	0.8	
Yes     15     60     25.0     <.001		756			
Missing     728       Emotional neglect     20     274     7.3     <.001		15	60	25.0	<.001
Emotional neglect  Yes 20 274 7.3 <.001  No 10 748 1.3  Missing 880			1114	1.4	
Yes 20 274 7.3 <.001 No 10 748 1.3 Missing 880		728			
No 10 748 1.3 Missing 880		20	274	7.3	<.001
•					
(continued)	Missing	880			
(commutation)				((	continued)

Table VI. Continued				
	Opioid misuse in the past 30 d			
Characteristics	n	Total	%*	<i>P</i> value <sup>†</sup>
ACE score				
0	2	397	0.5	<.001
1	1	301	0.3	
2	2	183	1.1	
3	6	134	4.5	
4	4	98	4.1	
≥5	20	164	12.2	
Missing	625			
Lifetime misuse of non-opioid				
substances				
Alcohol				
Yes	29	440	6.6	<.001
No	5	728	0.7	
Missing	734			
Marijuana Yes	21	100	11 5	. 001
Yes No	21 15	183	11.5 1.4	<.001
110	661	1058	1.4	
Missing Cocaine	001			
Yes	14	30	46.7	<.001
No.	22	1237	1.8	<.001
Missing	635	1231	1.0	
Ecstasy	000			
Yes	14	32	43.8	<.001
No	23	1235	1.9	<.001
Missing	635	00		
Glue/huffing				
Yes	15	43	34.9	<.001
No	21	1220	1.7	
Missing	639			
Synthetic marijuana				
Yes	15	42	35.7	<.001
No	20	1223	1.6	
Missing	637			
Methamphetamines				
Yes	15	29	51.7	<.001
No	21	1240	1.7	
Missing	633			
Nonmedical prescription muscle				
relaxers or anxiety medicine	00	70	04.0	004
Yes	23	72	31.9	<.001
No Missing	14	1187	1.2	
Missing	643			

<sup>\*</sup>Row percent.

<sup>†</sup>The *P* values are calculated from the  $\chi^2$  test.

Table VII. Prevalence and unadjusted odds of recent opioid misuse by category and number of ACEs among all participants (n = 12448), Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, 2018

	Opioid misuse in the past 30 d		
ACEs	Prevalence, %	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	
Emotional abuse			
No	1.1	1.0	
Yes	5.3	4.8 (3.6-6.4)	
Missing	849	, ,	
Physical abuse			
No	1.2	1.0	
Yes	7.4	5.7 (4.3-7.6)	
Missing	671	, ,	
Sexual abuse			
No	1.3	1.0	
Yes	9.8	7.3 (5.5-9.8)	
Missing	673	(0.0 0.0)	
Witnessed intimate partner violence	0.0		
No	1.5	1.0	
Yes	8.6	5.3 (3.8-7.4)	
Missing	792	3.3 (3.0 7.4)	
Household substance abuse	132		
No	1.2	1.0	
Yes	5.2	3.8 (3.1-4.9)	
Missing	762.0	3.0 (3.1-4.9)	
Mental illness in household	702.0		
No	4.4	1.0	
	1.1	1.0	
Yes	4.9	4.3 (3.3-5.6)	
Missing	761		
Parental separation or divorce		4.0	
No	1.4	1.0	
Yes	2.8	1.8 (1.4-2.4)	
Missing	745		
Incarcerated household member			
No	1.2	1.0	
Yes	5.2	4.0 (3.2-5.2)	
Missing	756		
Physical neglect			
No	1.6	1.0	
Yes	12.6	6.9 (4.9-9.7)	
Missing	728		
Emotional neglect			
No	1.0	1.0	
Yes	5.8	5.5 (4.2-7.2)	
Missing	880		
Number of ACEs			
0	0.5	1.0	
1	0.9	2.0 (0.99-4.0)	
≥1	2.9	5.8 (3.7-9.3)	
2	1.9	4.0 (1.9-8.7)	
3	2.3	5.3 (3.3-8.4)	
4	3.4	7.7 (3.9-15.1)	
≥5	8.6	18.1 (10.8-30.3)	
Missing	650	,	
ÿ			

