EDITORIAL

Is This a 737 Max Moment for Brolucizumab?



PHILIP J. ROSENFELD AND DAVID J. BROWNING

HE FIRST REPORTS OF SEVERE UVEITIS AND OCCLUsive retinal vasculitis associated with the use of brolucizumab (Beovu; Novartis, East Hanover, New Jersey, USA) appeared in early February of 2020, and more have appeared since then. Novartis sent information on the postapproval development to users on February 25 and updates have followed. Twenty-six cases associated with 70,000 injections and 37,000 treated patients were reported by March 27, 2020, in a report by the American Society of Retina Specialists (ASRS) Research and Safety in Therapeutics (ReST) Committee.² Although the ASRS ReST committee report was not publicly available, the American Academy of Ophthalmology (AAO) has provided an available audio report on these recent developments surrounding brolucizumab-induced inflammation.³ Neither the Novartis warning nor the latest ASRS ReST committee's report from April 7 recommended that brolucizumab injections be stopped, but they did recommend careful evaluation for inflammation and continued vigilance in monitoring brolucizumab treatment outcomes. However, for many of us, these recommendations did not go far enough, and we have stopped using brolucizumab because of the associated inflammation. Our patients have alternatives without incurring this risk.

When brolucizumab became commercially available in late 2019, the retinal community was enthusiastic about this newest vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor for the treatment of exudative age-related macular degeneration (eAMD). Brolucizumab offered the hope of fewer intravitreal injections for patients with eAMD.⁴ The brolucizumab phase 3 studies suggested greater durability with similar visual acuity outcomes compared with aflibercept (Eylea; Regeneron, Tarrytown, New York, USA). Who wouldn't want fewer injections into their eye and comparable visual acuity results? With good reason, both patients and clinicians embraced this new drug. However, soon after the widespread community adoption of brolucizumab, sporadic reports began to surface that patients were experiencing severe sterile inflammation

that could be difficult to distinguish from infectious endophthalmitis. Although cases of severe sterile noninfectious intraocular inflammation have been reported following the injection of other anti-VEGF drugs, 5-11 this brolucizumab-associated inflammation was unusual because it was associated with an occlusive vasculitis and irreversible severe vision loss, albeit rare. 12-14 This unpredictable severe inflammation could develop weeks after the last brolucizumab injection even if previous injections of brolucizumab were well tolerated, so previous brolucizumab injections without inflammation were no guarantee that subsequent injections would be safe. 12-14

The retinal community had not reported this type of vision-threatening occlusive retinal vasculitis after intravitreal injections of other commonly used anti-VEGF drugs, such as aflibercept, bevacizumab (Avastin; Genentech, South San Francisco, California, USA), and ranibizumab (Lucentis; Genentech). Retinal specialists started sharing this brolucizumab information with each other through social media, at meetings, and through published reports. 12-14 Unlike our previous experience with inflammatory outbreaks when using other anti-VEGF drugs, there had been no previous history of safe routine clinical use of brolucizumab before these reports of inflammation surfaced, so one of our initial impressions of this drug was one of heightened concern. Retina specialists deserve credit for identifying this problem early and notifying the appropriate authorities. They alerted Novartis, their specialty societies, and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). As a result, both the ASRS and Novartis established committees to investigate this brolucizumabinduced inflammation. Novartis has willingly refunded the cost of any previously purchased brolucizumab to retina practices. However, brolucizumab remains on the market and continues to be used with the cautious approval of both the ASRS and Novartis.

Amid mounting speculation as to the underlying cause of this brolucizumab-associated inflammation, we all want the investigations to continue so we can learn the truth behind these adverse events. Whatever is learned from these ongoing investigations will provide invaluable information for anyone developing an agent for injection into the eye. But as this process plays out, it is our view that intravitreal injections of brolucizumab should stop. Brolucizumab is not the only drug that can be used for the treatment of eAMD.

Accepted for publication May 10, 2020.

From the Department of Ophthalmology, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, USA (P.J.R.), and Charlotte Eye, Ear, Nose, and Throat Associates, Charlotte, North Carolina, USA (D.J.B.).

