

other institutions preparing for surges in cases of highly infectious patients like COVID-19¹² when adapted to the local healthcare system.

Declarations of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

Kwan Kim Meng and Tan Bin Hui for providing details on the surgical and anaesthetic process for this case, Loo Shi for contribution to the N95 donning and doffing guide, and Chong Yaw Khian and Richmond Tan for their involvement in the surgery.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.014>.

References

1. Wong J, Goh QY, Tan Z, et al. Preparing for a COVID-19 pandemic: a review of operating room outbreak response measures in a large tertiary hospital in Singapore. *Can J Anaesth* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-020-01620-9>. Advance Access published on March 11
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim infection prevention and control recommendations for patients with suspected or confirmed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in healthcare settings. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/infection-control/control-recommendations.html>. (accessed March 02, 2020)
3. Meng L, Qiu H, Wan Li, et al. Intubation and ventilation amid the COVID-19 outbreak: Wuhan's experience. *Anesthesiology* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000003296>. [Accessed 26 March 2020]
4. Wax RS, Christian MD. Practical recommendations for critical care and anesthesiology teams caring for novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) patients. *Can J Anaesth* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-020-01591-x>. Advance Access published on February 12
5. Tompkins BM, Kerchberger JP. Special article: personal protective equipment for care of pandemic influenza patients: a training workshop for the powered air purifying respirator. *Anesth Analg* 2010; **111**: 933–45
6. Tien HC, Chughtai T, Jogeklar A, Cooper AB, Brennenman F. Elective and emergency surgery in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). *Can J Surg* 2005; **48**: 71–4
7. Chee VWT, Khoo ML, Lee SF, Lai YC, Chin NM. Infection control measures for operative procedures in severe acute f syndrome-related patients. *Anesthesiology* 2004; **100**: 1394–8
8. Chen Qingyan, Lim Beatrice, Ong Shimin, Wong Wan-Yi, Kong Yu-Chin. Rapid ramp-up of powered air-purifying respirator (PAPR) training for infection prevention and control during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Anaesth* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.006>. Advance Access published on April 25, 2020
9. Bualenain JT, Al-Alawi MM. Simulation-based training in Ebola personal protective equipment for healthcare workers: experience from King Abdulaziz University Hospital in Saudi Arabia. *J Infect Public Health* 2018; **11**: 796–800
10. Rebmann T, Alexander S, Bartley J, et al. APIC position paper: extending the use and/or reusing respiratory protection in healthcare settings during disasters. Washington, DC: APIC; 2009
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Strategies for Optimising the Supply of N95 Respirators. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/respirators-strategy/crisis-alternate-strategies.html>. (accessed March 05, 2020)
12. Peng PWH, Ho PL, Hota SS. Outbreak of a new coronavirus: what anaesthetists should know. *Br J Anaesth* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.02.008>. Advance Access published on February 27

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.016

Advance Access Publication Date: 22 April 2020

© 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Clarifying appropriate personal protective equipment for obstetric anaesthetists amongst controversy and confusion in COVID-19. Comment on *Br J Anaesth* 2020; 124: 670–5

Nuala Lucas*, Sohail Bampoe and Peter M. Odor

London, UK

*Corresponding author. E-mail: nuala.lucas@nhs.net

Keywords: Caesarean delivery; COVID-19; infection prevention and control; obstetric anaesthesia; personal protective equipment; spinal anaesthesia

Editor—We read with interest the recent study by Zhong and colleagues¹ in the *British Journal of Anaesthesia*. We would like to thank these authors for sharing their experience of transmission rates to anaesthetists associated with the provision of spinal anaesthesia in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). These early data are welcome as health care professionals face the challenge of managing the pandemic. However, we suggest that these findings need to be interpreted with caution.

The appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) to be used in different clinical settings has been a source of controversy and confusion. The choice of appropriate PPE frequently hinges around whether the patient contact involves an aerosol-generating procedure, such as tracheal intubation or extubation. This can be particularly difficult in the obstetric population where the majority of operations are performed under neuraxial anaesthesia (not considered to be an aerosol-generating procedure), but with the ever-present risk of requiring expedient conversion to general anaesthesia with tracheal intubation during surgery. The data presented by Zhong and colleagues¹ suggest that use of Level 1 PPE (surgical mask, hat, gown, and gloves) for spinal anaesthesia was associated with an elevated risk of COVID-19 transmission to the anaesthetist performing spinal anaesthesia compared with Level 3 PPE (positive pressure (pressure demand), self-contained breathing apparatus, and a fully encapsulating chemical protective suit plus inner and outer chemical resistant gloves).

Firstly, with relatively small numbers in a retrospective, observational study, there is an elevated risk of random type 1 error, and the significant finding of reduced risk of transmission with advanced PPE may well have occurred by chance.

With the present study design, it is not possible to control for unmeasured confounders, of which there may be several in this study. While the authors assert that the anaesthetists performing spinal anaesthesia did not have contact with other COVID-19 patients, transmission of disease may not require direct contact with infected individuals as viral transmission can occur through fomite spread, for example from infected hard surfaces. Another relevant factor when assessing the effectiveness of PPE in any context is the issue of asymptomatic carriers. In a radical approach to the pandemic, Iceland has undertaken rigorous and comprehensive measures of source control. This has included testing a higher percentage of the population for COVID-19 than any other country.² The finding that approximately 50% of people infected with COVID-19 are asymptomatic has also been confirmed by other

small population studies.³ Indeed, in Zhong and colleagues¹ study, three out of the five anaesthetists who tested positive did so in the absence of any symptoms. Therefore, the potential impact of exposure of the cohort of anaesthetists to asymptomatic carriers could have been an important confounding factor. We cannot see how the authors can assert with any confidence that the only source of exposure to the virus was during the administration of spinal anaesthesia. The authors have not provided any information about exposure of the anaesthetists to COVID-19 in other clinical or community settings.

Finally, correct donning and doffing of PPE are key to avoiding infection transmission, with the majority of self-contamination errors happening during removal of equipment.⁴ It would be helpful to understand local policies related to PPE used in this study and whether any potential contamination or doffing errors may have occurred.

We acknowledge that uncertainty remains regarding the efficacy and effectiveness of various forms of PPE for COVID-19. Further detailed studies are urgently needed to chart a path towards clarity in appropriate PPE requirements during this pandemic.

Declarations of interest

NL chairs the Education sub-committee of the Obstetric Anaesthetists' Association. She is a senior editor for the *International Journal of Obstetric Anaesthesia*. No other conflicts of interest are declared.

References

1. Zhong Q, Liu YY, Luo Q, et al. Spinal anaesthesia for patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and possible transmission rates in anaesthetists: retrospective, single-centre, observational cohort study. *Br J Anaesth* 2020; **124**: 670–5
2. COVID-19 in Iceland – statistics. Iceland: Directorate of Health and the Department of Civil Protection and Emergency Management; 2020. <https://www.covid.is/data>. [Accessed 30 March 2020]
3. Day M. Covid-19: identifying and isolating asymptomatic people helped eliminate virus in Italian village. *BMJ* 2020; **368**: m1165
4. Tomas ME, Kundrapu S, Thota P, et al. Contamination of health care personnel during removal of personal protective equipment. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; **175**: 1904–10

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.016

Advance Access Publication Date: 18 April 2020

© 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Sustainable response to the COVID-19 pandemic in the operating theatre: need for more than just personal protective equipment

Emmanuel H. L. Cheung*, Tom C. W. Chan, Jaclyn W. M. Wong and Man-Shun Law

Hong Kong SAR, China

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ehlcheung@connect.hku.hk