ELSEVIER ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pediatric Surgery

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpedsurg



Congenital short bowel syndrome: systematic review of a rare condition



Elisa Negri ^{a,*}, Riccardo Coletta ^{a,b}, Antonino Morabito ^{a,c}

- ^a Department of Pediatric Surgery, Meyer Children's Hospital, University of Florence, Viale Pieraccini 24, 50139 Florence, Italy
- ^b School of Environment and Life Science, University of Salford, Salford, United Kingdom
- ^c Dipartimento di Neuroscienze, Psicologia, Area del farmaco e della Salute del Bambino NEUROFARBA, University of Florence, Viale Pieraccini 6, 50121 Florence, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 June 2019 Received in revised form 3 March 2020 Accepted 6 March 2020

Key words: Congenital short bowel Bowel obstruction Malrotation Malnutrition CLMP and FLNA mutations

ABSTRACT

Background: Congenital short bowel syndrome (CSBS) is a rare gastrointestinal disorder caused by intrauterine reduction of small bowel length whose etiology is still unknown. Chronic diarrhea, vomiting, and failure to thrive are the most important complications, arising from less absorptive intestinal surface. This review examines clinical features and outcomes of CSBS patients.

Methods: A PubMed and EMBASE research on CSBS was performed. Inclusion criterion was congenital short bowel diagnosis in a range of ages between 33 weeks of gestational age and 15 years old (IQR 38 days). Exclusion criteria were history of atresia of any part of the gastrointestinal tract and extensive surgical bowel resections. Qualitative and quantitative variables were collected and analyzed. Data were expressed in mean and IQR. Results: Sixty-one patients were identified (38 males, 23 females) from 1969 to date. Mean bowel length was 58.24 cm (IQR 37.5). Malrotation of the midgut was seen in 98.4% of cases. Our data showed an interesting

58.24 cm (IQR 37.5). Malrotation of the midgut was seen in 98.4% of cases. Our data showed an interesting trend in improving the survival rate of these patients (from 28.5% before 2008 to 75% in the period after 2008). Sepsis was the most frequent cause of death reported (57.9%). Interestingly, 18 patients were genetically analyzed, finding mutations either in FLNA gene (38.8%) or in CLMP gene (61.1%).

Conclusions: CSBS is a condition that seems to be related to an autosomal recessive (CLMP) or an X linked (FLNA) type of inheritance. Advance in medical management seems to have improved survival of these children in recent years. Further genetic studies can better understand the causes of this disease aiming to create personalized treatment.

Type of study: Systematic review. Level of evidence: Level IV.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Methods	810
2.	Results	810
3.	Discussion	810
4.	Conclusion	813
	lict of interest	
Refe	rences	813

Congenital short bowel (CSB) is defined as a shortage of small bowel, present from birth, in children with intestinal continuity preserved, which leads to short bowel syndrome (SBS). It is a multisystemic disorder caused by malabsorption of nutrients as a result of inadequate

E-mail address: elisa.negri@unifi.it (E. Negri).

length of small intestine, which is usually less than half expected for gestational age [1]. This disease has still an unknown etiology and its prevalence seems to be <1/1,000,000 [2].

Common features of CSBS include abdominal distension, chronic diarrhea, vomiting and failure to thrive. These signs are common to other pathologies and so this contributes to the difficulty in diagnosis. Unfortunately this condition can still lead to mortality, even if advances in surgical and medical management have showed an interesting trend in improving the survival rate of patients affected.

 $^{^{}st}$ Corresponding author at: University of Florence, Viale Pieraccini 24, 50139 Florence, Italy.

The aim of this paper is to collect all data available about this pathology in an organized way and to identify common features and any key patterns to tackle CSBS in future patients. Furthermore, several etiological theories have been proposed over the past few decades and these will be analyzed.

1. Methods

A PubMed and EMBASE search of the literature was undertaken for all reported cases of CSBS using terms "congenital short bowel" and "congenital short gut". All papers that were directly reporting cases/series and reviews about CSBS were included in the study. Articles written in English and data about non-English papers, obtained through English reviews, were considered for the purpose of this study.

Inclusion criterion was congenital short bowel diagnosis in a range of age between 33 weeks of gestational age and 15 years old (IQR 38 days). Patients with history of gastrointestinal atresia and extensive surgical bowel resections, leading to SBS, were excluded.