Inquiries to Philip J. Rosenfeld, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, 900 NW 17th Street, Miami, FL 33136, USA; e-mail: prosenfeld@miami.edu

In the face of the known risk, its use is unwarranted. We praise the postmarketing surveillance of the vitreoretinal community in identifying these never-events, but now we need the ASRS, the Retina Society, the Macular Society, the AAO, and the FDA to make official what many retina

specialists have already implemented—a moratorium on its use until the results of further investigations are concluded and remedies are implemented. Brolucizumab could fly again, but not until these safety concerns are addressed.

FUNDING/SUPPORT: NO FUNDING OR GRANT SUPPORT. FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES: PHILIP ROSENFELD RECEIVES RESEARCH SUPport from Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc, and Stealth BioTherapeutics. He is also a consultant for Apellis, Biogen, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Carl Zeiss Meditec, Chengdu Kanghong Biotech, EyePoint, Ocunexus Therapeutics, Ocudyne, and Unity Biotechnology. Philip Rosenfeld has equity interest in Apellis, Valitor, Verana Health, and Ocudyne. David Browning receives research support from the DRCR Retina.net and Regeneron. He has an equity interest in Zeiss-Meditec. He receives royalties from Springer Inc. Prashanth Iyer, MD provided valuable background research support for this Editorial. All authors attest that they meet the current ICMJE criteria for authorship.

REFERENCES

- Novartis provides update on use and safety of Beovu® in patients with wet AMD. Available at https://www.novartis.com/news/novartis-provides-update-use-and-safety-beovupatients-wet-amd. Accessed May 3, 2020.
- American Society of Retina Specialists Research and Safety in Therapeutics Committee. Available at; 2020. https://www.asrs.org/about/committees/51. Accessed May 3, 2020.
- 3. American Academy of Ophthalmology Audio Episode 227: the ASRS ReST Report on Brolucizumab. Available at; 2020. https://www.aao.org/audio/episode-227-asrs-rest-report-on-brolucizumab-with. Accessed May 3, 2020.
- Nguyen QD, Das A, Do DV, et al. Brolucizumab: evolution through preclinical and clinical studies and the implications for the management of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. Ophthalmology 2020; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ophtha.2019.12.031.
- Ladas ID, Karagiannis DA, Rouvas AA, Kotsolis AI, Liotsou A, Vergados I. Safety of repeat intravitreal injections of bevacizumab versus ranibizumab: our experience after 2,000 injections. *Retina* 2009;29:313–318.
- CATT Research Group, Martin DF, Maguire MG, Ying GS, et al. Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular agerelated macular degeneration. N Engl J Med 2011;364: 1897–1908.
- Comparison of Age-related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials Research Group, Martin DF, Maguire MG, Fine SL, et al. Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for treatment

- of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: two-year results. *Ophthalmology* 2012;119:1388–1398.
- 8. Goldberg RA, Shah CP, Wiegand TW, Heier JS. Noninfectious inflammation after intravitreal injection of aflibercept: clinical characteristics and visual outcomes. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2014;158:733–737.e1.
- Khanani AM, Cohen GL, Zawadzki R. A prospective masked clinical assessment of inflammation after intravitreal injection of ranibizumab or aflibercept. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther 2016;32:216–218.
- Kitchens JW, Do DV, Boyer DS, et al. Comprehensive review of ocular and systemic safety events with intravitreal aflibercept injection in randomized controlled trials. Ophthalmology 2016;123:1511–1520.
- 11. Souied EH, Dugel PU, Ferreira A, Hashmonay R, Lu J, Kelly SP. Severe ocular inflammation following ranibizumab or aflibercept injections for age-related macular degeneration: a retrospective claims database analysis. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2016;23:71–79.
- Baumal CR, Spaide RF, Vajzovic L, et al. Retinal vasculitis and intraocular inflammation after intravitreal injection of brolucizumab. *Ophthalmology* 2020; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ophtha.2020.04.017.
- 13. Haug SJ, Hien DL, Uludag G, et al. Retinal arterial occlusive vasculitis following intravitreal brolucizumab administration. *Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep* 2020;18:100680.
- Jain A, Chea S, Matsumiya W, et al. Severe vision loss secondary to retinal arteriolar occlusions after multiple intravitreal brolucizumab administrations. Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep 2020;18:100687.