Table VIII. Prevalence, unadjusted, and adjusted odds of recent opioid misuse by category and number of ACEs, adjusting for sociodemographics and lifetime history of nonopioid substance use (n = 10 293), Northeast Ohio Youth Health Survey, 2018

Onioid micues in the past 20 d				
	Opioid misuse in the past 30 d			
ACEs	Prevalence, %	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	
Lifetime misuse of alcohol*				
No	0.6	1.0	1.0	
Yes	3.5	6.4 (4.5-9.2)	1.9 (1.1-3.3)	
Lifetime misuse of marijuana*				
No	0.8	1.0	1.0	
Yes Lifetime misuse of other substances*,†	6.8	8.5 (6.1-11.8)	1.6 (0.99-2.7)	
No	0.7	1.0	1.0	
Yes	16.8	27.2 (19.7-37.6)	13.6 (9.3-19.8)	
Emotional abuse <sup>‡</sup>				
No	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Yes	4.9	5.0 (3.9-6.5)	1.9 (1.5-2.5)	
Physical abuse <sup>∓</sup>				
No V	1.2	1.0	1.0	
Yes Sexual abuse <sup>‡</sup>	6.6	5.8 (4.3-7.8)	1.9 (1.5-2.4)	
No	1.3	1.0	1.0	
Yes	8.9	7.3 (5.4-9.9)	2.2 (1.7-3.0)	
Witnessed intimate partner violence <sup>‡</sup>	0.0	7.0 (0.4 0.0)	2.2 (1.7 0.0)	
No	1.5	1.0	1.0	
Yes	7.5	5.3 (3.9-7.3)	1.7 (1.2-2.4)	
Household substance abuse				
No	1.2	1.0	1.0	
Yes	4.8	4.0 (3.1-5.1)	1.4 (1.1-1.9)	
Mental illness in household <sup>‡</sup>	4.4	1.0	1.0	
No Yes	1.1 4.5	1.0 4.2 (3.2-5.5)	1.0 1.8 (1.4-2.3)	
Parental separation or divorce <sup>‡</sup>	4.5	4.2 (3.2-3.3)	1.0 (1.4-2.3)	
No	1.4	1.0	1.0	
Yes	2.5	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	1.0 (0.7-1.4)	
Incarcerated household member <sup>‡</sup>				
No	1.2	1.0	1.0	
Yes	4.6	3.8 (2.9-5.0)	1.5 (1.2-1.9)	
Physical neglect <sup>‡</sup>	4.0	1.0	1.0	
No Van	1.6	1.0	1.0	
Yes	9.9	6.7 (4.6-9.9)	1.9 (1.2-2.9)	
Emotional neglect <sup>‡</sup> No	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Yes	5.5	5.6 (4.1-7.6)	2.2 (1.6-3.1)	
Number of ACEs <sup>‡</sup>	0.0	J.U (4.1 7.0)	2.2 (1.0 0.1)	
0	0.5	1.0	1.0	
1	0.9	2.0 (0.9-4.3)	1.6 (0.8-3.2)	
≥1	2.7	5.7 (3.4-9.4)	2.1 (1.3-3.6)	
2	2.0	4.3 (2.0-9.3)	2.1 (1.04-4.3)	
3	2.1	4.4 (2.5-7.7)	1.9 (1.1-3.4)	
4	3.3	7.0 (3.4-14.5)	2.2 (1.1-4.6)	
≥5	7.9	17.7 (10.2-30.7)	3.5 (2.1-5.9)	

<sup>\*</sup>ORs adjusted for sex; race; grade; lifetime misuse of alcohol, marijuana, other substance use; sexual minority status; and exposure to ≥1 ACEs.

109.e3 Swedo et al

<sup>†</sup>Self-reported use of synthetic marijuana, cocaine, ecstasy, glue/huffing, prescription muscle relaxers or anxiety medicine without a doctor's prescription at least once in participant's lifetime.

<sup>‡</sup>ORs adjusted for sex; race; grade; lifetime misuse of alcohol, marijuana, other substance use; and sexual minority status.