Data were recorded in an organized chronological order in a Microsoft Excel database in preparation for our analysis. We divided patients in two groups, because according to literature, survival of SBS patients has increased with the introduction of target lipid parenteral nutrition, which occurred approximately in 2008 [1].

Patient's data were expressed in mean, IQR and percentage. Means were calculated for intestinal length and age at diagnosis. Percentage means were calculated for: gender, survival, parenteral nutrition usage, familiarity, consanguinity between parents and presence of malrotation of the midgut.

The biggest problem in data collection was publication bias, because there was a great variability in the availability of data reported in different articles screened. Variables not described were reported as not available (N/A). It is also apparent that descriptions of some patients are duplicated through subsequent publications. In these cases we consider the most recent one and the earlier articles were examined for additional details.

A Preferred Reporting items for Systematic Reviews and Metaanalyses (PRISMA) checklist was followed in the construction of the manuscript [4].

2. Results

Sixty-one patients were identified from 1969 to date, described in 38 full text articles. Intestinal length was reported in 53 cases, 38 male (62.3%) and 23 females (37.7%). The average mean bowel length was 58.24 cm (IQR 37.5). Age at diagnosis was included between 33 weeks of gestational age and 15 years old.

Malrotation of the midgut was seen in all but one case (98.4%). Fifty cases were diagnosed by laparotomy, 1 by laparoscopy and in 1 case barium meal is used alone for diagnosis. In 62% of patients a radiological examination was performed before surgery.

Signs or symptoms of functional obstruction and/or malabsorption were reported in 53 cases such as abdominal distension (96.2%), diarrhea (37.7%) and failure to thrive (77.3%).

Associated anomalies were found in 57.4% of CSBS patients such as bowel adhesions or bands (11 cases), pyloric hypertrophy (11 cases), persistent ductus arteriosus (PDA) (6 cases), central nervous system malformations (5 cases), mesenterium commune (4 cases) and absence of appendix (3 cases).

Interestingly, a simultaneous reduction of small bowel and colon length was reported in 3 cases. Four patients showed episodes of rectal bleeding.

Four patients underwent laparotomy for bowel occlusion caused by volvulus of ileum. In all these cases the intestine was vital and volvulus was reduced without intestinal resection, finding at the same time a small bowel pathologically short.

Twenty-four patients were reported to be alive at time of publication.

Considering cases published before 2008, 15 patients, out of 49, survived (30.6%). Of these 9 were thriving on a regular oral diet at follow up, 3 required mixture of parenteral and enteral nutrition and in 3 patients data about feeding outcome were not reported (N/A).

Analyzing publications after 2008, there was a much brighter outlook for survival; we identified 9 out of 12 children who survived (75%). Nutritional outcome was reported in 8 cases, that needed PN associated with oral nutritional support. The main reason for patients that did not receive PN was death at a very early age. These results are summarized in Table 1.

Surgical procedures were performed in only two cases. One underwent bowel-lengthening procedure and the technique used was the longitudinal intestinal lengthening and tailoring (LILT); nevertheless he died at 1 month of age for unspecified causes [37]. In the second patient small bowel tapering was performed at the age of 11 and at the age of 17 he remained in partial PN, but he expanded the amount of food he consumed orally [43].

Causes of death were described in 20 cases and they were mainly related to infective complications. Sepsis was reported in 11 cases. Other causes explained were: subocclusion (3 cases), malabsorption (3 cases), chest infection (1 case), convulsion plus cardiac arrest (1 case) and gastrointestinal bleeding (1 case).

A familial occurrence was discovered in 70.5% of patients affected by congenital shortage of bowel and all of these were siblings. Parents of 11 patients were consanguineous.

Eighteen patients included in the review were genetically analyzed. Genomic Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was isolated from peripheral blood lymphocytes. Gene sequences of interest were amplified using Polymerase chain reaction and compared with the reference genomic sequence. Different homozygous and compound heterozygous losses of function mutations for the Coxsackie and Adenovirus receptor-like membrane protein (CLMP) were identified in 11 CSBS patients. This gene is located on chromosome 11 encoding a transmembrane protein that colocalizes with tight junction proteins and act as an adhesion molecule [5]. Eight cases were female and three males (Table 2).

