Mortality rate after pneumatic dilatation for achalasia

With great interest we have read the article 'Outcomes of pneumatic dilatation and Heller's myotomy for achalasia in England between 2005 and 2016' of Harvey et al. In this retrospective study, the efficacy and complication rate were compared between pneumatic dilatation (PD) and Heller's myotomy (HM) over 10 years. The Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) database of England was used to include achalasia patients treated with PD or HM. Subjects were identified by the presence of an International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) code for diagnosis and treatment. With the large sample size (n = 6938) the authors should be congratulated for their efforts.

In this study, the mortality rate 30 days after PD was surprisingly high with a staggering 1.9%.¹ This is contradictory to previous recent literature, in which the mortality rate after pneumatic dilatation was generally 0%.²⁻⁴ In the current study, subjects were excluded if they had a prior diagnosis of achalasia in the preceding 5 years since the introduction of ICD-10 coding in 2001. This of course includes bias as it excludes a large group of patients who received previous achalasia treatments, among which PD, without dying.

In addition, patients receiving PD had a high age (26% > 78 years) and a high comorbidity score (12.6% >4). It is known that increasing age and comorbidity are risk factors for complications and adverse events, which can partially explain the elevated mortality rate after PD. Therefore, it would be useful to stratify the mortality according to age and comorbidity score.

The perforation rate (1.6%) was at the lower end of the range provided by the literature (0%-5%), which seems unexpected given the high mortality found.^{2 3}

PostScript

The authors state that under-reporting of complications is possible in HES data, which could explain the lower perforation rate. The lower perforation rate in combination with the elevated mortality rate, however, needs clarification. It appears that the HES database lacks the cause of death, and it seems unlikely that perforations are entirely responsible for the high mortality. That would only be possible when the mortality rate of perforation would be close to 100%, while it is nowadays closer to 0%.2 4 With this discordance between expected mortality rate from procedure-related complications and actual mortality rate one wonders whether the reported mortality rate is actually procedure-related or not and whether the patients that died in the 30 days after pneumatic dilation would have died anyway regardless of whether they underwent a dilation.

Froukje B van Hoeij , ¹ Albert J Bredenoord¹

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Amsterdam University Medical Centres, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to Dr Froukje B van Hoeij, Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Amsterdam University Medical Centres, PO Box 22660, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; F.B.vanhoeij@gmail.com

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.



To cite van Hoeij FB, Bredenoord AJ. *Gut* 2020;**69**:1715–1716.

Received 20 July 2019 Accepted 23 July 2019 Published Online First 5 August 2019



► http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319762

Gut 2020;**69**:1715–1716. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319513

ORCID iD

1716

Froukje B van Hoeij http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6377-3869

REFERENCES

- 1 Harvey PR, Coupland B, Mytton J, et al. Outcomes of pneumatic dilatation and Heller's myotomy for achalasia in England between 2005 and 2016. Gut 2019;68:1146–51.
- 2 Moonen A, Annese V, Belmans A, et al. Long-term results of the European achalasia trial: a multicentre randomised controlled trial comparing pneumatic

- dilation versus laparoscopic Heller myotomy. *Gut* 2016:65:732–9
- 3 Baniya R, Upadhaya S, Khan J, et al. Laparoscopic esophageal myotomy versus pneumatic dilation in the treatment of idiopathic achalasia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Exp Gastroenterol 2017;10:241–8.
- 4 van Hoeij FB, Prins LI, Smout AJPM, et al. Efficacy and safety of pneumatic dilation in achalasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2019;31:e13548.

randomised controlled that companing priedmatic