In other seven male patients, a mutation in FLNA gene was found on X chromosome encoding the cytoskeletal protein filamin A. Filamin A is a widely expressed filamin that regulates cell shape by cross linking actin filaments and plays an important role in cell signaling and migration in response to environmental changes. FLNA has been reported to play an important role in vascular development and cardiac morphogenesis, but its role in intestinal development is still unclear [5].

This mutation is identified with an X linked pattern of inheritance (except for case described by Siva et al. where it occurred for the first time in the family) [6] (Table 3).

As previously noted by Gonnaud et al. the severity of CSBS seems to be related with the type of genetic abnormalities. Patients with mutation in CLMP presented a pathological phenotype restricted to the intestine with a length of small bowel included between 26 cm and 76 cm, respectively 10% and 31% of what is expected for gestational age. Differently patients with mutation in FLNA presented multiple congenital abnormalities, usually involving central nervous system and a longer length of intestine both as an absolute (45 cm–237 cm) and as a % of the expected (18%–33%) (Tables 2–3).

Gargiulo et al. described the association of mutation in FLNA with short intestine and chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudoobstruction. They analyzed full thickness ileal and colonic biopsies finding abnormal argyrophilic neurons in the myenteric and submucosal plexus [42].

3. Discussion

Several theories have been proposed to answer the elusive question of what causes CSBS. A comprehensive definition of short bowel syndrome can help to understand this rare disease. In fact SBS and CSBS

Table 1 Result

Case	Year of Publication	Bowel length (cm)	Age at presentation	PN usage	Outcome	Cause of death	Nutritional outcome
1	1969 [16]	40	7 months	N	A > 7 y.o.		Normal diet
	1969 [16]	30	3 weeks	N	D at 1 month	N/A	
	1970 [10]	30	3 months	Y	D at 5 months	Pneumonia and pulmonary hemorrhage	
	1973 [11]	70	7 weeks	Y	D at 20 weeks	N/A	
	1973 [28]	42	3 days	N	D at 35 days	Malabsorption	
	1974 [34]	106	22 days	N/A	D at 25 days	N/A	
	1974 [35]	40	5 days	Y	D at 2 months	N/A	
	1974 [35]	45	15 days	Y	D at 7 months	N/A	
	1974 [35]	70	N/A	N/A	D at 4 days	N/A	
0	1974 [35]	25	N/A	N/A	D at 3 weeks	N/A	
1	1976 [9]	40	1 day	N	D at 1 day	Convulsion and cardiac arrest	
2	1976 [9]	75	6 days	Y	D at 5 months	Chest infection and septicemia	
3	1976 [40]	70	18 days	N/A	D at 22 days	Subocclusion	
4	1976 [40]	N/A	7 days	N/A	D at 16 days	Subocclusion	
5	1976 [40]	50	15 days	N/A	D at 7 months	Subocclusion	
5	1976 [41]	N/A	N/A	Y	D at 5 months	Sepsis associated with TPN	
7	1976 [41]	60	N/A	N	D at 6 weeks	N/A	
8	1978 [36]	65	N/A	N	D at 5 months	N/A	
)	1984 [12]	65	18 days	Y	D at 2 mouths	Gram negative sepsis	
)	1984 [12]	72	6 weeks	Y	$\mathbf{A} > 5 \text{ y.o}$	Giani negative sepsis	22 months: lactose free normal of
) 		45	Unknown	Y	D at 3 months	Malabsorption	22 months, lactose free normal c
	1984 [18]					Malabsorption	
2	1984 [18]	45	1 day	N	D at 6 weeks	N/A	
3	1984 [26]	24	32 days	Y	D at 55 days	Pseudomonas aeruginosa sepsis	
1	1984 [26]	27	2 days	N/A	D at 5 months	N/A	
5	1985 [31]	45	1 day	Y	D at 2 months	Malabsorption	
5	1986 [13]	69	5 weeks	Y	A > 7 y.o		28 months: total enteral nutritio
7	1990 [3]	112	1 month	N	D at 1 month	Gastrointestinal bleeding	
3	1990 [3]	70	6 h	Y	D at 6 months	Klebsiella sepsis	
)	1990 [3]	237ª	3 months	Y	A > 18 y.o		High calorie diet
)	1991 [27]	54	2 months	Y	A > 4 y.o.		4 years: normal food intake
1	1993 [37]	30	2 days	Y	D at 4 months	N/A	
2	1993 [37]	39	N/A	Y	D at 6 months	N/A	
3	1993 [37]	30	N/A	Y	D at 1 month	N/A	
4	1996 [38]	30	1 day	Y	A		TPN
5	1997 [25]	50	1 day	Y	A > 3 y.o		N/A
õ	1998 [17]	25	4 days	Y	D at 6 months	Pneumonia, sepsis	
7	1998 [39]	35	2 days	Y	D at 9 weeks	Gram negative sepsis	
3	1999 [32]	47	9 days	Y	A > 1 y.o.		N/A
)	2001 [15]	42	1 day	Y	D at 5 months	Severe liver dysfunction and sepsis	
)	2001 [15]	51	3 days	Y	D at 1 month	Fulminating sepsis	
l	2001 [15]	95ª	2 months	N/A	A > 14 y.o.		N/A
!	2001 [15]	35	2 days	Y	D at 9 weeks.	Gram - sepsis	,
3	2002 [6]	228.6 a	N/A	Y	A > 40 y.o.		35 years: total enteral nutrition
1	2004 [29]	20	4 days	Y	A		5 months: total enteral nutrition
;	2004 [23]	56	4 months	Y	A		Parenteral and enteral nutrition
;	2006 [30]	30	5 days	Y	$\mathbf{A} > 7 \text{ y.o.}$		Total oral feeding
7	2007 [42]	N/A	3 days	Y	A at 18 y.o.		Parenteral and enteral nutrition
3	2007 [42]	N/A	1 day	Y	D at 8 mounts	N/A	raichterarand enterarndention
)	2007 [42]	50	6 weeks	Y		14/11	24 months: regular oral diet
)		25		Y	A > 2 y.o. D at 26 days	Covere disseminated sensis	24 months, regular oral tret
l	2010 [24]	115 ^a	26 days 2 weeks	Y	•	Severe disseminated sepsis	17 years: PN, expanded the amo
	2010 [43]				A > 19 y.o.		of food he consumes orally
!	2010 [43]	45	20 w.g.a.	N	D 33 w.g.a.	N/A	
3	2010 [43]	68	N/A	N	D at 6 weeks	N/A	
1	2011 [33]	80	12 weeks	Y	Α		PN and oral nutritional support
5	2012 [19]	N/A	N/A	Y	Α		N/A
õ	2012 [19]	N/A	N/A	Y	Α		N/A
7	2012 [19]	N/A	N/A	Y	Α		N/A
3	2012 [19]	N/A	N/A	N/A	Α		N/A
)	2016 [20]	35	13 days	Y	A > 8 months old		8 months: PN for 15 h every day and enteral nutrition
)	2016 [5]	76	3 months	N/A	Α		N/A
1	2016 [5]	26	38 days	N/A	Α		N/A

 $\label{eq:equation: equation} \text{Legend: } Y = yes; N = no; A = alive; D = death; N/A = not available; w.g.a = weeks of gestational age; y.o = years old.$

can be considered multisystemic conditions caused by suboptimal absorption of nutrients owing to inadequate small intestinal length [1].

Normal intestinal length is best expressed as a percentage of predicted intestinal length for gestational age rather than the absolute length in centimeters. Struijs et al. studied 108 patients between 24 weeks of gestational age and 5 years old undergoing laparotomy and determined values for all predictor variables: postconception age, weight and height. Small bowel length increased from a mean of 70 cm in those aged 24 to 26 weeks postconception to 423.9 cm in those aged 49 to 60 months [7].

^a In these cases bowel was measured respectively at 14, 6, 15 and 10 years old.

Table 2
Results

Case	CLMP type of mutation	Sex	Bowel length (cm)	Associated conditions
30	Homozygous deletion of 12,483 bp including exon 2	F	54	Common mesentery
35	Missense variant located in exon 4 leading to an amino acid substitution [c.410G > A;p.(C137Y)] and a splice site variant located two base pairs upstream of exon two (c.29-2A > G)	F	50	None
38	Homozygous del (with presumed inversion) in intron 1	M	47	Gastroesophageal reflux
46	[c.230 del A (p.E 77 Gfsx24)], exon 3 heterozygous frameshift c.821G > A, exon 6 Heterozygous	F	30	N/A
	splice site mutation			
49	c.666C > T(p.R222X), exon 5 homozygous nonsense mutation.	M	50	None
55	c.371 T > A(pV124D), exon 3 homozygous missense mutation	M	N/A	N/A
56	Homozygous del (with presumed inversion) in intron 1	F	N/A	N/A
57	c.666C > T (p. R222X), exon 5 homozygous nonsense mutation	F	N/A	N/A
59	2 Heterozygous mutations: intron 1 (c.28 $+$ 1 $>$ C), exon 4 (c502C $>$ T, p. R168X)	F	35	None
60	Homozygous nonsense variant in exon 4 [c.508c > T;p.(R170*)]	F	76	Ureteropelvic junction obstruction
61	Homozygous nonsense variant in exon 4 [c.508c > T;p.(R170*)]	F	26	Ureteropelvic junction obstruction

Legend: genetic mutations; F = female; M = male; N/A = nonavailable.

The actual length of small intestine required for optimal absorption is still controversial; however, bowel length < 100 cm in the first year of life is regarded as abnormal. Less than 40 cm traditionally requires therapy according to the practice of most centers [8].

Intestinal malrotation and intestinal obstruction are 2 quite prominent features of congenital short bowel syndrome. Both are investigated by contrast study and follow through tests [9–11]. It is thought that the malrotation may be a cause of early embryological problems concerning growth of the digestive midgut and the resulting vascular occlusion [11]. It's important to underline that in our review in the one case that was noted that malrotation was not commented on, it was not excluded, and so may well have been present [6]. According to these, we can state that in cases where congenital short bowel syndrome is suspected, an upper gastrointestinal contrast study should be done to investigate malrotation, which is almost universally present. If malrotation is found, an open or laparoscopic Ladd's procedure is indicated to prevent intestinal obstruction.

Reworking what we know thanks to the literature on SBS, we think that it could be also very useful to perform mucosal biopsies to evaluate bowel in functional terms of absorptive capacity. The human small intestine is organized with a proximal-to-distal gradient of mucosal structure and nutrient processing capacity. Certain nutrients undergo site-specific digestion and absorption, such as iron and folate in the duodenum/jejunum vs vitamin B12 and bile salts in the ileum. Depending on the segment(s) of intestine missed, malabsorption can be nutrient specific (eg, vitamin B 12 or fat) or sweeping, with deficiencies in energy, protein, and various micronutrients [45]. Understanding the histological characteristics of the CSBS remnant bowel, may help clinitians to predict long term nutritional needs. This is important to individualize patient management and to predict parenteral nutrition dependence.

Diarrhea, vomiting and failure to thrive are essential signs and symptoms indicative of the illness, and should certainly be looked for when questioning the diagnosis of CSBS [13,14]. No other intestinal deviations have been noted with this condition. A rare association between synovial lipomatosis and CSBS was noted in a patient

with 20-year history of painless swelling of the knees, wrists, and hands [6].

CSBS has still no defined etiology. The first hypothesis revolves around looking at the embryological development of the primitive midgut between weeks fifth and tenth. The primitive midgut (digestive tube) undergoes considerable elongation and rotation through 180° and is contained within the intraumbilical coelom. By week 10 the tube returns to the abdominal cavity [13,15,16]. Dorney et al. were the first to propose that if the primitive midgut was not fully contained within the intraumbilical coelom throughout this gestation period, then it would consequently result in curtailment of the small bowel length, as the process of elongation and rotation would be very limited [13]. It has also been suggested that vascular occlusion or insult to the primitive midgut during in utero development may cause injury to the small bowel and hence at birth cause a shortened bowel length [14,17]. Recently, the role of a genetic component has also been widely postulated by several authors. The literature on this subject seems to suggest an autosomal recessive pattern of inheritance as both genders are affected by the condition. Interestingly, many CSBS cases seem to occur in siblings or via the product of unaffected consanguineous parents [3,9,15,18].

Van Der Werf et al. described in 7 CSBS patients a loss-of-function mutation in Coxsackie and adenovirus receptor-like membrane protein (CLMP). CLMP is a tight-junction associated protein that is expressed in the intestine of human embryos throughout development. To understand how loss of function of CLMP leads to CSBS, a series of zebrafish experiments was performed. CLMPa ortholog was expressed in the intestine of zebrafish embryos and knockdown of this ortholog resulted in a shortened and maldeveloped intestine with absence of goblet cells [19]. Loss-of-function mutations in CLMP cause CSBS in human beings, likely by interfering with tight-junction formation, which disrupts intestinal development [19]. The presence of these mutations was confirmed in a subsequent work in a newborn presenting CSBS, malrotation and intestinal pseudoobstruction [20].

On the other hand, it had been noticed that in some affected family only males developed the disease. A different series of 3 patient (2 of

Table 3
Results

Case	FLNA type of mutation	Sex	Bowel length (cm)	Associated conditions
29	2 bp del in second exon (c.16–17 del TC)	M	237	Rectal bleeding
43	2 bp del in second exon (c.16–17 del TC)	M	228.6	Synovial lipomatosis
47	2 bp del in exon 2 (c.65–66 del AC)	M	N/A	Pyloric hypertrophy, asymmetrical spastic diplegia
51	Partial duplication of first 28 exons	M	115	Bifid uvula, PDA, partial agenesis corpus callosum, patent foramen ovale
52	Partial duplication of first 28 exons	M	45	None
53	Homozygous for a nonsense mutation in exon 43 [c.7021C > T; Q2341X]	M	68	Left diaphragmatic defect, dysmorphic facies, spina bifida occulta, posterior fossa arachnoid cyst, proximally placed thumbs
58	2 bp del second exon (c.16–17 del TC)	M	N/A	N/A

Legend: genetic mutations; M = male; N/A = non available.

which familiar) with no CLMP mutations was studied and a novel 2 base pair deletion was identified in the second exon of FLNA associated with an X-linked pattern of inheritance. Male patients with CSBS with mutations in FLNA have usually associated multiple congenital anomalies [21].

FLNA encodes a cytoskeletal protein that binds to actin and has a well-characterized role in the cytoplasm. It regulates cell shape by cross-linking actin filaments and plays an important role in cell signaling and migration in response to environmental changes. A role for FLNA has also been recently discovered in the nucleoli, where it inhibits ribosomal RNA transcription. FLNA has been reported to play an important role in vascular development and cardiac morphogenesis, but its role is still unclear in intestinal development. It is reported that FLNA is able to interact with the tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor 2 (Ror2) and showed that this interaction is required for filopodia formation and migration. Since disruption of Ror2 expression has been shown to lead to a shortened small intestine in mice, it is tempting to hypothesize that FLNA mutations leading to CSBS disrupt the FLNA–Ror2 interaction and impair cell migration [22].

Similar to SBS patients, early and long-term TPN can markedly increase the survival rate of CSBS. Referral to a specialist center is strongly recommended because intestinal rehabilitation programs offer the multidisciplinary experience to maximize medical and surgical management of these complex and rare patients. The results produced agree with previous studies, which outlined a greater likelihood of a worse prognosis if the symptom onset presents in the very early stages of the patients' life, whereas a delayed presentation of symptoms would provide a better advantage for survival [23].

From our results we understand that nutritional support is the medical management able to influence survival the most. Every patient who reached total enteral nutrition was treated at first with total parenteral nutrition. Oral feeding with elemental diet was then introduced and the amount of food was progressively increased base of the individual need.

Literature shows that, in children with intestinal failure, reaching tolerance to oral feeding is considered a big problem. These patients suffer from a condition called food aversion, defined as an excessive or extreme and consistently negative reaction to oral fluids or diet, which interferes with nutrition requirements. Food aversion pathogenesis seems to be related to the lack in development of oral feeding skills during the first year of life because usually these patients experienced feeding by nasogastric tube or by gastrostomy. Owing to this consideration, we believe that in short bowel patient oral feeding has to start as soon as possible. This comment was also stated previously in publication made by the senior author. Recent studies suggest that a powerful tool to overcome food aversion seems to be the so-called Messy Play Therapy. This treatment uses the senses to gradually desensitize children to different smells and textures within play, without the pressure to taste or eat. In our experience, children treated have gone from not tolerating any intake to tolerating an oral diet, which means enjoying their mealtimes [44].

It is important to mention that this review present some publication biases. The main problem in data collection was the absence of heterogeneity from the papers analyzed. In addition, a meta-analysis was not possible owing to the different data collection methods found in all the papers identified. Finally, studies examined did not show a clear outcomes data in terms of improvement in the clinical condition owing to TPN or to any other medical or surgical strategies.

4. Conclusion

Since entering the 21st century, the entire confidence in approaching the condition of CSBS has increased. The results produced within this report confirm the advances that have been successfully made. Despite CSBS being a severe and rare disease with still an unknown etiology, patients affected from this condition benefit from the experience coming from the multidisciplinary management of SBS.

We believe that the results from the genetic studies strongly suggest the need to further research investigating the role of CLMP in intestinal elongation, as this might provide future therapeutic strategies for CSBS patients.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Khalil BA, Ba'ath ME, Aziz A, et al. Intestinal rehabilitation and bowel reconstructive surgery: improved outcomes in children with short bowel syndrome. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2012;54(4):505–9.
- [2] Orphanet. Congenital short bowel syndrome. ORPHA:2301.
- [3] Kern IB, Leece A, Bohane T. Congenital short gut, malrotation, and dysmotility of the small bowel. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1990;11(3):411–5.
- [4] Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4(1):1.
- [5] Alves MM, Halim D, Maroofian R, et al. Genetic screening of congenital short bowel syndrome patients confirms CLMP as the major gene involved in the recessive form of this disorder. Eur J Hum Genet 2016;24(11):1627–9.
- [6] Siva C, Brasington R, Totty W, et al. Synovial lipomatosis (lipoma arborescens) affecting multiple joints in a patient with congenital short bowel syndrome. J Rheumatol 2002;29(5):1088–92.
- [7] Struijs M-C, Diamond IR, de Silva N, et al. Establishing norms for intestinal length in children. J Pediatr Surg 2009;44(5):933–8.
- [8] Coletta R, Khalil BA, Morabito A. Short bowel syndrome in children: surgical and medical perspectives. Semin Pediatr Surg 2014;23(5):291–7.
- [9] Tanner MS, Smith B, Lloyd JK. Functional intestinal obstruction due to deficiency of argyrophil neurones in the myenteric plexus. Familial syndrome presenting with short small bowel, malrotation, and pyloric hypertrophy. Arch Dis Child 1976;51 (11):837–41.
- [10] Konvolinka CW. Congenital short small intestine: a rare occurrence. J Pediatr Surg 1970;5(5):574.
- [11] Kern IB, Harris MJ. Congenital short bowel. Aust N Z J Surg 1973;42(3):283-5.
- [12] Sansaricq C, Chen WJ, Manka M, et al. Snyderman S. Familial congenital short small bowel with associated defects. A long-term survival. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 1984;23(8): 453–5.
- [13] Dorney SF, Byrne WJ, Ament ME. Case of congenital short small intestine: survival with use of long-term parenteral feeding. Pediatrics 1986 Mar:77(3):386–9.
- [14] Hasosah M, Lemberg DA, Skarsgard E, et al. Congenital short bowel syndrome: a case report and review of the literature. Can I Gastroenterol 2008:22(1):71–4.
- [15] Erez I, Reish O, Kovalivker M, et al. Congenital short-bowel and malrotation: clinical presentation and outcome of six affected offspring in three related families. Eur J Pediatr Surgery 2001;11(5):331–4.
- [16] Hamilton JR, Reilly BJ, Morecki R. Short small intestine associated with malrotation. A newly described congenital cause of intestinal malabsorption. Gastroenterology 1969;56:124–36.
- [17] Sarimurat N, Celayir S, Elicevik M, et al. Congenital short bowel syndrome associated with appendiceal agenesis and functional intestinal obstruction. J Pediatr Surg 1998; 33(4):666–7.
- [18] Shawis RN, Rangecroft L, Cook RC, et al. Functional intestinal obstruction associated with malrotation and short small-bowel. J Pediatr Surg 1984;19(2):172–3.
- [19] Van Der Werf CS, Wabbersen TD, Hsiao N-H, et al. CLMP is required for intestinal development, and loss-of-function mutations cause congenital short-bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 2012;142(3):453–462.e3.
- [20] Gonnaud L, Alves MM, Cremillieux C, et al. Two new mutations of the CLMP gene identified in a newborn presenting congenital short-bowel syndrome. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2016;40(6):e65–7.
- [21] Van der Werf CS, Sribudiani Y, Verheij JBGM, et al. Congenital short bowel syndrome as the presenting symptom in male patients with FLNA mutations. Genet Med 2013; 15(4):310–3.
- [22] Van der Werf CS, Halim D, Verheij JBGM, et al. Congenital short bowel syndrome: from clinical and genetic diagnosis to the molecular mechanisms involved in intestinal elongation. Biochim Biophys Acta 2015;1852(11):2352–61.
- [23] Sabharwal G, Strouse PJ, Islam S, et al. Congenital short-gut syndrome. Pediatr Radiol 2004;34(5):424–7.
- [24] Palle L, Reddy B. Case report: congenital short bowel syndrome. Indian J Radiol Imaging 2010;20(3):227–9.
- [25] De Backer Al, Parizel PM, De Schepper A, et al. A patient with congenital short small bowel associated with malrotation. J Belge Radiol 1997;80(2):71–2.
- [26] Tiu CM, Chou YH, Pan HB, et al. Congenital short bowel. Pediatr Radiol 1984;14(5): 343–5
- 27] Huysman WA, Tibboel D, Bergmeijer JH, et al. Long-term survival of a patient with congenital short bowel and malrotation. J Pediatr Surg 1991;26(1):103–5.
- [28] Yutani C, Sakurai M, Miyaji T, et al. Congenital short intestine. A case report and review of the literature. Arch Pathol 1973;96(2):81–2.
- [29] Chu S-M, Luo C-C, Chou Y-H, et al. Congenital short bowel syndrome with malrotation. Chang Gung Med J 2004;27(7):548–50.
- [30] Ordonez P, Sondheimer JM, Fidanza S, et al. Long-term outcome of a patient with congenital short bowel syndrome. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2006;42(5): 576–80.

- [31] Iwai N, Yanagihara J, Tsuto T, et al. Congenital short small bowel with malrotation in a neonate. Z Kinderchir 1985;40(6):371–3.
- [32] Schalamon J, Schober PH, Gallippi P, et al. Congenital short-bowel; a case study and review of the literature. Eur J Pediatr Surg 1999;9(4):248–50.
- [33] Shehata B, Chang T, Greene C, et al. Gastric heterotopia with extensive involvement of the small intestine associated with congenital short bowel syndrome and intestinal malrotation. Fetal Pediatr Pathol 2011;30(1):60–3.
- [34] Dumke K, Schnoy N. A rare case of a congenital short intestine. Z Gastroenterol 1974; 12(5):321–5.
- [35] Royer P, Ricour C, Nihoul-Fekete C, et al. The familial syndrome of short small intestine with intestinal malrotation and hypertrophic stenosis of the pylorus in infants. Arch Fr Pediatr 1974;31(2):223–9.
- [36] Schnoy N, Bein G, Dumke K. Familial occurence of congenital short bowel (author's transl). Monatsschr Kinderheilkd 1978;126(7):431–5.
- [37] Peng HC, Chen HC, Chang WT. Congenital short small intestine: report of three cases. J Formos Med Assoc 1993;92(8):762–4.
- [38] Hou JW, Wang TR. Amelia, dextrocardia, asplenia, and congenital short bowel in deleted ring chromosome 4. J Med Genet 1996;33(10):879–81.

- [39] Aviram R, Erez I, Dolfin TZ, et al. Congenital short-bowel syndrome: prenatal sonographic findings of a fatal anomaly. J Clin Ultrasound 1998;26(2):106–8.
- [40] Nezelof C, Jaubert F, Lyon G. Familial syndrome combining short small intestine, intestinal malrotation, pyloric hypertrophy and brain malformation. 3 anatomoclinical case reports. Ann Anat Pathol (Paris) 1976;21(4–5):401–12.
- [41] Harris DJ, Ashcraft KW, Beatty EC, et al. Natal teeth, patent ductus arteriosus and intestinal pseudo-obstruction: a lethal syndrome in the newborn. Clin Genet 1976;9(5):479–82.
- [42] Gargiulo A, Auricchio R, Barone MV, et al. Filamin A is mutated in X-linked chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction with central nervous system involvement. Am J Hum Genet 2007;80(4):751–8.
- [43] Kapur RP, Robertson SP, Hannibal MC, et al. Diffuse abnormal layering of small intestinal smooth muscle is present in patients with FLNA mutations and x-linked intestinal pseudo-obstruction. Am J Surg Pathol 2010;34(10):1528–43.
- [44] Chiatto F, Coletta R, Aversano A, et al. Messy play therapy in the treatment of food aversion in a patient with intestinal failure: our experience. J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2018;0(0):1–7.
- [45] Tappenden KA. Pathophysiology of short bowel syndrome: considerations of resected and residual anatomy. J Parenter Enteral Nutr 2014;38(1):14S-22S.